

THE JURIST

Volume X

OCTOBER, 1950

No. 4

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE RULES OF LAW

PART II: OBSERVATIONS ON THE RULES OF LAW OF POPE BONIFACE VIII

SEVERAL observations can profitably be made on the Rules of Law of Pope Boniface VIII. It is only by the serious study of these Rules that they can be used as excellent aids in the training of the canonist and in the proper interpretation of law. It is to be expected that these Rules have not everywhere and with everyone obtained the same approval or acceptance. Some criticisms of the Rules of Law are unworthy of serious consideration and will be ignored here. But some observations have more or less validity and must be discussed before the full value of the Rules of Law will be seen. It is hoped that the discussion of these observations will bring the Rules of Law into reasonable focus.

Three observations will be considered in detail. The first is a consideration of the lack of order in the Rules of Law and the attempts made to divide them according to some reasonably acceptable point of division. The second observation will deal with the relationship found in the Rules of Law. The third observation will consist mostly of a consideration of the strictures made on the Rules of Law by the canonist Bartoccetti.¹ As will presently appear, Bartoccetti offers a

¹ *Le Regole canoniche di Diritto* (Roma, 1939), pp. 217-219.

readily acceptable rearrangement of the Rules of Law but his criticism of the selection of these Rules in preference to other possible ones should be investigated before his opinions are adopted.

1) *The lack of order in the Rules of Law*

Perhaps the first thing which strikes a student of the Rules of Law is their complete lack of order. The numbering of the Rules, beginning with number one and continuing to eighty-eight is not apparently an original numeration. The actual number of Rules has not changed but they were not apparently originally identified by number.² Nor has the actual location of the Rules of Law been changed in their series.

Bartoccetti suggests that the order of books in the *Corpus Iuris Canonici* or at least the order of the Rules of Law in Roman Law from which Dino Rosoni took many of his Rules might have been followed. But neither the systematic division of the *Corpus Iuris Canonici* nor Roman Law has been followed.³ It is true that here and there complementary Rules are placed together. For instance, Rules 9 and 10 both consider possible ratification of acts. Similarly, Rules 16 and 17 implement each other in the preservation of rights. On the other hand, Rules 28, 61 and 74 which all consider the denial of the extension of favors are placed far apart from one another. The same is true of Rules 26 and 64 which both consider possible invalid acts.

The lack of order in the Rules of Law is partially ameliorated by Cousinius,⁴ De Mauri⁵ and Reh.⁶

Cousinius and De Mauri bring some order into the Rules of Law by including them in their topical arrangement of all the Rules of Law. The difficulty, however, which is en-

² Cf. Bartoccetti, *o. c.*, p. 32.

³ *O. c.*, *l. c.*

⁴ *Receptarum Iuris Utriusque Regularum Partitiones* (Amstelodami, 1645), pp. 5-54.

⁵ *Regulae Iuris* (Milano, 1928), pp. 3-239.

⁶ *The Rules of Law and Canon Law* (Romae, 1939), pp. 30-32.

countered here is that both Cousinius and De Mauri include Rules which are dispersed throughout Roman Law even though their summary is not found in the title *De Diversis Regulis Iuris Antiquis*.⁷

Of these two collections of the Rules of Law, Cousinius is better consulted for his divisions and De Mauri for his cross-references.

Reh's contribution toward obtaining some order in the Rules of Law consists of suggesting several divisions. These divisions are accurate enough, but they include both Canon and Roman Law Rules. However, the points of division suggested by Reh can easily be used in the division of the Rules of Canon Law alone. Thus all these Rules would either be common or particular.⁸ Common Rules would refer to the whole law.⁹ Particular Rules would refer to determined matter in law, e.g., penalties.¹⁰ Particular Rules are divided into judicial, beneficial and moral Rules.¹¹ A judicial Rule would be limited to the acts connected with a trial.¹² A beneficial Rule would find its only real example in Rule 1.¹³ Reh, however, considers a beneficial Rule to embrace all kinds of favors. In this sense, other Rules could be called beneficial.¹⁴ A moral Rule could be subdivided into preceptive, prohibitive and permissive Rules.¹⁵

⁷ D. 50, 17.

⁸ Reh's footnotes, p. 30, no. 9 and 10 should be studied carefully for the exact meaning of the terms used in his division.

⁹ E. g., Reg. 72: Qui facit per alium est perinde ac si faciat per se ipsum.

¹⁰ E. g., Reg. 49: In poenis benignior est interpretatio facienda.

¹¹ O. c., p. 32, footnote 18.

¹² E. g., Reg. 26: Ea quae fiunt a iudice si ad eius non spectant officium non subsistunt.

¹³ Beneficium ecclesiasticum non potest licite sine institutione canonica obtineri.

¹⁴ E. g., Reg. 17: Indultum a iure beneficium non est alicui auferendum.

¹⁵ O. c., p. 32, footnote 23; cf. also Zallinger, *Institutiones Iuris Ecclesiastici* (Romae: 1832), in lib. V, tit. 46, *de Regulis iuris*, § 316.

This division of the Rules of Law in Canon Law as developed from the division of all the Rules of Law by Reh is useful and will aid in the study of the Rules of Law. There is lacking, however, in this division the advantage of the minute topical arrangement of Cousinius and De Mauri.

The best effort in modern times to arrange the Rules of Law of Pope Boniface VIII in a reasonably acceptable order of topics is offered by Bartoccetti.¹⁶ This arrangement is set down here and the writer is deeply appreciative of the opportunity to encourage the study of the Rules of Law by endorsing Bartoccetti's topical arrangement of these Rules. As Bartoccetti himself does, at first only the numbers of the Rules will be written. It would be tedious and entirely unnecessary to write the text of the separate Rules. Later, however, it will be necessary to have at hand the text of some of these Rules. When this necessity occurs, the text will be given.

Bartoccetti with commendable modesty suggests the following arrangement of the Rules of Law.

I. Rules which contain principles immediately evident. These would be Rules 35, 53, and 80.

II. Rules which contain principles more or less evident.

- 1) Impossibility and imputability: Rules 6, 41, 60, 66.
- 2) Accessory and principal: Rules 39, 42, 81, 84.
- 3) *Odia* and favors: Rules 15, 28, 30, 45, 49, 52, 64, 74, 78.
- 4) Where time is a factor: Rules 2, 18, 54.
- 5) Responsibility: Rules 19, 22, 25, 27, 29, 36, 48, 76, 86.
- 6) Useful and useless: Rule 37.
- 7) Advantage and disadvantage: Rule 55.
- 8) Genus and species: Rule 34.
- 9) Plural: Rule 40.

Bartoccetti mentions¹⁷ that Rule 40 indicates that the dual number does not exist in Latin as it does in Greek.

¹⁶ *O. c.*, pp. 32-34, 210-216.

¹⁷ *O. c.*, pp. 33; 212.

III. Rules in which the personal (*persona*) element predominates.

- 1) Privileges: Rules 7, 16, 17, 61.
- 2) Qualities: Rules 8, 13, 14, 47, 75.
- 3) Substitution: Rules 9, 10, 46, 67, 68, 72, 77, 79.
- 4) Silence: Rules 43, 44.
- 5) Unworthiness: Rule 87.

IV. Rules in which the real (*res*) element predominates.

- 1) Possession and prescription: Rules 1, 2, 3, 26, 51, 65.
- 2) Common items: Rules 29, 56.
- 3) Legitimate acts and contracts: Rules 21, 33, 50, 57, 58, 59, 69, 70, 73, 82, 83, 85.

V. Rules which pertain to trials:

- 1) Norms: Rules 12, 26, 31, 88.
- 2) Interpretation of guilt and responsibility: Rules 4, 5, 23, 24, 49.
- 3) Plaintiff and defendant: Rules 11, 20, 32, 38, 63, 71.¹⁸

2) *The relationship between some of the Rules of Law*

Here an attempt will be made to see whether some relationship can be detected among the various Rules of Law in order that their utility may be increased. But there is no intention here to group the Rules of Law in the way suggested by Bartoccetti. His suggestion satisfies a general topical arrangement and it is difficult to see where his arrangement could be improved. The writer's present effort will be expended to investigate what similar concepts are found in the various Rules of Law, what complementary arrangements may exist, what particularization may be found in some Rules and finally what contrasts may be discovered.

That some Rules of Law are complementary is conceded by Reiffenstuel.¹⁹ That some Rules of Law are so similar as

¹⁸ Bartoccetti, *o.c.*, pp. 210-216, prints the appropriate text with above divisions.

¹⁹ *Jus Canonicum Universum* (Parisiis, 1870), vol. VII, e.g., Reg. XVII, no. 1 with Reg. XVI, no. 6.

to be almost identical is a criticism of Bartoccetti.²⁰ Yet little effort has so far been made to set down the detailed relationship of all those Rules which could be grouped in one or the other classification. It is extremely useful to have the knowledge of these relationships whenever they can be discovered. It must not, however, be supposed that all the Rules of Law will fall into one or another relationship. Some of these Rules are independent, either entirely in their source and application, or at most have a common source in natural law and carry no further relationship with any other Rule of Law. Most of the Rules, however, do possess some relationship with another Rule. Several Rules of Law can be found in a double relationship.

In the grouping of these Rules according to the idea mentioned, the text of the Rules must be immediately at hand. This, of course, is not necessary where independent Rules are indicated. Their number will suffice. The serial order of the Rules, however, is not necessary in the discussion of their relationships. Hence, this will be ignored.

The independent Rules of Law without any relationship to other Rules are Rule 1, 7, 8, 13, 15, 24, 27, 31, 38, 40, 51, 52, 54, 63, 66, 70.

The Rules of Law which have a common source in natural law but are not otherwise related are Rules 2, 3, 4, 5, 12, 23, 32, 36, 58, 59, 61, 65, 69, 75, 76, 82, 83.

a) *The similarity of concept.*

1) The idea of the whole containing the part is found in three Rules. These are Rule 35: *Plus semper in se continet quod est minus*; Rule 53: *Cui licet quod est plus, licet utique quod est minus*; and Rule 80: *In toto partem non est dubium contineri*.

2) The notion that a special concession is not to be used as a model for unauthorized concessions is found in three Rules. These are Rule 28: *Quae a iure communi exorbitant, nequa-*

²⁰ O. c., p. 219.

quam ad consequentiam sunt trahenda; Rule 74: *Quod alicui gratiose conceditur, trahi non debet ab aliis in exemplum*; and Rule 78: *In argumentum trahi nequeunt, quae propter necessitatem aliquando sunt concessa*.

3) The common notion regarding doubtful concessions or obligations is found in three Rules. These are Rule 30: *In obscuris minimum est sequendum*; Rule 45: *Inspicimus in obscuris quod est verisimilius, vel quod plerumque fieri consuevit*; and Rule 81: *In generali concessione non veniunt ea, quae quis non esset verisimiliter in specie concessurus*.

4) Equalization of advantage and duty is found in two Rules. These are Rule 55: *Qui sentit onus, sentire debet commodum, et e contra*; and Rule 77: *Rationi congruit, ut succedat in onere, qui substituitur in honore*.

5) Condemnation of the use of unauthorized power is found in two Rules. These are Rule 19: *Non est sine culpa, qui rei quae ad eum non pertinet, se immiscet*; and Rule 67: *Quod alicui suo non licet nomine, nec alieno licebit*.

6) Fidelity to one's word is expressed in two Rules. These are Rule 21: *Quod semel placuit amplius displicere non potest*; and Rule 33: *Mutare consilium quis non potest in alterius derimentum*.

7) The evil of advantage at another's expense is expressed in two Rules. These are Rule 22: *Non debet aliquis alterius odio praegravari*; and Rule 48: *Locupletari non debet aliquis cum alterius iniuria vel iactura*.

8) Prohibition of honors is expressed in two Rules. These are Rule 84: *Quum quid una via prohibetur alicui, ad id alia non debet admitti*; and Rule 87: *Infamibus portae non pateant dignitatum*.

b) *The complementary Rules.*

1) Two Rules of Law complement each other in the observance of law. These are Rule 6: *Nemo potest ad impossibile obligari*; and Rule 88: *Certum est, quod is committit in legem, qui, legis verba complectens, contra legis nititur voluntatem*.

2) Two Rules of Law complement each other in the preservation of rights granted by special concession or by the operation of law. These are Rule 16: *Decet concessum a principe beneficium esse mansurum*; and Rule 17: *Indultum a iure beneficium non est alicui auferendum*.

3) Possible ratification of acts is expressed in two complementary Rules of Law. These are Rule 9: *Ratum quis habere non potest quod ipsius nomine non est gestum*; and Rule 10: *Ratihabitionem retrahere et mandato non est dubium comparari*.

4) Two Rules of Law complement each other on the use of delegated power. These are Rule 68: *Potest quis per alium, quod potest facere per se ipsum*; and Rule 72: *Qui facit per alium, est perinde, ac si faciat per se ipsum*.

5) The quantity of power is expressed in two complementary Rules of Law. These are Rule 46: *Is, qui in ius succedit alterius, eo iure quo ille, uti debet*; and Rule 79: *Nemo potest plus iuris transferre in alium, quam sibi competere dinoscatur*.

6) The ultimate value of acts as judged by the passing of time is expressed in two complementary Rules. These are Rule 18: *Non firmatur tractu temporis quod de iure ab initio non subsistit*; and Rule 73: *Factum legitime retractari non debet, licet casus postea eveniat, a quo non potuit inchoari*.

c) *The particularization of the concept found in a Rule of Law.*

1) The concept of an invalid act found in Rule 64: *Quae contra ius fiunt debent utique pro infectis haberi* is particularized in Rule 26: *Ea, quae fiunt a iudice, si ad eius non spectant officium, viribus non subsistunt*.

2) The concept of the right to express oneself found in Rule 29: *Quod omnes tangit debet ab omnibus probari* is particularized in Rule 56: *In re communi potior est conditio prohibentis*.

3) The concept of inability to obey the law found in Rule 6: *Nemo potest ad impossibile obligari* is particularized in Rule 41: *Imputari non debet ei, per quem non stat, si non faciat quod per eum fuerat faciendum.*

4) The concept of benignity found in Rule 49: *In poenis benignior est interpretatio facienda* is particularized in Rule 11: *Quum sunt partium iura obscura, reo favendum est potius quam actori.*

5) The concept of ignorance found in Rule 47: *Praesumitur ignorantia, ubi scientia non probatur* is particularized in Rule 14: *Quum quis in ius succedit alterius iustam ignorantiae causam censetur haberi.*

6) The concept of an accessory item found in Rule 42: *Accessorium naturam sequi congruit principalis* is particularized in Rule 39: *Quum quid prohibetur, prohibentur omnia, quae sequuntur ex illo.*

7) The concept of defense found in Rule 20: *Nullus pluribus uti defensionibus prohibetur* is particularized in Rule 71: *Qui ad agendum admittitur, est ad excipiendum multo magis admittendus.*

8) The concept of silence found in Rule 44: *Is, qui tacet, non fatetur, sed nec utique negare videtur* is particularized in Rule 43: *Qui tacet, consentire videtur.*

9) The concept of responsibility for damage found in Rule 86: *Damnum, quod quis sua culpa sentit, sibi debet, non aliis imputare* is particularized in Rule 62: *Nullus ex consilio, dummodo fraudulentum non fuerit, obligatur.*

10) The concept of definite details embodied in a contract is found in Rule 85: *Contractus ex conventionem legem accipere dinoscuntur* is particularized in Rule 50: *Actus legitimi conditionem non accipiunt neque diem.*

d) *The contrasts in the Rules of Law.*

1) In contrast to the idea of Rule 25: *Mora sua cuilibet est nociva* is Rule 60: *Non est in mora qui potest exceptione legitima se tueri.*

2) In contrast to the idea of Rule 85: *Contractus ex conventione legem accipere dinoscuntur* is Rule 57: *Contra eum qui legem dicere potuit apertius, est interpretatio facienda*.

Two Rules of Law, mostly by application, but often, too, by adaptation have some relation to all fields of Law. These are Rule 34: *Generi per speciem derogatur* and Rule 37: *Utile non debet per inutile vitari*.

Rule 34 is cited in the footnotes to the first book of the Code²¹ but Rule 37 is not cited there. It is cited but once in all the footnotes to the Code.²² There are, however, as Bartoccetti suggests, many places where this Rule could be aptly cited.²³ The meaning is that whatever is efficacious should not be destroyed by a useless appendage.²⁴ Every commentator from the time of Dino Rosoni²⁵ on has admitted the wide applicability of Rule 37. The latest commentator viewing the lack of citation in the footnotes of the Code says that perhaps the Rule was forgotten precisely because of its wide applicability.²⁶

In the discussion of the relationships between the Rules of Law it should be pointed out that Rules 39 and 84 are not related. Rule 39: *Quum quid prohibetur, prohibentur omnia quae sequuntur ex illo* indicates a prohibition of effects which would follow from the same cause. On the other hand, Rule 84: *Quum quid una via prohibetur alicui, ad id alia non debet admitti* prohibits all separate sources of concession one of which is already prohibited. This Rule has no relation of cause and effect as found in Rule 39.

²¹ C. 48, § 1.

²² C. 167, § 2.

²³ E. g., cc. 42, § 1; 169, § 2; 1092; 1513, § 2; 1515; 1818.

²⁴ Cf. Reiffenstuel, o. c., vol. VII, Reg. XXXVII, no. 3.

²⁵ Huius regulae innumerabilia inveniuntur exempla.

²⁶ Bartoccetti, o. c., p. 118.

3) *Remarks on the criticism of the Rules of Law by Bartoccetti*

At the conclusion of his consideration of the Rules of Law of Pope Boniface VIII and before his review of the Rules of Law of Pope Gregory IX, Bartoccetti offers a criticism of the former set of the Rules of Law.²⁷ The views of Bartoccetti deserve the utmost attention because of the work entailed in the composition of his admirable volume. Praise of its utility was unhesitatingly given in an earlier article in a discussion of bibliographies of the Rules of Law.

Many of the objections to the Rules of Law are embodied in Bartoccetti's criticism. Hence, the consideration of his criticism in detail will at the same time be a consideration of these objections.

Bartoccetti's criticism of the Rules of Law can be arranged under four headings. These will be considered in turn.

a) *The application of some Rules of Law is too narrow.*

Under this heading, Bartoccetti would name Rules 1 and 83.²⁸ There is little doubt that Rule 1 has limited application and could well be omitted as a Rule of Law. Rule 1 has historical importance in the controversy concerning lay investiture and its concept is found today in Canon Law²⁹ but its application beyond benefices is very limited. Hence, Rule 1: *Beneficium ecclesiasticum non potest licite sine institutione canonica obtineri*, could well be omitted from the Rules of Law since it fails of the primary purpose of a Rule, viz., to be applicable to at least several legal matters.

Rule 83: *Bona fides non patitur ut semel exactum iterum exigatur*, could also be omitted from the series of the Rules of Law as Bartoccetti suggests. This Rule is not cited even once in the footnotes to the canons of *The Code of Canon Law*. Obviously, the importance of this Rule is in Moral Theology not in Canon Law. Its omission in the series of the

²⁷ *O. c.*, pp. 217-219, appendice D, processo alle Regole in VI°.

²⁸ *O. c.*, p. 219.

²⁹ Cf. c. 1443, § 1; cf. also c. 2400.

Rules of Law would not impair the efficacy or the utility of these Rules.

Although Bartoccetti does not mention Rule 40 as a Rule which could be omitted, this Rule might also be eliminated without loss. This Rule: *Pluralis locutio duorum numero est contenta*, had its importance when the study of Greek flourished. The Rule indicated that the Latin two constituted a plural. It did not provide the Greek dual number. Now, however, with the study of Greek unhappily and undeservedly neglected, Rule 40 has little importance beyond supporting an opinion that two actions can constitute sufficient matter for a custom. While Rule 40 has valid application, its legitimate use is now so restricted that its omission from the series of the Rules of Law would not be serious.

b) *Some Rules of Law are too evident.*

In this group, Bartoccetti suggests the omission of Rules 35, 53 and 80. This suggestion is based on the fact that such evident ideas are not fit subjects of a Rule of Law. For instance, the mathematical notion of a whole and its part is clear to all.

Bartoccetti's criticism would not be challenged had he limited his remarks to the mathematical view of these Rules as he seems to do in his exposition of Rule 35.³⁰ While there Bartoccetti admits the use of Rule 35 in a qualitative sense distinguishing it from a quantitative or purely mathematical sense, in his criticism of this Rule Bartoccetti seems to place more importance on the quantitative than on the qualitative sense of Rule 35.

It is precisely this point which must be denied. As far as Rules 35, 53 and 80 indicate mathematical quantities of the whole and its part, they should not remain as Rules of Law, as Bartoccetti suggests. But if Rule 35: *Plus semper in se continet quod est minus*; Rule 53: *Cui licet quod est plus, licet utique quod est minus*; and Rule 80: *In toto partem non*

³⁰ O. c., p. 113.

est dubium contineri are considered in the sense that power necessary to operate is included in a faculty even if this power is not expressly mentioned in the faculty, these Rules assume an importance impossible to exaggerate. Nor do they in this sense contain a concept which is evident to all. Hence, these Rules have a distinct place in the Rules of Law. It is in the sense just outlined that these Rules are applied to canons 66, § 3 and 200, § 1.

An interesting example illustrating the application of Rule 35 is found in the text of canon 621, § 1. These Regulars who are actually mendicants have the right to beg alms without additional permission of the local Ordinary in dioceses where their religious houses are established.³¹ Permission of their superior suffices. The permission of the local Ordinary is already contained in his permission to establish a religious house.³²

Some valid criticism might be made of the repetition of practically the same idea in these separate Rules. Reiffenstuel himself admits that these three Rules have the same idea.³³

Bartoccetti's objection to Rules 35, 53 and 80 contains a stricture which should not be ignored. This is the idea that evident concepts should not be the matter of Rules of Law. This certainly cannot be altogether admitted for the very utility of the Rules depends largely on the grasp of the idea which while jurisprudentially evident is arrived at by extraction from other law. It is frequently the neglect of the evident idea which develops into error.

Even in actual legislation where evident items are not so easily encountered, sufficient examples are found in *The Code of Canon Law* in which either the natural law or the divine positive law is restated. The law regulating the impediment

³¹ *Regulares eleemosynas in diocesi . . . ubi eorum religiosa domus est constituta, quaerere valent de sola Superiorum suorum licentia.*

³² Cf. c. 497, § 1; cf. also *A.A.S.*, I (1909), 153, I, 1.

³³ *O. c.*, vol. VII, Reg. XXXV, no. 1.

of impotence is one example³⁴ from natural law. Another example from natural law is the law stating the invalidity of acts performed under insurmountable exterior force.³⁵ Some propositions in Public Ecclesiastical Law are also laws in *The Code of Canon Law*.³⁶ Evident propositions in Sacramental Theology are also at time laws.³⁷ Statement of the power of the Roman Pontiff and of the Oecumenical Council are also laws of *The Code of Canon Law*.³⁸ These are all evident propositions but they are set down as laws.

It follows from the example given that because a proposition is evident, it is not thereby to be excluded from the body of law. In a stronger sense, a Rule of Law should not be omitted because it is evident since this characteristic greatly aids in the use of the Rules of Law.

c) *Some Rules of Law are too elastic.*

Bartoccetti maintains that some Rules of Law are too elastic to be useful.³⁹ He names Rules 43, 44, 47 and 50. Rule 43 is the well-known Rule: *Qui tacet consentire videtur*. Rule 44 is not so well-known, *Is qui tacet non fatetur, sed nec utique negare videtur*.

That Rule 43 is difficult to apply was already admitted by Reiffenstuel.⁴⁰ He says that doctors of law have worked hard to expound this Rule⁴¹ because of the many instances where silence is not taken for consent. The true meaning of Rule 43 according to Reiffenstuel is that silence can be accepted for consent in favorable matters and also in prejudi-

³⁴ Cf. c. 1068, § 1.

³⁵ Cf. c. 103, § 1; cf. also c. 167, § 1, 1°.

³⁶ Cf. cc. 1556; 2202, § 1; 2220, § 1.

³⁷ Cf. cc. 802; 871; 938, § 1; 1012, § 2; 1118.

³⁸ Cf. cc. 219; 222, § 1; 228, § 1.

³⁹ *O. c.*, p. 218.

⁴⁰ *O. c.*, vol. VII, Reg. XLIII, no. 2.

⁴¹ *In ea declaranda mire desudant doctores.*

cial matters if contradiction to allegations is easily possible.⁴² With this in mind, Bartoccetti's claim of elasticity is untenable. Abuse of this Rule is notorious. But this should not count against its validity.

Criticism of Rule 44 is founded largely on the belief that this Rule is similar to Rule 43. Bartoccetti, however, is not guilty of such misunderstanding. He interprets this Rule in the proper way⁴³ maintaining it should be used in the estimation of silence in the face of judicial interrogation. Thus this Rule differs from Rule 43 in an important way in not assigning any probative force to such silence.

Bartoccetti's criticism of elasticity in regard to Rule 44 can be answered with the rejoinder that abuse has brought this Rule into disrepute. Its proper use does not permit elasticity of application.

Rule 47: *Praesumitur ignorantia ubi scientia non probatur*, is likewise criticized as elastic. This criticism, too, results from the abuse of the Rule. Bartoccetti says it is one of the poorer Rules because it is ready for arbitrary and erroneous applications.⁴⁴ This is true but, as often maintained, the abuse of a Rule so that it becomes elastic in the hands of unlearned or careless students is not a valid argument against the real utility of the Rule. This Rule is cited under canon 16, § 2 where it aptly supports the contention of ignorance of another's private actions.⁴⁵

Bartoccetti places Rule 50: *Actus legitimi conditionem non recipiunt neque diem*, in the same class of equivocal Rules. Rule 50 could be criticized as Bartoccetti implies as superfluous⁴⁶ but it is in no sense elastic. Rule 50, if properly applied and not abused, indicates that certain acts cannot be

⁴² *O. c.*, l. c., no. 4.

⁴³ *O. c.*, p. 131.

⁴⁴ *O. c.*, p. 138.

⁴⁵ *Ignorantia vel error . . . circa factum alienum non notorium praesumitur, donec contrarium probetur.*

⁴⁶ *O. c.*, p. 143.

subject to conditions.⁴⁷ This, of course, is obvious but it does not make the Rule elastic. Abuse of this Rule is readily admitted.

d) *Some Rules of Law are too hazardous.*

The fourth point of criticism which Bartoccetti advances in his strictures on the Rules of Law is best worthy of attention. The point of criticism here, if well-founded, is enough to destroy the utility of the separate Rule against which it is levelled.

Seven Rules are selected by Bartoccetti for criticism on the score that they suffer so many exceptions that the Rule is useless. These are Rules 8, 13, 18, 21, 29, 54, 64. These Rules will be studied in turn briefly.

1) Rule 8: *Semel malus semper praesumitur esse malus*, is characterized by Bartoccetti as anti-christian if the Rule is strictly held. Nothing could be really farther from the correct estimation of this Rule. The Code itself in canon 1757, § 2, 1° says in effect that the testimony of perjurers is suspect.⁴⁸ This example is chosen because it indicates not a condition like excommunication but a presumption that present acts will not differ from past acts. Experience teaches the reasonableness of this presumption. Where the Rule fails is where the exception to the Rule occurs. If the exceptions to the Rule outnumber the applications of the Rule, the latter should be abandoned as useless. This, it is contended here, is not the case with Rule 8.

Attention should be called to the abuse of Rule 8. To transfer the presumption in this Rule from one category of crimes to another is an abuse of the Rule. It is not an exception to the Rule. Rule 8 does not contemplate anything but the fear of a repetition of the same evil act.

2) Rule 13: *Ignorantia facti non iuris excusat*, sets up a presumption of ignorance in regard to another's private

⁴⁷ Cf. Reiffenstuel, *o. c.*, vol. VII, Reg. L, no. 6.

⁴⁸ Ut suspecti; [repelluntur] . . . periurii.

actions. It does not, as commentators admit,⁴⁹ apply to all kinds of ignorance. In its proper place and sphere, Rule 13 is extremely useful. If it is used to support all forms of ignorance, an abuse of the Rule exists. Perhaps, however, the Rule is better cited in its latter half where ignorance of the Law does not excuse.

It is not proper to extend the meaning of Rule 13 beyond what is recognized there by jurisprudence. No doubt, if all forms of ignorance and their manifestations are counted, non-application of this Rule will outnumber legitimate application. The Rule, however, was never intended to apply to any ignorance but ignorance of another's private actions. If imputable knowledge of these actions is had, there is a valid exception to Rule 13.

3) Rule 18: *Non firmatur tractu temporis quod de iure ab initio non subsistit*, is justly criticized by Bartoccetti. This Rule has not universal validity. It suffers important exceptions primarily in the matter of custom⁵⁰ and prescription.⁵¹ The ultimate and legitimate possession of a benefice is also at times an exception to Rule 18.⁵² However, the Rule does not suffer as many exceptions as Bartoccetti suggests.⁵³ Convalidations and sanations are not proper exceptions to Rule 18. The simple convalidation of a marriage invalid by reason of a diriment impediment is not obtained by the mere passage of time. Nor is radical sanation an exception to Rule 18. Although by a fiction of law the effects of this sanation are retroactive⁵⁴ the invalid marriage is not convalidated by the mere passage of time.

Rule 18 is applied to marriages contracted invalidly as contrary to law earlier than the Code even though the impedi-

⁴⁹ E.g., Reiffenstuel, *o. c.*, Vol. VII, Reg. XIII, no. 11.

⁵⁰ Cf. c. 27, § 1.

⁵¹ Cf. cc. 1508-1512.

⁵² Cf. c. 1446.

⁵³ *O. c.*, p. 218.

⁵⁴ Cf. c. 1138, § 1.

ment which obstructed the marriage is no longer a matter of law.⁵⁵ A dispensation or sanation is still necessary.

Other items could be mentioned where Rule 18 has direct application. Invalid acts against laws governing alienation of property,⁵⁶ contracts,⁵⁷ and, in general, elections⁵⁸ and religious professions are not validated by the mere passage of time. It is necessary that the element of passage of time be stressed for if an invalid act becomes valid in any other way no proper exception to Rule 18 is found.

It must be admitted, then, that Bartoccetti's criticism of Rule 18 is sound since this Rule is not of universal application. But some of his examples are not apropos.

4) Rule 21: *Quod semel placuit amplius displicere non potuit*, has its primary utility in an agreement mutually entered upon. If the Rule be understood in this sense, it is obvious that while exceptions can be found the Rule itself will be of frequent application. If, however, Rule 21 be understood to include all kinds of decisions, it must fail of application frequently when the rights of others are not involved. With Reiffenstuel,⁵⁹ Bartoccetti suggests that a testament is an exception to Rule 21.⁶⁰ To the writer this is not an exception for the Rule is not intended to apply to testaments where the whole decision is the testator's and no mutual agreement exists. A real exception would be found if an item in a mutual agreement is denounced because of serious unforeseen circumstances. If the reasonable fear of serious damage exists, the agreement with adequate compensation can be broken and Rule 21 is not applied.

5) Rule 29: *Quod omnes tangit debet ab omnibus probari*, has usually been interpreted in the sense that individual ap-

⁵⁵ P.I.C., June 2, 3, 1918.

⁵⁶ Cf. c. 1530, § 1, 3°.

⁵⁷ Cf. c. 1529.

⁵⁸ Cf. c. 162, § 5; cf. also c. 150, § 1.

⁵⁹ O. c., vol. VII, Reg. XXI, no. 18.

⁶⁰ O. c., p. 218.

proval is necessary only when the item at issue affects the individual members of a moral person or community.⁶¹ The Rule has no application to items relating to the welfare of the moral person or community as a whole. *The Code of Canon Law* incorporates Rule 29 in canon 101, § 1, 2°⁶² in the traditional sense just mentioned.

Bartoccetti's criticism of this Rule apparently rests upon the fact that jurisprudence must interpret the legitimate application of this Rule.⁶³ But this is hardly a matter for valid criticism as everything in law, unless it be of divine origin, is subject to the searching review of legal experience which is nothing else than human wisdom applied to legal matters both rules and statutes. The legislator of Canon Law has amply justified the existence of Rule 29 by including it in the text of the Canon Law.

6) Rule 54: *Qui prior est tempore potior est iure*, is subject to valid criticism for, as Bartoccetti observes,⁶⁴ this Rule is employed almost as a last resort. Its use, therefore, is curtailed considerably. In Canon Law it suffers, or can suffer, frequent exceptions.⁶⁵ It would not be too much to say that Rule 54 could be eliminated from the series of the Rules of Law without great loss. Used properly, however, Rule 54 has its advantages but the exceptions to this Rule are so frequent that it cannot be applied without constant reference to other items.

7) Rule 64: *Quae contra ius fiunt, debent utique pro infectis haberi* was a controlling Rule in Roman Law.⁶⁶ Its application, however, in Canon Law was usually tempered by the insistence on an invalidating clause before an act con-

⁶¹ Cf. e.g., Reiffenstuel, *o. c.*, vol. VII, Reg. XXIX, no. 5.

⁶² Quod autem omnes, uti singulos, tangit, ab omnibus probari debet.

⁶³ *O. c.*, p. 219.

⁶⁴ *O. c.*, *l. c.*

⁶⁵ Cf. c. 48, § 2.

⁶⁶ C. I, 14, 5; cf. also Roelker, "The Interpretation of Invalidating Laws," *The Jurist*, III, pp. 365-375.

trary to a prohibitory law was considered invalid. This was taught by Reiffenstuel⁶⁷ but denied by Peckius.⁶⁸ The common opinion, however, is represented by Reiffenstuel. To-day the matter is beyond dispute. Unless a law expressly or equivalently declares an act invalid the act itself while unlawful is valid.⁶⁹

In view of the present definite legislation in Canon Law, Rule 64 is misleading. Nor, even if understood properly, could it actually be used as a Rule for the exceptions to its application are too numerous. The presumption in Canon Law differs from the presumption in Roman Law. In Canon Law, acts contrary to law are presumed valid. Their invalidity must be clearly demonstrated. Doubts are solved in favor of validity not invalidity.

Bartoccetti's criticism, then, of Rule 64 is entirely legitimate and can be accepted.⁷⁰

This consideration of the criticism by Bartoccetti of the Rules of Law should emphasize the extreme care which must be used when the Rules of Law are applied. No attempt should ever be made to apply these Rules until their historical source, their jurisprudential development and their proper scope are sufficiently studied and digested. It cannot be too strongly said that the exceptions to the various Rules of Law must be studied along with their application. Great advantage can be derived from the proper use of the Rules of Law. Great disadvantage will result if the Rules of Law are misused or abused.

EDWARD ROELKER

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

⁶⁷ *O. c.*, vol. VII, Reg. LXIV, no. 3.

⁶⁸ *Opera omnia, De Regulis Juris*, Reg. 64.

⁶⁹ *Cf. c.* 11.

⁷⁰ *O. c.*, p. 219.

EUTHANASIA AND MODERN MORALITY*

(Their Legal Implications)

A BILL proposed to the legislature of the State of New York to legalize euthanasia would amend the Public Health Law and the Penal Laws of that State, the former by adding a new article, the latter by adding a new section.¹

By a definition broader than seems to be required by the rest of the bill, which deals only with voluntary euthanasia, euthanasia is the termination of human life by painless means for the purpose of ending severe physical suffering.² The person desiring to receive euthanasia is called "the patient." "Physician" is defined to mean any person licensed to practice medicine in the State of New York.³

The bill would provide that any person of sound mind over twenty-one years of age who is suffering from severe physical

* Paper read March 19, 1950, before The Religious Roundtable, sponsored by The School of Law of The Catholic University of America, by Thomas Owen Martin, Ph.D., S.T.D., J.C.D., LL.M.

¹ Cf. J. V. Sullivan, *Catholic Teaching on the Morality of Euthanasia*, Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America Press, 1949. The proposed bill is reprinted at 25-28.

55 *Senior Scholastic*, n. 14 (Jan. 11, 1950) 13, reports that two years ago a committee of nearly 2,000 New York doctors drew up and approved a bill to legalize euthanasia and urged that mercy deaths "be brought out into the open and safeguarded against abuse rather than, as at present, be practiced illegally and without supervision of regulation."

A poll taken in 1947, continues *Senior Scholastic*, among the general public showed 37 per cent were in favor of euthanasia and 54 per cent were opposed.

² The definition given in the *Encyclopaedia of Ethics* is: "Euthanasia may be defined as the doctrine or theory that in certain circumstances, when, owing to disease, senility or the like, a person's life has permanently ceased to be either agreeable or useful, the sufferer should be painlessly killed, either by himself or another." This is obviously broad enough to cover involuntary euthanasia, too.

³ Cf. sec. 300 of the proposed bill.

pain caused by a disease for which no remedy affording lasting relief or recovery is at the time known to medical science could have euthanasia administered. It further provides that the desire to anticipate death by euthanasia under these conditions shall not be deemed to indicate mental impairment.⁴

Any justice of the Supreme Court of the judicial district, in which the patient resides or may be, or any other judge of a county court of any county in which the patient resides or may be, to whom a petition for euthanasia is presented, shall have jurisdiction of and shall grant euthanasia upon the conditions and in conformity with the provisions of the bill.⁵

The petition for euthanasia must be in writing signed by the patient in the presence of two witnesses who must add their signatures and the post-office addresses of their domicile. A form of petition is included in the bill.⁶

According to this form, the patient must state his age and that he is suffering severe physical pain caused, as he is advised by his physician, by a disease for which no remedy affording lasting relief or recovery is at the time known to medical science. The patient is further to state that he is desirous of anticipating death by euthanasia and hereby petitions for permission to receive euthanasia. He is also to give, so far as possible, the names and addresses of his father, mother, spouse, children, uncles and aunts.

This petition must be accompanied by a certificate signed by the patient's attending physician. A form for such certificate is included in the bill. According to this form, the physician is to state that it is his opinion and belief that the patient is suffering severe physical pain caused by a disease for which no remedy affording lasting relief or recovery is at the present time known to medical science. He is further to state the name whereby the disease from which the patient is suffering is known. He is also to state that he is satisfied that the

⁴ Cf. sec. 301.

⁵ Cf. sec. 302.

⁶ Cf. sec. 303.

patient understands the nature and purpose of the petition in support of which the certificate is issued, and that the disease comes within the provisions of the bill.

The judge or justice to whom the petition for euthanasia has been presented is required to appoint a committee of three competent persons, who are not opposed to euthanasia as provided in the bill, of whom at least two must be physicians and members of a county or district medical society, who shall forthwith examine the patient and such other persons as they deem advisable or as the court may direct and, within five days after their appointment, shall report to the court whether or not the patient understands the nature and purpose of the petition and comes within the provisions of sec. 301 of the bill. The court must then grant or deny the petition within three days of its receipt. If the committee reports in the affirmative the court must grant the petition unless there is reason to believe that the report is erroneous or untrue, in which case the court must state in writing the reason for denying the petition. If the petition is denied an appeal may be taken to the appellate division of the Supreme court, and/or to the Court of Appeals.⁷

When the petition has been granted as provided in the bill, euthanasia is to be administered in the presence of the committee, or any two members thereof, with the patient's consent; but no person shall be obliged to administer or receive euthanasia against his will.⁸

The bill further provides that a person to whom euthanasia has been administered under the conditions of the bill shall not be deemed to have died a violent or unnatural death nor shall any physician or person who has administered or assisted in the administration thereof be deemed to have committed any offense criminal or civil, or be liable to any person whatever for the damages or otherwise.⁹

⁷ Cf. sec. 304. The patient may die naturally while all this is going on.

⁸ Cf. sec. 305.

⁹ Cf. sec. 306.

The penal law is amended by adding a new section¹⁰ providing that death resulting from euthanasia administered pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the bill shall not constitute a crime or be punishable under any provisions of the Penal Law.

The bill, then, does not purport to provide relief for those of unsound mind or for minors, although they may be suffering severe physical pain. Likewise, it does not provide relief for those who are suffering severe mental pain, as do neurotics.¹¹ It does not provide relief for hopeless cripples who are not such by reason of a disease, e.g. the "basket-cases" in veterans' hospitals.¹² Persons in all these groups may be a burden to themselves, to their relatives, and to society, but relief is not afforded in their cases under the bill.

Excluded from the committee to be appointed by the judge to examine the patient are persons who are opposed to euthanasia as provided in the bill. One is inclined to ask whether an act would constitutionally afford due process if persons opposed to a labor injunction were required to be

¹⁰ Cf. sec. 1056, as proposed.

¹¹ Cf. M. Gumpert, M.D., "Euthanasia—Pro and Con, a False Mercy," 170 *The Nation*, n. 4 (Jan. 28, 1950) 80, where he points out that millions of people today live a hopeless and painful, even a socially useless, life without the benefit of an incurable disease. Asking whether they should be permitted to be candidates for euthanasia, he notes that suffering is more readily accepted by a person who has a painful disease than by a neurotic person who induces his own misery and pain by emotional processes. The patient, he says, who is in agony and despair most of the time may still get some joy out of existence and will change from longing for death to fear of death so frequently that one would hesitate to decide what should be done, so unsafe is the ground.

¹² Cf. Richard Horace Hoffman, M.D., *Would A Euthanasia Law Be Beneficial or Harmful*, discussion with Robert L. Dickinson, M.D., President, Euthanasia Society of America, on Dec. 16, 1946, printed in 12 (C.B.S.) *Talks*, n. 1 (Jan. 1947) 26, at 27, where he points out that to the "basket-cases" the miracle of life in spite of their agonies is an inspiring light. He adds that today there are so many ways of easing pain, through medicine and surgery, that the battle is won by the sufferer. Even disseminated cancer, as he says, can be so treated that the victim is never fully aware of his disaster and is kept free from pain.

excluded from a committee set up to examine whether it should be issued.¹³

The bill seems to make of the judge a mere rubber stamp. He is required to appoint the committee when he receives a petition. He is required to grant the petition if the committee reports in the affirmative, unless there is reason to believe the report is erroneous or untrue, in which case he is required to state in writing the reason for denying the petition.

It is not made clear how the judge is to inform himself whether the report is erroneous or untrue. No provision seems to be made for him to call witnesses. The only provision which might be construed to cover this point is that whereby he may direct the committee to examine other persons than the patient. There is, however, no provision for a record to be taken by the committee and submitted to the court. Since the committee is primarily a medical one, looking for soundness of mind, understanding of the nature of the petition, and suffering from the particular disease covered by the bill, i.e. one for which no remedy is known, their report as to their examination of other persons might be subject to the hearsay rule.¹⁴

In fact, no provision is made for the judge to examine the members of the committee to determine how they arrived at their decision. All the judge can do is accept their statement:

¹³ Cf. 82 L.Ed. 1053 for an analysis of cases on discrimination in the selection of juries. For racial discrimination, cf. *Norris v. State of Alabama*, 294 U.S. 587, 55 S.Ct. 579, 79 L.Ed. 1074 (1935); *Smith v. Texas*, 311 U.S. 128, 61 S.Ct. 164, 85 L.Ed. 84 (1940). The prohibitions of the Fourteenth Amendment extend to all acts of the state, whether through its legislative, its executive, or its judicial authorities, as Gray, J., said in *Scott v. McNeal*, 154 U.S. 34, 14 S.Ct. 1108, 1112, 38 L.Ed. 896 (1894), a case of improper judicial action, approved in *Chicago, B. & Q. R. Co. v. City of Chicago*, 166 U.S. 226, 233-235, 17 S.Ct. 581, 41 L.Ed. 979 (1897).

¹⁴ The bill carries no requirement that the medical men be specialists in psychiatry, though they are to determine the soundness of mind of the patient and his understanding of the nature of the petition. The testimony, however, of experts in psychiatry on such points has, of late, been found not always acceptable.

a—as to the patient's understanding of the nature and purpose of the petition; b—as to whether the patient is: 1—of sound mind; 2—over twenty-one; 3—suffering from severe physical pain; 4—in pain caused by a disease for which no remedy affording lasting relief or recovery is at the time known to medical science.

The judge does not have before him the patient or the other persons whom the committee examines on its own motion or at his direction. It would appear difficult, therefore, for him to determine that the report is erroneous or untrue. Ordinarily, reasonable ground for disregarding undisputed evidence of unimpeached, competent and credible witnesses would have to be found in its improbability or inconsistency appearing in the record.¹⁵ It might, in fact, be thought that having selected the committee he would be estopped to refuse to accept their report, were it not for the provision as to denial when he has reason to believe it erroneous or untrue. Should some other person approach the court to ask that the petition be not granted, the problem would arise whether the judge could hear the contentions of such a person.

It might be noted, too, that there appears to be no provision for an appeal from a decision of the committee that euthanasia should not be administered. Appeal from a denial of the petition by the judge, after an affirmative decision by the committee, is allowed; but the judge is not given power to grant the petition if the decision of the committee is in the negative, nor is any other method of appeal proposed in the bill. The actual decision whether euthanasia should or should not be administered in the case thus rests with the committee.

All in all, realistically considered, the bill looks like an attempt to compel the court to tag certain "wishes, wants, desires" as "legal." Modern morality so tends—to rebel against the restraint of reason, whether it be by packing a court or by compelling a court to enforce administrative orders

¹⁵ Cf. *Moschogianis v. Concrete Material & Mfg. Co.*, 179 Minn. 177, 228 N.W. 607 (1930). Under the provisions of the bill, however, there is no record.

without examining the basis thereof. Here the judge is placed in the position of having to enforce the decision of an *ad hoc* committee. When courts have been required to enforce the decisions of an administrative agency, which has permanency and familiarity with the technical problems of its field, which enable it to weigh evidence and render a decision, there has, nevertheless, been a feeling that the courts were being imposed upon in that they were not permitted to exercise their own independent judgment.

Students of Administrative Law are familiar with the difficulties involved even in situations in which the hearing examiner is one trained in hearing and weighing the evidence of the experts who testify in the proceedings. His report is frequently subject to challenge, and due process requires that opportunity for such challenge at some stage of the proceedings be given. In the proposed bill there is to be an examination of the patient and, possibly, others by those experts who themselves report and whose affirmative report cannot be set aside by the court unless the court has reason to believe that the report is erroneous or untrue. In *Hunter v. Zenith Dredge Co.*, a workmen's compensation case, where the court was bound by the findings of the medical board, which did not have to file evidence, so that there was no possibility of review, the act was held unconstitutional.¹⁶

The fact should likewise not be overlooked that the judges of the lower courts are even now overburdened. It is contended that because of these burdens they cannot devote enough attention to the cases before them to be able to do justice in the concrete case.¹⁷ One might, then, ask how much

¹⁶ Cf. *Hunter v. Zenith Dredge Co.*, 220 Minn. 318, 19 N.W. 2d 795 (1945), Sec. 11 of the Act provided: "... The findings and conclusions of the medical board, in so far as the same concern such controverted medical questions, shall be adopted by the [Workmen's Compensation] commission as its decision on such questions." The court said, "Due process requires that the evidence on which an agency, board, or commission bases its findings be ascertainable. This court must have the necessary data on which to determine the correctness thereof."

¹⁷ Cf. H. T. Lummus, *The Trial Judge* (1937) 5-7, 77-86.

attention the judge can afford to give to the petition for euthanasia, to the selection of the committee, and to the report.¹⁸ It would appear, then, on the facts, that the judge is really to be only a rubber-stamp giving "legal protection against criticism, and obligatory confirmation."¹⁹

Another difficulty in connection with the appointment of the committee required by the bill is the reluctance of the average reputable doctor to serve on it.²⁰ Not a few members of the profession would hesitate to accept such an expansion of their powers and responsibilities.²¹ In some communities, indeed, it might be difficult to find doctors who knew enough medicine, were sufficiently unbiased and were willing to take

¹⁸ Cf. 55 *Time*, n. 11 (Mar. 13, 1950) 50, where the doctor expressed himself as "sure that the enlightened magistrate would leave the final decision to doctors and implicate himself as little as possible."

Willard L. Sperry, D.D., Plummer Professor of Christian Morals and Dean of the Divinity School at Harvard for more than twenty-five years, in an article, "The Case Against Mercy Killing," *American Mercury* (March 1950), 271, at 273, feels that the appeal to the courts places in the judiciary a confidence which is by no means warranted by the facts. The judges are not corrupt, they are simply too overworked to give each case the consideration it would merit. Dr. Sperry assumes that the judge, not being widely acquainted with the personnel of the medical profession, would find two physicians willing to serve on the panel and then, in want of leisure and wider knowledge, draft them thereafter for repeated service.

¹⁹ Cf. Dr. Robert L. Dickinson, then President, Euthanasia Society of America, *loc. cit.* at 26.

²⁰ Sperry, *loc. cit.*, questions whether the average reputable doctor would be willing to serve and so be known in the community as the man who kills people, rather than the man who keeps them alive. At 275, he points out that legalizing mercy killings would involve a drastic revision of the traditional ethics of the medical profession, which boasts the oldest code of professional ethics in the Hippocratic Oath. Taking that oath the physician says, in its course, "I will give no deadly medicine to any one if asked, nor suggest any such counsel." If the profession slips this mooring, Dr. Sperry wonders to what other anchorage it will hold.

²¹ Cf. Gumpert, *loc. cit.*, where he says that legalizing euthanasia would be a disservice to the medical profession. It would expand the power and responsibilities of physicians, which, he feels, are already almost unbearably great. He would reject, as a physician, the power and responsibility of the ultimate decision whether a person should live or die.

the time to examine the data carefully so that their decision would be an independent one and not merely reflect the view of the patient's physician.²² Overworked as is the average able practitioner in these days, when we have such a shortage of doctors, he might find the exclusion clause in the bill convenient. He might also prefer to avoid appointment lest he come to be thought of rather as a hangman than as a healer.²³ Reluctance to administer euthanasia is provided for in the bill, so the physician should have no difficulty in being excused.

If, however, the able, and consequently busy, practitioners, as well as those who want to avoid the hangman taint avoid service on the committee, whom will the judge be able to appoint? It should be remembered that the decision is supposed to rest on whether there is a remedy affording lasting relief or recovery at the time known to medical science. As a practical matter, whether the remedy is known to medical science means whether it is known to the attending physician and to the members of the committee. If the better, and thus the busier, men are not on the committee, how can a scientifically accurate decision be reached in the case?

²² Cf. 55 *Time*, n. 11 (Mar. 13, 1950) 50, for one doctor's view as to this point.

²³ Cf. 55 *Time*, n. 11 (Mar. 13, 1950) 50, for a discussion of the effect the actual commission of the "mercy-killing" would have on the doctor who performed it. The writer, a doctor, fears that the profession would lose the healthy bloom associated with the bringing forth and maintaining of life and acquire some of the unsocial, morbid air surrounding the hangman's trade.

For an understanding of the air around the hangman's trade, cf. 55 *Time*, n. 10 (Mar. 6, 1950) 38, for the story of "Mr. Ellis," Canada's closest approach to an official executioner. His real name known only to the sheriff of York County, Ont., he slips quietly into town when summoned, computes from prison records the distance the victim must drop, to meet the legal requirement that three cervical vertebrae be fractured or dislocated to snap the spinal cord and bring quick death, and does not see the prisoner until a few minutes before the hanging. The story continues with the notation that the name "Ellis" is taken from a famous English hangman who after 203 hangings cut his own throat. It also mentions that "Ellis'" fee is usually \$100 a subject. No provision is made in the bill for the fees of the committee.

In practice, it has unfortunately happened on occasion that a report has been made by similar committees without sufficiently thorough examination. A case in point is that of the woman committed to a mental institution in Michigan because she had "delusions" that her husband, an official of the State Police, together with other officials was taking bribes. After she was committed the men were convicted of taking bribes and sentenced to Southern Michigan Prison. She, however, was not released from the mental institution until an enterprising reporter of *The Detroit Free Press* spread the story on the front page for several days until the State Attorney General finally made an investigation and pronounced the commitment illegal.²⁴ The reporter also uncovered five different ways in which people had been illegally committed to mental institutions in the State.²⁵ Of course, one can, upon discovery of such illegal commitment, finally be released, unless association with the insane has brought about a deterioration of mind such that one must be kept there after all. *Habeas corpus*, however, is not of much use when the corpus is a corpse.

As far as cancer is concerned, which seems to be the disease most thought of in connection with euthanasia, it seems that in the last five years there has been most gratifying progress in many categories of science toward a better control thereof. It is, however, still the second highest cause of death, and its victims number between 180,000 and 200,000 annually. In 1949, an estimated 278,164 persons were being treated for cancer for the first time; 879,550 others with a history of cancer were living in all the stages from cure to imminent death, while 229,765 had survived treatment for five or more years, according to the Sloan-Kettering Institute.²⁶

²⁴ Cf. *The Detroit Free Press*, Jan. 4-Jan. 6, 1948.

²⁵ Cf. *The Detroit Free Press*, Dec. 30, 1947, p. 11. Cf. also *idem*, Dec. 29, p. 13; Dec. 31, p. 9, 1947; Jan. 1, p. 10; Jan. 2, p. 17, 1948.

²⁶ Cf. *The World Almanac and Book of Facts for 1950* at 377.

The mounting death rate, which in more than 35 years, has risen nearly 300% for a population increase of about 50%, is attributed chiefly to the fact that cancer is primarily a disease of middle and old age. As the population grows older, there is a corresponding rise in susceptibility and death.²⁷

Nevertheless, cancer has been pronounced a curable disease to a large extent, and the death rate, adjusted for the age of the population by the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, is clearly and sharply on the down grade. This change is ascribed to improved methods of diagnosis, depending upon the cytologist, the physicist and the educator, as well as the practitioner, a developing awareness by doctor and patient alike, and a greatly improved medical and surgical care.²⁸

That cancer can be halted, especially by early diagnosis, is demonstrated by approximations of percentage of cures drawn up by the American Cancer Society. The regular examination, by competent physicians, of apparently healthy individuals reveals about 1% with overt but silent cancer, most of which is entirely curable and comparatively easily.²⁹ Early and accurate diagnosis, then, should remove the necessity for the attending physician to inform the patient that he has a disease for which there is no remedy known to medical science. On this score, then, the bill seems to envisage a situation more theoretical than practical.

Polio is a crippling and painful disease, 20 to 25% of the victims of which show marked residual paralysis. New aids, however, are being discovered to assist those who cannot be placed in an iron lung. The viruses are being isolated and vaccine is being prepared.³⁰ It is questionable, then, how helpful the bill would be in this case.

²⁷ Cf. *loc. cit.*

²⁸ Cf. *loc. cit.*

²⁹ Cf. *loc. cit.*

³⁰ Cf. *The World Almanac and Book of Facts for 1950* at 375. Cf. also "No More Polio Panics," in *This Week Magazine*, p. 4, *The Detroit News* (June 11, 1950).

In the cases of the 625,000 Americans who die annually from 21 distinct types of heart diseases, three times more than die of cancer,³¹ the bill would seem to afford little or no comfort, for they die too quickly and naturally.

Tuberculosis, once known as consumption or "wasting disease," is seventh and last in the leading causes of death in the United States. It is both curable and preventable.³² Euthanasia, consequently, is not indicated for this condition.

All in all, one is inclined to agree with those who say that "hopeless" or "incurable" disease is an outmoded medical concept.³³ Since the weapons of medicine for fighting pain and alleviating unbearable suffering have increased beyond any expectation, there is no place, they say, for unbearable pain in modern medicine. "What is needed is wise guidance in the tremendous human experience of death, not the fulfillment of a more or less self-imposed death sentence by euthanasia," as Dr. Gumpert observes.³⁴

It is pointed out by those opposed to euthanasia that the patient *in extremis* is suggestible, and that relatives might be anxious to have the will read.³⁵ Even with a less sinister

³¹ Cf. *The World Almanac and Book of Facts for 1950* at 376.

³² Cf. *The World Almanac and Book of Facts for 1950* at 379.

³³ Cf. Gumpert, *loc. cit.*

³⁴ Cf. *loc. cit.*

³⁵ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 273-274, where he admits that the Society would repudiate with moral indignation any willingness to consent to a use of its merciful proposals to eliminate one who would be, in reality, a victim of his relatives. He feels, however, that it would be hard to prevent the situation from arising once the principle of mercy killing is recognized, for a good many of this world's wolves wear sheep's clothing, as he well turns the phrase.

Cf. also 55 *Time*, n. 11 (Mar. 13, 1950) at 50, where the doctor expresses a fear that the kinsmen eager to read the will would be given an unfair advantage. Calling on personal experience, he reports that these patients can be easily influenced, debilitated as they are by a long illness. He notes, further, that unselfish patients might feel an obligation to have themselves "eliminated," that funds earmarked for their terminal care might be used for other purposes. The selfish patient, on the other hand, would never ask for death, because he got too much enjoyment out of the sacrifices imposed upon the family.

suspicion in mind, one might fear undue influence from the patient's family, for suffering may seem more unbearable to the sensitive onlooker than to the sufferer himself.³⁶ This suggestibility of the patient seems to be taken into account by the bill when it provides that euthanasia shall not be administered to anyone against his will.³⁷

This point, as to the suggestibility of the patient, might become important in connection with the last will and testament of that patient. The bill attempts to eliminate the caveat of unsoundness of mind based on a request for euthanasia, since some courts consider suicide an indication of mental impairment.³⁸ Though the will, therefore, might not

³⁶ Cf. Gumpert, *loc. cit.*

³⁷ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 273, where he expresses the view that this concedes a possible flaw in the basic theory of the bill.

Advocates of euthanasia do not fear abuse. Thus, Dickinson, *loc. cit.*, putting it in terms of unscrupulous heirs to a fortune bribing venal doctors appointed by a court subjected to corrupt influence, says that such distrust of the physician to whom we entrust our lives all the time in illness is curious, especially with the provisions of full consideration and consultation.

Similarly, Dr. Harry Benjamin, "Euthanasia—Pro and Con, A Humane Necessity," 170 *The Nation*, n. 4 (Jan. 28, 1950) 79, feels that if the decision on "merciful release" is left to a government-appointed board of at least three persons—for instance, two medical men and one lawyer, who must be unanimous in its favor—the argument of fraud and abuse is weak. He is sure legal experts can devise adequate safeguards.

But cf., *supra*, note 24, on what has happened in commitments to mental institutions on the recommendation of government-appointed boards, and what was said about the impossibility of review by the judge, under the bill, to determine whether the petition should, in his opinion, as distinguished from that of the board, be granted. It might be noted, too, that the bill does not say the decision of the board must be unanimous. All this reliance upon government-appointed boards recalls the tendency decried by Dean Pound, *Contemporary Juristic Thought* (1941), to rely more and more upon "the omniscient bureaucrat."

³⁸ Cf. *Peabody v. Continental Life Ins. Co.*, 128 Neb. 23, 257 N.W. 482, where the court said that natural love of life creates a presumption, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, that the insured did not commit "suicide," though the presumption is rebuttable. The court there understood "suicide" to mean the intentional taking of one's own life. The presumption under the bill is to be that one who asks for euthanasia is of sound mind and knows the nature and purpose of his act. In fact, this must be attested by

be subject to attack on the grounds that the testator was of such unsound mind as to ask for euthanasia, since that request is expressly denied to be an indication of unsoundness of mind; nevertheless an attack on grounds of undue influence is not precluded. Undue influence upon the making of the will is to be distinguished from undue influence to ask for euthanasia, but they may be closely enough related, on the facts, to lay the groundwork for a successful attack upon the will when it comes to probate. This would seem especially true if the will were made during the same illness from which the testator sought relief through euthanasia. The case would be stronger yet, if it should appear that any devisee or legatee had, directly or indirectly, suggested "merciful release" prior to the making of the will, which suggestion was later acted upon.

Since the bill attempts to take euthanasia out of the category of suicide, as far as penal consequences are concerned, and expressly provides that the physician or person who has administered or assisted in the administration thereof shall not be deemed to have committed any offense, criminal or civil, a change may have to be made, as to such persons, at least, in the ruling that one who counsels another to commit suicide is guilty of murder where the other by reason of such advice kills himself.³⁹ Whether the terms of the bill are broad enough to remove the disability of other heirs, devisees or legatees, who by their counsel have murdered the ancestor or testator, to take by intestate succession or by will is, however, doubtful. It would seem to be at least arguable that such other heirs, devisees or legatees, who had exercised such undue influence inducing the patient to request euthanasia were not covered by the terms of the bill as it stands, and so could not

the attending physician and the committee. According to the Peabody case if one's life is taken by himself and there is no evidence that he did it intentionally, it will be held that he did it unintentionally, or in such a state of mind as not to be responsible therefor.

³⁹ Cf. *Commonwealth v. Bowen*, 13 Mass. 356, 358, 7 Am. Dec. 154.

take property coming by descent, devise, or bequest. The point might be important in settling claims to an estate.

It is pointed out that while the act of Dr. Sander conformed in theory to the program proposed by the Euthanasia Society, he differed from the Society in that he took the law into his own hands and went ahead on his own account.⁴⁰ His action was more in accord, indeed, with the view of the late Dr. Hugh Cabot, one of founders of the Society, than with the present position of that group.⁴¹ Its present position is rather in accord with the view that it is for society, not for the individual to take life.⁴²

There are, however, those who feel that a progressive society should limit rather than extend its power over human beings.⁴³ If the power of society over the citizen is extended, there is fear that involuntary euthanasia may be the next step.⁴⁴ There are, even today, those who feel that mongoloid idiots, the hopelessly insane, and criminals should be eliminated,⁴⁵ along with the defective child.⁴⁶

⁴⁰ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 272.

⁴¹ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 274.

⁴² Cf. Leslie A. White, Professor of Anthropology, University of Michigan, Letter to the Editor, 55 *Time*, n. 6 (Feb. 6, 1950) 6, where he well observes that no civilized society can afford to allow individual citizens to exercise this right.

⁴³ Cf. Gumpert, *loc. cit.*, where he points out that, strange as it may seem, many people who denounce capital punishment are in favor of euthanasia.

⁴⁴ Cf. Walter V. Carty, Letter to the Editor, 55 *Time*, n. 6 (Feb. 6, 1950) 6, where he points to the logical and inevitable conclusion: the extermination of the malformed, the insane, the criminal, the social and biological misfit; the slaying of the mentally and physically weak, the blind, crippled, mute, diseased, alcoholic, aged; the liquidation of all enemies of the State. Ironically, he suggests that the law might later be extended to take care of the rich mother who has been around too long, the "unjust" father who will not let the children have their own way, and the neurotic wife who is something of a bother. In this connection, recall the wife committed to a mental institution, *supra*, note 24.

⁴⁵ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 274. He quotes an Associated Press dispatch from London (Aug. 24, 1949) to the effect that Bernard Shaw proposes a sweeping cure for crime; abolish prisons and put their inmates to death. Shaw writes,

Still, those once considered hopelessly insane are responding to modern methods of treatment in many instances,⁴⁷ so it is questionable where the line would have to be drawn. The apologists for euthanasia do, indeed, repudiate any intention to legalize mercy killing for any other reason than that stated in the bill,⁴⁸ but the idea of extending it does crop out in their statements.⁴⁹

As a matter of fact, where euthanasia has been established by law in modern Western Civilization it has been extended to all who were considered socially unfit. It started with a

"If we find a hungry tiger at large or a cobra in the garden, we do not punish it. We kill it, because if we do not kill it, it will kill us. Fleas, lice, locusts, white ants, Anopheles mosquitoes, Australian rabbits must be exterminated, not punished. Precisely the same necessity arises in cases of incorrigibly dangerous or mischievous human beings, sane or insane, hopeless idiots, and enemy soldiers."

Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 277 reports that the director of one of the great mental hospitals in this country has stated that many members of his staff question the wisdom and necessity of keeping the patients alive; they are not useful and they constitute a heavy burden on the resources of the State. Common sense and economic prudence, it is argued, suggest that they should be quietly and painlessly put away. Sperry observes that there is far more talk of this sort abroad than we realize.

⁴⁶ Cf. Hoffman, *loc. cit.*, where he asks whether we are to kill the defective child and throw the idiot to the wolves as in primitive societies.

Cf. also 55 *Time*, n. 11 (Mar. 13, 1950) 50, where the doctor expresses himself as preferring to help to support even at a great sacrifice, a thousand invalids than be partner in the demise of one Helen Keller.

⁴⁷ Cf. Hoffman, *loc. cit.* *The World Almanac and Book of Facts for 1950*, at 381, reports that histamine has been found to be effective in the treatment of the mentally ill, alone or in combination with electric shock. Failure of the adrenal glands to respond to stimulation of ACTH from the pituitary has been found to lead to inadequacy of the stress-response mechanism; this may be a factor in the production of schizophrenia, scientists feel.

⁴⁸ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 276, conceding this.

⁴⁹ Cf. Benjamin, *loc. cit.*, "The contention that a seemingly incurable condition might some day be cured by a new medical discovery hardly holds water. How can the hopeless cancer victim or the imbecile child of today benefit by a discovery of tomorrow? The laws regulating euthanasia must of course be flexible, and requirements based on present knowledge may be changed in the future" (emphasis added). Cf. also Shaw's view, *supra*, note 45, and that of the hospital staff reported by Sperry, *loc. cit.*

subtle shift in emphasis in the basic attitude of the physician. It started with the acceptance of the attitude, basic in the euthanasia movement, that there is such a thing as a life not worthy to be lived. This attitude in its early stages concerned itself mainly with the severely and chronically sick. Gradually the sphere of those to be included in this category was enlarged to encompass the socially unproductive, the ideologically unwanted, the racially unwanted, and finally all non-Germans. It is important, however, to realize, as Dr. Alexander observes, that the infinitely small wedged-in lever from which this entire trend of mind received its impetus was the attitude toward the non-rehabilitable sick.⁵⁰

In ancient societies and in many primitive societies today, euthanasia has been and still is practiced, not out of mercy for the sufferer, but in the interest of the efficiency of the community as a whole. Thus, the Spartans, according to Plutarch, exposed and dispensed with weakly infants, "considering that for a child ill-suited from birth for health and vigor to live was disadvantageous alike for itself and the State." Plato, in his plans for the Utopia, favored getting rid of frail children and hopeless invalids. Aristotle discouraged the attempt to raise deformed children. The long history of euthanasia has been mainly a matter of social surgery, prompted by economic and military motives rather than pity for the individual sufferer. The tribe or the State ought not to be burdened with the dead weight of citizens whose "usefulness" had never been realized or was now past, this was the underlying theory.⁵¹

⁵⁰ Cf. Leo Alexander, M.D., "Medical Science Under Dictatorship," in *New England Journal of Medicine* (July 14, 1949). Cf. also Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 277, 278, for a summary of this article and of one by A. C. Ivy, M.D., "Nazi War Crimes of a Medical Nature," in *Journal of the American Medical Association* (Jan. 15, 1949). This attitude is not confined to European members of the profession, as is apparent from Sperry's report of the view of the staff of one of the great mental hospitals of this country, *supra*, note 45.

⁵¹ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 276. Cf. also Sullivan, *op. cit.*, 4-12. At 4, he shows how our American Indians left beside the trail those whose progress was so slow as to hinder the tribe on its journey to another hunting ground. The need of food for the group was given priority over the need of the incapacitated.

Advocates of euthanasia consider the reference to the Nazi procedure but a superficial objection, the product of loose thinking, for the Nazis never asked the consent of patients or relatives.⁵² They did, of course, teach the mentally handicapped children to believe that entrance into the *Hitler-kammer*, where they were to die, was a noble act of service to the Fuehrer.⁵³ The opponents of euthanasia admit that the Nazi procedure is not that of the proposed bill, but they are worried about how the tiny, harmless, shoot grew into the vine the tentacles of which soon choked off all humaneness.⁵⁴ It is, after all, our only contemporary experience with the working of such a law. It is difficult, therefore, to leave it entirely out of our consideration of the proposed bill.

At this time, when the relationship of the citizen to the State is so much under discussion and when the dangers to civil liberties seem to be multiplied, it is disturbing to find that the petition of the patient is so worded as to have him ask the "permission" of the State to end his life. Such an acknowledgment of the supremacy of the State over the individual, to the extent that the latter must get its permission to die, is perhaps in accord with modern morality, but it makes one ponder whether the proponents of the legislation realize what was at stake at Runnymede in 1215 and in the constant struggle to assert the "rights of Englishmen" which culminated in the Petition of Right and the Bill of Rights.

tated person to continue living. The motive was basically economic, it would seem, and may return to prominence with the increase in the number of oldsters in our society who are not able to find employment and must be supported at the expense of the rest of the public.

⁵² Cf. Benjamin, *loc. cit.*

⁵³ Cf. G. A. Ziemer, *Education for Death: The Making of a Nazi* (1941) 76-79.

⁵⁴ Cf. Gumpert, *loc. cit.*, where he expresses his anxiety over the attitude of apparently honest physicians toward the orders of a perverted government and at the potential dangers of euthanasia as an instrument of public health.

Cf. also the definition of euthanasia both in the bill and in the *Encyclopaedia of Ethics*, note 2, *supra*.

In the United States the present and proposed health programs are being put on the basis of the cost to society of illness. The cost is said to be the unnecessary human suffering and the yearly loss of hundreds of millions of productive working days.⁵⁵ In fact, modern medicine in all countries seems in danger of becoming primarily a matter of the economic rehabilitation of unproductive citizens, and to this extent it ceases to be a matter of devoted care for the single individual sufferer, in and for himself.⁵⁶

The Federal Government is, for example, at the present time, spending \$7,500,000 a year to assist the States in caring for crippled children.⁵⁷ In addition, last year Congress authorized additional grants of \$1,500,000 to States for crippled children for the year ended June 30, 1949.⁵⁸ Were these children "eliminated" a considerable amount of money could be saved. It is said that the cost of nursing, of medical and surgical treatment sufficient to keep the cancer patient comfortable are frequently prohibitive.⁵⁹ Were the government to progress to the point of taking over all the expense of providing medical and surgical services, the aggravated burden could be lightened by "eliminating" those who could not be made productive, i.e. for whom no lasting relief or recovery was possible in the current state of medical knowledge. That is what primitive societies and the Nazis did. They "elim-

⁵⁵ Cf. *New Republic* (Jan. 16, 1950) 17, "President Truman has repeatedly urged Congress to enact a comprehensive plan for improving the quality of medical care and making such care more completely available to the people. 'The real cost of our present inadequate medical care,' the President said, 'is not measured merely by doctors' and hospital bills. *The real cost to society is in unnecessary human suffering and the yearly loss of hundreds of millions of productive working days*'" (emphasis added).

⁵⁶ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 278, relying on the view of Dr. Alexander, cf. *supra*, note 50, and for that reason revealing his qualms against the legalization of mercy killing.

⁵⁷ Cf. *The World Almanac and Book of Facts for 1950* at 726.

⁵⁸ Cf. *The World Almanac and Book of Facts for 1950* at 386.

⁵⁹ Cf. J. C. Bateman, M.D., Letter to the Editor, 55 *Time*, n. 6 (Feb. 6, 1950) 6.

nated " those who were unproductive, uneconomic to care for, a burden to society, as some people are suggesting today.⁶⁰

On occasion, euthanasia is suggested on the ground that it is uneconomic for a person to use up all of his savings in procuring palliation for a condition which is not curable.⁶¹ It might be noted, however, that other theories have been advanced, and to some extent adopted here, according to which one's estate should be completely used up by inheritance taxes in four generations. It has further been suggested, and to some extent brought about, that no one should have an income of more than \$25,000 a year. How, then, one is tempted to ask, is it uneconomic for a person who is ill to use up all his substance in paying bills for a final illness? It does "prime the pump," and inherited wealth is supposed to be bad anyway, especially when the State can better take care of all the needs of the citizenry.

Another point to be considered in the matter of the economics of death is that of the life insurance business. The actuarial tables, on the basis of which the companies calculate their premiums, would be seriously disrupted if, in addition to the normal hazards insured, there had to be considered the hazard of voluntary termination of life. It is provided in the bill that the person to whom euthanasia has been administered under the conditions of the bill shall not be deemed to have died a violent or unnatural death. It is further provided that any physician or person who has administered euthanasia or assisted in the administration thereof shall not be deemed to have committed any offense criminal or civil, or be liable to any person whatever for the damages. Death resulting from euthanasia administered pursuant to and in accordance with the provisions of the bill is not to constitute a crime.

⁶⁰ Cf. *supra*, note 45.

⁶¹ Cf. Benjamin, *loc. cit.*

Thus, causing the death of the insured would not, it appears, prevent the beneficiary from recovering the face of the policy.⁶² The deceased cannot be considered to have died as a felon, thus relieving the company of its duty to pay.⁶³ Furthermore, he is, presumably, not to be considered as guilty of suicide while sane, which has been held to relieve the company of liability,⁶⁴ at least where the payment was to be made to the estate of the deceased.

Clauses drafted to relieve the company in cases of suicide, sane or insane, may not, under the bill, exempt the company from liability to pay, for the act of requesting euthanasia is not to be considered felonious as suicide is. Clauses, therefore, may have to be drafted to exclude liability in the event the insured dies by "mercy killing," if the company is not to be placed at the mercy of the insured's whims.

It might be asked, however, whether this bill, if enacted into law by a State, so substantially affecting existing contracts of insurance by throwing out the suicide clause, would be constitutional. No State, after all, shall pass any law impairing the obligation of contracts.⁶⁵

Advocates of euthanasia argue that, since humane considerations prompt us to put animals out of their misery, those same considerations should prompt us to do the like for human beings.⁶⁶ A difficulty, in this regard, is created by the

⁶² Cf. *New York Mutual Life Ins. Co. v. Armstrong*, 117 U.S. 591, 29 L.Ed. 997 (1886).

⁶³ Cf. *Burt v. Insurance Co.*, 187 U.S. 362, 23 S.Ct. 139, 47 L.Ed. 216 (1902).

⁶⁴ Cf. *Ritter v. Insurance Co.*, 169 U.S. 139, 18 S.Ct. 300, 42 L.Ed. 693 (1898).

⁶⁵ Cf. U.S. Const., Art. I, sec. 10, § 1, "No state shall . . . pass any . . . law impairing the obligation of contracts."

⁶⁶ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 274, where he quotes Very Reverend W. R. Inge, the famous "gloomy Dean" of St. Paul's in London as saying: "It seems anomalous that a man may be punished for cruelty if he does not put a horse or a dog out of its misery, but is liable to be hanged for murder if he helps a cancer patient to an overdose of morphine."

Cf. also Benjamin, *loc. cit.*

Thirteenth Amendment forbidding slavery.⁶⁷ It is not possible, here, to have property rights in another human being such as we can have in animals. The State may interfere with our property rights in animals to the extent of requiring that we dispose of them humanely, but neither the doctor nor the relatives have any property rights in the patient. Of course, where the State is thought of as practically "owning" the citizens it can dispose of them as it will, and so, indeed, did the Nazis, and do others under whose theories of government the citizens are but cogs in the machine of the State, bound to serve wherever the Master-State demands that they serve, in labor battalions, in the "land army," in the military forces, etc., and to be thrown away if they are unproductive.

Others feel that if it is not wrong to pray that the sick be released from their suffering it is not wrong to do some act which releases them.⁶⁸ One may look upon prayer as a series of words directed to God, or as a series of words, merely. In the first case, if the prayer is heard and deliverance is obtained, God is beyond the reach of prosecution. In the second case, the words are just that and neither help nor harm the patient, so there is no occasion for prosecution. When, however, an act is performed the prosecutor can proceed against the man who performed it and subject him to punishment.

Still others feel that if we tamper with man to keep him alive, we should not be prevented from tampering with him

⁶⁷ Cf. U.S. Const. Amend. XIII, "Sec. 1. Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States or any place subject to their jurisdiction."

⁶⁸ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 272, where he reports the case of the clergyman who said that if Dr. Sander was guilty, he too was guilty; for he had often prayed that some suffering parishioner might be "eased into the experience of death."

to make him die.⁶⁹ There is, however, a rather important distinction between the two types of tampering.

It is further suggested that since a State selects its healthiest citizens to expose to slaughter and life-long maiming to release others from greater ills, it should not be denied the power to end life that is not living.⁷⁰ This argument, which would justify involuntary euthanasia as well as the type proposed in the bill, is reminiscent of that of Holmes, J. in *Buck v. Bell*.⁷¹ He there suggested that the State could call upon those who sapped its strength, by the cost of their care as incompetents, for the lesser sacrifice of sterilization, and that the principle which sustained compulsory vaccination was broad enough to cover cutting the Fallopian tubes.

The supreme sacrifice is asked of the citizen to protect the life, the continued free existence, of the State. The lesser sacrifice, sterilization, is asked to protect society's pocket-book. Holmes' dictum, however, is hardly precedent for asking the sacrifice of the life of the unproductive citizen to protect that pocketbook. Still, the argument could be significant as the costs of Old Age Assistance continue to rise, or if we come to a point where the State assumes the financial burden of all medical care for its citizens.

Conscious of the force of word-symbols, advocates of euthanasia have succeeded in getting it referred to as "mercy killing." Since, however, the word "mercy" does not sufficiently offset the word "killing" in the popular mind, and since the ugly word "murder" continues to crop up when persons are prosecuted for their actions in this regard, it is now being suggested that the words "suicide" or "assisted

⁶⁹ Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 275, where he observes, in answer to this view, that our continual interference with nature always looks to the saving and bettering of life, not to its arbitrary ending.

Cf. also Matth. 26:31-46, where works which tend to sustain life are to be rewarded, while works which tend to abbreviate it, e.g. denial of food, drink, housing, clothing, are to be punished.

⁷⁰ Cf. Dickinson, *art. cit.*, at 27.

⁷¹ Cf. *Buck v. Bell*, 274 U.S. 200, 47 S.Ct. 584, 71 L.Ed. 1000 (1927).

suicide" be used.⁷² It is then argued that suicide has been accepted by many civilizations as a completely honorable act.⁷³

Brahman and Buddhist doctrines approve suicide in certain circumstances. So, sutteeism, the self-immolation of a Hindu widow on the funeral pyre of her husband, eliminating need for society to support her, has been considered honorable, though attempts have been made to outlaw it. Hara-kiri has also been considered honorable. Defeated generals, dethroned rulers, and statesmen protesting against political policies have committed hara-kiri and have been thought of as doing an honorable thing. Personal insult, insolvency, dishonor or a slight offered to an ancestor have also been considered adequate reasons for self-destruction.⁷⁴ In none of these cases, however, do we find a precedent for the suicide of a person suffering severe physical pain caused, as he is advised by his physician, by a disease for which no remedy affording lasting relief or recovery is at the time known to medical science.

⁷² Cf. Sperry, *art. cit.*, at 273, where he notes that the Society's bill would seem to cast the transaction into the realm of suicide rather than of murder, even though the fatal act is to be done by a member of the medical profession or an officer of the State.

Cf. also *New Republic* (Jan. 16, 1950) 6, "If we called these situations 'assisted suicide' rather than 'mercy killing,' the moral content would be considerably changed. . . . The term 'murder' implies anger: it is not the word to use for a courageous act of compassion." Compare, R. von Jhering, "Im Juristischen Begriffshimmel," in *Scherz und Ernst in der Jurisprudenz*, for a critique of such "Conceptualism." The writer in *New Republic* seems to be thinking rather of Voluntary Manslaughter than of Murder with malice aforethought, which may involve very cold-blooded premeditation.

⁷³ Cf. *New Republic*, *loc. cit.*

Hoffman, *loc. cit.*, denies that there is any instinct to die. From his own experience he mentions a number of cases of attempted suicide, and points out that when they survived not one, to his best recollection, tried it again.

⁷⁴ Cf. B. Bunzel, "Suicide," in 14 *Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences* 455, 456. Cf. also B. Malinowski, *Crime and Custom in Savage Society* (1926) 94-95, 97-98. Leo XIII, Encyclical, *Inscrutabili* (Apr. 21, 1878), reprinted in Husslein, *Social Wellsprings* 2, § 2, criticizes this "modern morality."

Turning to the portions of the globe from which, until recently, we preferred exclusively to recruit our citizens, we find that Islam severely condemns suicide. The Talmud condemned it as sinful and did not permit the customary mourning rites for one who had died by suicide.⁷⁵ Students of Sacred Scripture point out that the commandment, "Thou shalt not kill," has no object for the verb, so it is capable of covering suicide as well as murder.⁷⁶ Origen rejected the suggestion of the pagan, Celsus, that the Christians commit suicide and relieve the State of their presence.⁷⁷

In view of the references made to the action of Seneca (ca. 4 B. C.—65 A. D.), the Roman philosopher, in taking his own life, as he had advocated doing, as justifying euthanasia, it is interesting to note how the Roman Law treated the matter. Emperor Hadrian (117-138 A. D.), several years after Seneca's death, decreed that the property of a suicide should no longer be confiscated by the State. Emperor Antoninus, in 212 A. D., again issued a decree to the effect that the State would not confiscate the property of a suicide. It was this decree which was retained in the Code of Justinian.⁷⁸

⁷⁵ Cf. B. Bunzel, *art. cit.*, *loc. cit.* In 10 *The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, at 93, it is said that one might ease one's own sufferings, though others were forbidden to hasten the death.

⁷⁶ Cf. P. Heinisch, *Das Buch Exodus* (1934) 156, "Das sechste Gebot V.13. Die drei folgenden Gebote sichern die irdischen Güter des Menschen, Leben, Ehre und Eigentum. Der kostbarste Besitz ist das Leben, darum steht das Verbot, dem Nebenmenschen das Leben zu nehmen, an der ersten Stelle dieser Reihe. Schon durch die Erzählung von Kains Tat Gn 4,10 und die sog. noachischen Gebote Gn 9,6 war der Mord verfehmt. *Da kein Objekt genannt ist, ist indirekt auch der Selbstmord untersagt*" (emphasis added).

⁷⁷ Cf. Origen, *Contra Celsum* (248 A.D.) 8: 55-56; *CB* (ed. P. Koetschau, 1899), 2,229; *MPG* 11: 1533 ff. Cf. also *Didachè* 2,2; 5,2 (ca. 90-100 A.D.), contrary to Bunzel's assertion, *art. cit.*, that Christian condemnation of suicide stems from St. Augustine.

⁷⁸ Cf. D 48.21.3.5 (Hadrian); D 48.21.3.4 (Antoninus). C 9.50.1 (Antoninus) reads: "*Eorum demum bona fisco vindicantur, qui conscientia delati admissique criminis metuque futurae sententiae manus sibi intulerint*. 1. *Eapropter fratrem vel patrem tuum si nullo delato crimine dolore aliquo corporis aut taedio vitae aut furore aut insania aut aliquo casu suspendio vitam finisse*

As Marcianus, the commentator quoted in the Digest, remarks, it is important to know for what reason a person has committed suicide, as when it is asked whether one who attempted suicide and did not succeed should be punished, as if for having tried to pass sentence upon himself. Certainly, continues Marcianus, he must be punished unless he was driven to do it by being tired of life or impatient at his sufferings. He is rightly punished if he committed suicide without reason, for he who did not spare himself would be much less inclined to spare others.⁷⁹

The Roman Law, then, permitted one suffering pain, not necessarily severe, nor even incurable, it would seem, or one tired of life, to take his own life, at least to the extent that his property would not be confiscated to the disherison of his heirs. Once the person was dead nothing could be done to him, and the State relaxed its practice of punishing the heirs by confiscation of the property. There is no indication that the State, totalitarian though it was, required that the person tired of life or suffering first beg its permission to end his life, as the bill proposes. Substantially, the Roman Law seems to have treated the matter about as our law does at the present time. It was, perhaps, somewhat more lenient with the unsuccessful suicide.

constiterit, bona eorum tam ex testamento quam ab intestato ad successores pertinebunt" (emphasis added). Pain, "weariness of life," insanity, would, therefore excuse from confiscation the property of a suicide.

D 48.21.3.5 (Hadrian) reads: "*Videri autem et patrem, qui sibi manus intulisset, quod diceretur filium suum occidisse, magis dolore filii amissi mortem sibi irrogasse et ideo bona eius non esse publicanda divus Hadrianus rescipit*" (emphasis added). Driven by remorse to commit suicide, he was, therefore, excused, according to Hadrian's decree.

D 48.21.3.4 (Antoninus) reads: "*Si quis autem taedio vitae vel inpatientia doloris alicuius vel alio modo (furor vel dementia—Solazzi, cf. Levy-Rabel, 3 Index Interpolationum 550) vitam finierit successorem habere divus Antoninus rescipit*" (emphasis added). This is more general than the decree of Hadrian, and this remained in Justinian's Code.

⁷⁹ Cf. D 48.21.3.6.

This attitude did not, however, mean that children involved in taking the life of a parent were completely safe.⁸⁰ A man who knew that his brother intended to take the life of their father, mother, or other close relative, and did not warn the parent was exiled, and the doctor was put to the torture.⁸¹

There are those who proclaim that euthanasia is not contrary to the teachings of Christ, but rather is an observance of his words, "Blessed are the merciful."⁸² Not so, however, do Mindszenty and Stepinac understand his teaching. They did not take poison to avoid suffering severe physical pain from which they knew, or at least suspected, there would be no relief. Nor did those who were warned of the sufferings to come by St. Peter,⁸³ take poison in order to escape them. Christ himself knew that he was to die,⁸⁴ and by what death,⁸⁵ but he did not make use of any of the poisons known in his day, nor did he even take the wine which would have deadened his agony as he hung on the cross.⁸⁶ Judas, the Betrayer, indeed, committed suicide, to the horror of the Christian community;⁸⁷ but the idea that euthanasia as a merciful relief from physical suffering is part of Christ's teaching falls before the tortured figure on the cross.

The foregoing analysis of the antagonisms existing between the principle of euthanasia and the laws of a nation solidly founded on a Christian culture has deliberately aimed at

⁸⁰ Cf. D 48.9, "*De Lege Pompeia de Parricidiis*," and D 48.9.9, wherein is set forth the penalty.

⁸¹ Cf. D 48.9.2.

⁸² Cf. C. Potter, "The Case for Euthanasia," 30 *Reader's Scope* (1947) 113.

⁸³ Cf. 1 Pet. 4:12-19.

⁸⁴ Cf. Luke 9:22; Mark 8:31-33; Matth. 16:21-23 (first prediction); Luke 9:43b-45; Mark 9:30-35; Matth. 17:22-23 (second prediction); Luke 18:31-34; Mark 10:32-34 (third prediction). Cf. also M.-J. Lagrange, O.P., *L'Évangile de Jésus-Christ* (1930) at 252, 264, 412.

⁸⁵ Cf. Matth. 20:17-19; John 3:14, 12:34.

⁸⁶ Cf. Matth. 27:34, 48; Mark 15:23.

⁸⁷ Cf. Matth. 27:5; Acts 1:18.

avoiding sensationalism in depicting the horrifying consequences that would follow from the adoption of that pagan device. It was thought that a representation of the extent to which revolutionary revamping of the constitution and the laws of this nation would be demanded by such an acceptance would not need more than a calm and logical description to demonstrate how adverse it is to our Christian heritage. Even in the latter pages of this study in which there has been rather specifically established the conflict between Christianity and paganism in relation to this principle, it was deemed adequate for the present purpose to point to the conflict in a somewhat dispassionate manner. From what this study has revealed, however, it is apparent that there is ample ammunition available for the Christian crusader in his defense against paganism of Almighty God's supreme dominion over human life.

COLLIER'S COMES TO LOVE LIFE

Collier's received a great number of letters protesting an article in the April 22 issue written by Dr. Foster Kennedy and entitled "The Right to Kill". The letters resulted from a united campaign organized by the New York State Parish and School Sodalities. An acknowledgment was sent to everyone of the correspondents by the editor. Subsequently the New York newspapers carried a full-page advertisement announcing the forthcoming publication of an article in *Woman's Home Companion* (June issue), written by Dr. Benjamin Miller and entitled "Why I Oppose Mercy Killing".

Cases and Studies

SYRIAN MARRIAGE IN THE UNITED STATES

Were two Syrians who were married in the United States prior to the date of which the new marriage code of the Oriental Church became effective (May 2, 1949) obliged to observe the Catholic form of marriage? Can such a marriage be declared null?

PRAEVERSUM

First of all, let it be noted that canon 85 of the Oriental code requires the observance of the form and of a sacred rite. This canon is binding on all members of all the Oriental rites.

It is not apparent whether the case refers to *pure* Syrians, Melkites, or Maronites. Marbach in his dissertation, *Marriage Legislation for the Catholics of the Oriental Rites in the United States and Canada*,¹ says that the *pure* Syrians were never very numerous in this country and that they do not have a church of their own in the United States. However, they are bound by the form in virtue of canon 85 just mentioned. What their status was prior to the Code is not too clear. Marbach says that the synodal legislation under which they were governed required the prayers of the priest without stating whether this was needed for validity.² In the case of the Melkites, replies of the Holy See indicated that a similar situation existed and that their marriages without the observance of the form were not evidently null.³ Though the Synod of Mt. Lebanon adopted legislation similar to that of the decree "*Tametsi*," the Holy See held that Maronites were not bound by that legislation in the following cases: when they contracted marriage in or out of their Patriarchate with the faithful of other Oriental rites (the exemption was communicated); when, after giving up their domicile

¹ Marbach, *Marriage Legislation for the Catholics of the Oriental Rites in the United States and Canada*, The Catholic University of America Canon Law Studies, n. 243 (Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America Press, 1946), p. 150.

² *Op. cit.*, p. 122.

³ Marbach, *op. cit.*, pp. 162, 163.

in their proper territory, they contracted marriage even with each other, whether or not they had acquired a new domicile or quasi-domicile; and when, after obtaining the necessary dispensation, they contracted marriage with non-Catholics.⁴ Briefly, then, it seems that a marriage contracted by any member of any of these rites would have to be upheld if contracted before the new Oriental code and within the boundaries of the United States even in the event that a Catholic form of marriage was not observed. That status of course is changed by the new Oriental code in regard to marriages contracted on or after May 2, 1949.

IMPLICIT ACCEPTANCE OF THE FAITH

About 1917 Martin, originally a Catholic but belonging to a family which en masse had turned from and come to hate the Church, entered upon a ceremony of marriage with Margaret, an Episcopalian. In a small maternity hospital Doris was born of this union in February, 1922. When she was four she moved with her family to a country place. From her mother the girl was given to understand that she was a Catholic and she went, from time to time, with friends to Mass. When she was seventeen she entered a hospital to become a nurse. There, under the influence of the Sisters, she came really to know and love the Faith. She attended daily Mass. In her third year she sought to supply for childhood deficiency by joining an evening class of religious instruction under one of the priests at a neighborhood parish church. Thus she acquired a good knowledge of things Catholic, certainly as much as they who have received their instruction in more tender years. Among other things, it was understood clearly that as a Catholic she was bound by the form of marriage. Due to the fact that she was shifted to night duty, she did not entirely complete the course but she had attended some two months three times a week. She had not yet made her First Communion. She never attended Protestant Churches and *de facto* still attends Mass regularly.

She intended to marry Vincent, a Catholic. There occurred between them a temporary misunderstanding and, in a moment of pique, she forthwith went through a civil ceremony of marriage with James, a Methodist, a man she scarcely knew. Whether the groom was baptized or not—even his present whereabouts, if he is living—are presently unknown. Civil divorce soon followed and James has remarried. Doris entered upon a civil ceremony of marriage with Vincent and they now have a three year old son, a baptized Catholic.

Some years ago Doris and Vincent sought ecclesiastical advice and there seemed a fair prospect of results. However, Doris' record of baptism could not be found; so she was instructed to invite her mother to call in order to

⁴ Marbach, *op. cit.*, pp. 155-160.

make an affidavit. The mother did, indeed, come *only to state that Doris had not been baptized!* Nonplused, those handling the case thereupon dropped it.

It is only recently that I have become acquainted with the couple and when they consulted me I suggested that Doris ply her mother with a few questions. The mother repeated to Doris that Doris had not been baptized. I asked Doris about the possibility of an Episcopalian baptism, but she thought this unlikely. However, I set afoot an inquiry in that direction and sure enough it turns out that she was baptized November 27, 1922 (at nine months of age), by the rector of St. John's Episcopal Church. I am convinced the baptism was valid, among other reasons because the late officiant was very Catholic minded, looked upon himself as a Catholic, acknowledged the Pope, was buried with a stole given him by some Catholic Sisters. I have not yet notified Doris of this discovery, which surely will astound her.

Under these circumstances, should Doris be considered a Catholic?

CINEFACTUS

Boudreaux¹ discusses the question of tacit acceptance of the faith but clearly shows that, in the case of children who have reached the age of reason, what authors call "tacit" acceptance is really "implicit" acceptance, since it involves not only lack of opposition to the Church but also some positive Catholic practice. In this case, the only positive practice on the part of the child consisted in going to Mass with Catholic friends and this only from time to time.

The authors seem encouraged to admit "implicit" acceptance of the faith on the part of the children of a convert parent because the Holy Office requires of converts who are below the age of puberty only a profession of faith and not an abjuration of heresy.² This is entirely in harmony with the exemption of such persons from penalties.

On the other hand, Boudreaux cites a decision of the Rota³ in

¹ Boudreaux, *The "ab acatholicis nati" of Canon 1099, § 2*, The Catholic University of America Canon Law Studies, n. 227 (Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America Press, 1946), pp. 60-71.

² Cf. *Fontes*, n. 1073; Goodwine, *The Reception of Converts*, The Catholic University of America Canon Law Studies, n. 198 (Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America Press, 1944), p. 122.

³ S.R.R., *Varsavien., Nullitatis matrimonii*, 10 aug. 1926, coram R.P.D. Francisco Parrillo, Dec. XXXIX—*S. Romanae Rotae Decisiones seu Sententiae quae prodierunt anno 1926 cura eiusdem S. Tribunalis editae* (Romae: Typis Polyglottis Vaticanis, 1935), XVIII (1926), 313-318.

which there was involved a man, born of a Catholic mother and a schismatic father, who was baptized in a schismatic sect, but who received some Catholic training from his mother and who, though attending a schismatic church during his school years, commenced attending the Catholic church after he left school. The Rota held that he was not bound to observe the form of marriage and that his marriage to a non-Catholic before a non-Catholic minister was valid.

Because of this, some would argue that the marriage of Doris and James cannot be declared null without recourse to the Holy See. The diocesan authorities might hold that the precedent set by the Rota is sufficient to indicate that the woman's marriage must be held to be valid. They might point to the fact that the support given "implicit" acceptance of the faith on the part of children as sufficient to submit them to the form of marriage is based upon the conversion of the parents of the children, at least outside private responses in particular cases. Their position would surely be a safe one.

CHAPLAIN'S PAROCHIAL FUNCTIONS

When a chaplain is appointed to a college is it in his right, or that of the dean of the college, to invite the priest who will give the annual retreat to the students in attendance?

QUERCUS

When a lay religious institute asks a local ordinary to send it a chaplain, what do they request? In simplest terms, it may be answered that they are seeking a priest to offer the Holy Sacrifice in their chapel. The service thus sought determines the precise position held by the chaplain in regard to the institute. He has the obligation of offering daily Mass for those he has been appointed to serve, with the right to see that all the requirements of the Code and of the liturgy are observed with relation to the celebration of Mass. Under canon 1268, § 4, it is also his obligation to be an assiduous guardian of the tabernacle in accordance with the requirements of the law. Finally, he has the duty to officiate at whatever divine services are held in the chapel.

Beyond this, as chaplain, there is no sphere of activity allotted to him. He could be given parochial rights under canon 464, § 2.

But unless these have been given him, any other activity in which he engages with regard to the community can be based only on what might be called "a gentleman's agreement".

Since the invitation to give a retreat to those who are not religious pertains to the parochial function, strictly speaking, it is the pastor who should issue it. However, since the permission of the local ordinary is needed before an extradiocesan priest is invited, in accord with canon 1341, § 1, if this permission is given the dean without complaint on the part of the pastor, there is no reason why the chaplain should protest. The same should be said, it seems, if the dean invites a diocesan priest who possesses diocesan faculties.

DOUBTFUL RITE IN CASE OF ONE TO BE ORDAINED

According to canon 955, § 2, a bishop may not lawfully ordain without an apostolic indult one who belongs to an Oriental rite. If it is very probable, though not certain, that a seminarian belongs to an Oriental rite because his deceased father probably did, may the bishop lawfully ordain the seminarian without an indult because of the insoluble doubt in the case, or dispense from the requirements of canon 955 by virtue of the powers conceded in canon 15? Or is he obliged to apply for an apostolic indult in this case?

ATTEXTUS

It is assumed that the doubt in this case is truly insoluble, though in most cases one must admit that a diligent investigation can clarify the issue, particularly an inquiry into the place of the father's birth and baptism.

In the United States, the case of a Maronite under these circumstances would differ from that of a Ruthenian, for the latter would be subject to the jurisdiction of a bishop of his own rite, while the Maronite would be subject to the bishop of the Latin rite. Thus in the case of the former, the bishop of the Latin rite could, in ordaining a member of an Oriental rite, violate the jurisdictional rights of another bishop, while in the case of the latter, his offense would consist in depriving the Maronite of ordination with the ceremonies of his own rite.

But if the case is truly involved in insoluble doubt, the bishop of the Oriental rite has no more of a claim on the seminarian than has the bishop of the Latin rite; moreover, there is no more reason requiring that the ceremonies of the Oriental rite should be employed than that the ceremonies of the Latin rite be observed in his

ordination. If the question should lie between two bishops of the Latin rite, there seems no reason to question the applicability of canon 15 in the case of an insoluble doubt of fact. No essential change in its applicability is apparent in a case involving a bishop of an Oriental rite or ceremonies of an Oriental rite.

ADVOCATE'S BRIEF IN SECOND INSTANCE

I happen to be the only advocate of our tribunal. I spend considerable time in composing briefs not only for our own matrimonial causes, but also for causes in which our tribunal functions as court of second instance. When the court of first instance renders a sentence favorable to the plaintiff seeking a declaration of nullity, may my brief for the court of second instance simply repeat the arguments already successfully adduced by the advocate in the court of first instance? Would one brief suffice?

BREVIORA

In regard to both queries, Connolly in his dissertation *Appeals*¹ leaves little room to doubt the necessity of filing such a brief, implying something more than a paraphrase of the brief filed in first instance.

SUBSISTENCE PAY OF RELIGIOUS

After his discharge from the army, a young man continued his studies at a college, under the G.I. Bill of Rights. The college received \$400.00 annually for tuition, while the student received \$75.00 monthly, presumably for his subsistence.

The latter then decided to enter a religious institute of simple vows. He renounced his right to the \$75.00 a month before admission to the novitiate and made a disposition before profession ceding the \$75.00 to his family.

After profession, he petitioned the government for the \$75.00 a month (for his family). The scholasticate received \$300.00 a year for his tuition.

Is this "subsistence money" to be considered as "dominium radicale" or revenue or gift money made to the individual as an individual? Does the money belong in any way to the religious institute?

SUPERADDITA

One ventures the opinion that money paid by the federal government in lieu of subsistence should be regarded as owing to the re-

¹ Connolly, *Appeals*, The Catholic University of America Canon Law Studies, n. 79 (Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America, 1932), pp. 180, 181.

ligious institute if the member belonged to the institute during his military service; otherwise, it belongs to the member of the institute but the latter is obliged to turn it over to the society as long as he remains a member. In other words, one sees in this payment an analogy with an annual pension given because of mutilation or broken health. Such a pension is governed by the norm just outlined; so the Sacred Congregation of Religious ruled on March 16, 1922, ad V.¹

INSTALLMENT PAYING OF DOWRY

A young woman was admitted to a pontifical institute of simple perpetual vows with the understanding that she could pay the requisite dowry out of gifts made to her subsequent to her admission. Was this procedure regular?

INDIGENS

A dowry is required in institutes of simple vows only if the constitutions demand it. If they do, only the Holy See can dispense from the requirement, if the institute enjoys pontifical approval.¹ The quinquennial faculties issued to the hierarchy in the United States include the faculty of granting this dispensation.² In the absence of a dispensation, the dowry must be paid before investiture or at least a bond must be given for its payment.³

In the present case, a bond could not be regarded as adequate since its satisfaction depends upon gifts that may never be made to the religious. Therefore, the young woman could not be admitted without a dispensation. And if a dispensation was granted, then she is under no obligation to pay the sum of the dowry; indeed, she cannot, as a novice,⁴ lawfully or validly make such a payment. As

¹ AAS, XIV (1922), 196; Bouscaren, *The Canon Law Digest*, I, 312.

² Can. 547, §§ 3, 4. In religionibus votorum simplicium, quod ad religiosarum dotem pertinet, standum constitutionibus.

Dos praescripta condonari ex toto vel ex parte nequit sine indulto Sanctae Sedis, si agatur de religione iuris pontificii; sine venia Ordinarii loci, si de religione iuris dioecesiani.

³ Cf. Bouscaren, *The Canon Law Digest*, II, 36.

⁴ Can. 547, § 2. Haec dos ante susceptionem habitus monasterio tradatur aut saltem eius traditio tuta reddatur forma iure civili valida.

⁴ Can. 568. In novitiatus decursu, si suis beneficiis vel bonis quovis modo novitius renuntiaverit eademve obligaverit, renuntiatio vel obligatio non solum illicita, sed ipso iure irrita est.

a professed religious, she cannot lawfully do so.⁵ Thus she cannot make gifts of her own property after she enters the novitiate; and payments made when one is not under an obligation to make them are clearly to be classed as gifts. On the other hand, gifts that are made to her as a religious belong, by the very fact of her profession, to the religious institute. Since they belong to the institute even before the religious turns them over to it, her doing so cannot be regarded in any sense a transfer of title.⁶

One should not overlook the possibility that the requirement in the constitutions in speaking of a dowry may refer only to a sum regarded as necessary to defray the expenses of maintenance of the young woman during the postulancy and the novitiate. In that case, it is not a dowry in the strict sense and is not subject to the laws affecting dowry. Nevertheless, as a requisite in the constitutions, it cannot be waived without a dispensation. And since the institute is one of pontifical approval, it is the Holy See that is competent to dispense. It seems that the quinquennial faculties permitting bishops in the United States to dispense from want of dowry would include the power to dispense from what is a less serious requisite, the want of maintenance funds. This view seems warranted in view of the fact that, under canon 66, § 1, habitual faculties are subject to a liberal interpretation. Of course, the constitutions themselves may authorize the religious superiors to waive the requirement.

MONTH'S RESIDENCE IN NATIONAL PARISH

A young woman, whose antecedents were not those of the parishioners served by the national parish of which I am pastor, has resided in my parish for a little longer than a month. Does canon 1097, § 1, 2°, enable me lawfully to assist at her marriage? This provision seems to make no distinction between territorial parishes and national parishes in regard to the month's residence.

OBRUSSA

⁵ Can. 583. *Professis a votis simplicibus in Congregationibus religiosis non licet: 1° Per actum inter vivos dominium bonorum suorum titulo gratuito abdicare; . . .*

⁶ Can. 580, § 2. *Quidquid autem industria sua vel inuitu religionis acquirit [professus a votis simplicibus, sive perpetuis sive temporariis], religioni acquirit.*

The very same norm mentioned by the questioner refers to the need of domicile or quasi-domicile as the basis for lawful assistance at marriage. The month's residence admitted as a basis for such assistance is really an extension of the basis provided by domicile or quasi-domicile. Who, then, can say that it is not subject to the same restrictions? If the pastor of a national parish cannot lawfully assist at the marriages of those who, because they are not of the nationality served by his parish, cannot by reason of domicile or quasi-domicile become his parishioners, how can it be maintained that he can lawfully assist at the marriages of the very same persons who cannot belong to his parish even by reason of quasi-domicile, when they have resided not seven months within the territory allotted to the parish but only one month?

UNFORESEEN INCOME FROM PROPERTY OF RELIGIOUS

Before the profession of temporary vows, a novice arranged for the payment of income from certain property she owned. She intended to cover all her property and to devote the income from all of it to the same purposes. However, she forgot to specify a certain portion of it. Now, the income that has been derived from this unspecified portion has been accumulating and the superiors do not know whether to regard it as accumulated capital of the religious herself or as funds belonging to the beneficiary named as entitled to income from the property specified in the written document executed by the religious. How should this income be regarded?

OBREPTA

The fact that the religious executed this document seems adequate assurance that the constitutions of the institute do not prevent its novices from or limit them in designating beneficiaries of the income of their capital.¹ Since canon 569, § 1, does not require for such a designation that a document be executed, the intention of the religious in making such an arrangement seems to control the character which the income from her capital will assume, even though an error should creep into the document attesting to that intention. On the other hand, *prima facie* evidence of the intention

¹ Cf. can. 569, § 1. Ante professionem votorum simplicium sive temporariorum sive perpetuorum novitius debet, ad totum tempus quo simplicibus votis adstringetur, bonorum suorum administrationem cedere cui maluerit et, *nisi constitutiones aliud ferant*, de eorundem usu et usufructu libere disponere (*italics inserted*).

rests on the document. The presumption raised by its written contents must be met with adequate proof, if a variance of their terms is to be permitted. Even the recollection of the religious herself is not adequate to serve in this regard, for she can easily and sub-consciously transpose her present intention to serve as the intention she had at the time of the execution of the document. Letters she may have written to her relatives at the time she executed the document can be admitted as proof, if it is apparent that they are genuine. Written memoranda filed among her effects also seem acceptable. If proof of this type cannot be obtained, the income from the unspecified property must be considered as the income of the religious. It is her property and who except the owner is entitled to receive the income of property, in the absence of clear proof that the owner has earmarked it for other beneficiaries? And this income, to date, the religious cannot lawfully give away.²

The religious may, however, control future income of this property by executing another document mentioning the property involved and devoting its income to the respective beneficiary.³

FORMALITIES IN TRANSFER WITHIN A RELIGIOUS INSTITUTE

One of our students, professed of temporary vows, wishes to transfer to the class of lay brothers (*fratres conversi*). Must he make a postulate before admission to the novitiate? Are new testimonial letters needed?

TRANSILIS

In reference to the transfer of a religious from the group preparing for the priesthood to the group preparing for the brotherhood, the authors seem to restrict the exceptional requisites to those contained in canon 558. As a consequence, they think that a postulancy is not required or a dispensation from the Holy See (to comply with canon 542, 1°). They also believe that only one three-year period of temporary vows is required, unless the superior ex-

² Can. 583. Professus a votis simplicibus in Congregationibus religionis non licet: 1° Per actum inter vivos dominium bonorum suorum titulo gratuito abdicare; . . .

³ Can. 569, § 2. Ea cessio ac dispositio, si praetermissa fuerit ob defectum bonorum et haec postea supervenerint, aut si facta fuerit et postea alia bona quovis titulo obvenerint, fiat aut iteretur secundum normas § 1 statutas, non obstante simplici professione emissa.

tends the period. In the light of these conclusions, it is but logical to assume that new testimonial letters are not required. Underlying the conclusions is the fundamental view that the transfer from one class to another does not effect a separation from the institute.

Should the period of temporary vows expire just before the transfer or during the novitiate made in preparation for admission to another class, authors are not agreed as to whether the vows should be renewed in the respective case, for the whole or for the remaining portion of the novitiate.¹

VICAR GENERAL'S DISMISSAL OF RELIGIOUS

Our vicar general hesitates to act on the dismissal of a Sister of Mercy (not of the Union), though the constitutions of these Sisters seem to authorize the local Ordinary to dismiss its members who are professed of only temporary vows. Is he authorized to act under these constitutions?

PARCUS

O'Neill points out in his dissertation, *The Dismissal of Religious in Temporary Vows*,¹ the analogy that exists between the independent houses of the Sisters of Mercy and the monasteries of solemn vow institutes and approves in general the very provision which the constitutions of the Sisters of Mercy (not of the Union) adopted. Of course, in dismissing the religious all the norms of canon 647 must be scrupulously observed. Dismissal does not of itself include a dispensation from the vows and, in the case of perpetual vows, this effect does not follow. However, in virtue of canon 648, the law itself releases the dismissed religious from the obligations of *temporary* vows. A religious professed of perpetual vows in a pontifical institute can be dismissed only by the Holy See (canon 652, § 3).

In a case in which not *dismissal* but a *dispensation* from the vows is sought, in virtue of canon 638 only the Holy See is competent

¹ Cf. Brockhaus, *Religious Who Are Known as Conversi*, The Catholic University of America Canon Law Studies, n. 225 (Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America Press, 1946), pp. 72-81. Cf. also *Periodica*, XXV (1936), 103* (Ellis) and XXVI (1937), 33-37 (Beijersbergen); and *Commentarium pro Religiosis*, III (1922), 11, and XVII (1936), 79 (both the latter by Goyeneche).

¹ O'Neill, *The Dismissal of Religious in Temporary Vows*, The Catholic University of America Canon Law Studies, n. 166 (Washington, D. C.: The Catholic University of America Press, 1942), pp. 84, 85.

when the community involved is one that has pontifical approval, even when the vows are temporary.

It is to be noted that these processes are not interchangeable. It is true that a dispensation can be sought by one who is worthy of dismissal. But the dispensation must be sought freely and without coercion. On the other hand, dismissal can be imposed contrary to the will of the religious. But there must be adequate reasons for dismissal and it can be effected only after repeated warning with the imposition of penance.

Since the vicar general's authority in this respect has not been explicitly reserved, he enjoys the local ordinary's power in regard to it.

STATUS OF SISTERS OF MERCY

I have always thought that since the mother houses of the Sisters of Mercy (not of the Union) in the various dioceses are independent of one another, the respective mother houses enjoyed only the approval of the local Ordinary. I have now been told that the institute (not of the Union) is one of pontifical approval. Is this true?

UNIGENA

The Sisters of Mercy (not of the Union), though an institute of simple vows, possesses in a measure the monastic type of organization. This is not so complete as to permit a transfer from one group to another without the making of a novitiate and a new profession.¹ In this sense, the individual groups (or monasteries) are even more independent than the monasteries of religious Orders. Nevertheless, they are united by the possession of identical constitutions and these constitutions have been approved by the Holy See.

In spite of the consequences that are known to be attached to such approval, some doubt seems to have existed in the matter and the Sacred Congregation of Religious was asked whether the Congregation of the Sisters of Mercy, approved, according to their constitutions, by Pope Gregory XVI on June 6, 1841, is to be regarded as a religious institute of pontifical approval or only of diocesan approval. The reply, approved by Pius XI, was given on November 24, 1925, and it declared that the Congregation enjoys pontifical approval.²

¹ Can. 633, § 3. *Transiens ad aliud monasterium eiusdem Ordinis nec novitiatum peragit nec novam emittit professionem* (italics inserted).

² Cf. Bouscaren, *The Canon Law Digest*, I, 269, 270; AAS, XVIII (1926), 14.

Decrees and Decisions

CANONICAL

COMMUNIST YOUTH PENALTIES

In a monitum of July 29, 1950, the Holy Office imposed a specially reserved excommunication on those who pervert Christian youths in communist-led youth organizations. Furthermore, it bars from the reception of the sacraments not only the boys and girls who are members of such organizations but also the parents or guardians who permit them to enroll in them. The effect of this document is of universal extent but it is applicable particularly in France, Italy and Western Germany, where intense efforts to win youth to communism have been made through clubs, associations, and summer camps, agencies that are utilized to encourage youth to ridicule priests and religion and to sneer at Christian doctrine and morality.

* * * * *

PENALTY FOR UNDERMINING ECCLESIASTICAL AUTHORITY

The Sacred Congregation of the Council has decreed as subject to a specially reserved excommunication those who even indirectly participate in a plot against legitimate ecclesiastical authority or in the usurpation of an office, a benefice, or an ecclesiastical dignity, inclusive of those who allow themselves to be installed in any of the latter posts or who retain them.

* * * * *

NEW PATRONS

St. Alphonsus Liguori was made the patron of confessors and moralists on the second centenary of the first publication of his treatise on moral theology. St. John Baptist de la Salle was made the patron of teachers on the third centenary of his birth.

* * * * *

CANONIZATION

St. Mariana de Jesus Paredes was canonized on July 9th.

* * * * *

PAPAL DEFENSE OF INALIENABLE RIGHTS

In a discourse to delegates of the International Congress on Administrative Science at Florence, Pius XII insisted that the State may not sacrifice to its own ends the right of the individual to honor and reputation, the right of religious freedom, or the right of parents to educate their children.

* * * * *

APPROVAL OF SECULAR INSTITUTE

On June 16, 1950, the Sacred Congregation of Religious definitively approved the "Sacerdotal Society of the Holy Cross and the Work of God", a secular institute with more than a hundred houses throughout the world, founded in Madrid on October 2, 1928.

* * * * *

HEROIC VIRTUE OF PIUS X

On September 3, His Eminence, the Cardinal Prefect of the Sacred Congregation of Rites, read in the presence of the Pope the decree proclaiming the practice of heroic virtue on the part of Pius X.

* * * * *

CONFRATERNITY PROBLEMS IN BRAZIL

An archdiocesan synod held in Rio de Janeiro set June, 1950, as the time limit within which confraternities were expected to amend their by-laws to bring them into conformity with canon law by making the confraternities subject to the ecclesiastical authorities. Because of their disobedient failure to comply with this injunction, the boards of directors of two confraternities have been excommunicated by the Cardinal Archbishop.

SECULAR

SCHOOL COMMITTEE FOR DEFENSE EFFORT

Thirty leading educators have established a five-member steering committee to gear the schools of the nation to the defense effort but no direct representation has been accorded the three and a half million children attending parochial schools or their twelve thousand Catholic teachers. Representation has been accorded the following groups: the National Council of Chief State School Officers, the National Educational Association, the American Council on Education, the Vocational Educational Association, and the National Commission on Teacher Education.

* * * *

OUTLAWING GOD IN THE STATE

Dr. Luther A. Weigle, dean emeritus of the Yale Divinity School, speaking before a World Convention on Christian Education held in Toronto and attended by forty-five hundred delegates from sixty-two countries, censured the effort of secularists to expel God from education and to foist their atheism or their so-called non-theistic humanism on schools and colleges. Furthermore, he condemned their project to expel God from government in consequence of their extreme view of the meaning of the principle of the separation of Church and State according to which God should be confined to the Church and outlawed from the State. On the contrary, he insisted that the real meaning of the principle is that Church and State shall be mutually free and that neither shall undertake to control the other. Experience has demonstrated, he contended, that a high degree of religious freedom can be secured without the separation of Church and State, as in Great Britain, while the separation of Church and State does not of itself insure religious freedom, as he who runs may read in the reports coming out of Russia.

A similar contention was voiced at the forty-first triennial Convention of the Missouri Synod of the Lutheran Church held in Milwaukee when its Board of Parish Education declared that the separation of Church and State does not demand the exclusion of religion from the education of children or even from the State itself, maintaining that the State should cooperate with the Church wher-

ever the welfare of the nation demands it, a cooperation permitted by the distinction of the two realms. In accord with this averment, the Board recommended to the convention that steps be taken for the reversal of the McCollum decision. Reflecting the Board's condemnation of education without religion in the public schools, the synod urged that the released time religious instruction program should be encouraged wherever it is legally possible.

The view thus expressed regarding the meaning of the separation of Church and State found support also in the General Council Meeting of the Congregational Christian Churches held in Cleveland which insisted that the principle of the separation of Church and State does not mean that government must discourage religion or that the Church must be silent on political issues. As a corollary of this position, the Meeting urged the cooperation of Catholics and Protestants in opposition to secularism and totalitarianism, especially in relation to the released time program. At the same time, it expressed itself as opposed to any subsidy to parochial schools for the transportation of children attending those schools and, *a fortiori*, for the payment of the salaries of teachers employed in those schools, or for the meeting of current expenses or of capital outlay. On the other hand, this opposition was described as not extending to scholarship aid to individual students in higher education or to the rendering of certain health services to pupils in the elementary and secondary schools.

Protestant religious day schools, if the public schools cannot do what needs doing, were advocated by Dr. F. Ernest Johnson, professor at Teacher's College, Columbia University, in an address before the General Synod of the Evangelical and Reformed Church. This position accorded with the criticism he directed against the tendency to interpret the principle of the separation of Church and State as meaning the separation between the State and all religion. The Synod itself endorsed for all children without distinction welfare and health services, insisting that children attending the parochial schools do not surrender their rights to share in the services provided for the general welfare of youth. On the other hand, it expressed opposition to any effort on the part of Roman Catholic officials to secure public funds for the maintenance of a private school system.

* * * * *

RELEASED TIME

The views expressed in the foregoing paragraphs support the released time programs of religious instruction or the alternative of Protestant religious day schools. The latter alternative was endorsed by the Baptist General Conference of America which, meeting in Worcester, Massachusetts, endorsed interdenominational Protestant elementary schools throughout the United States, offering as its reason the fact that Christianity cannot be taught in the public schools. A note of opposition to released time programs crept into the proceedings of the Universalist Convention which, meeting in Woonsocket, Rhode Island, expressed continued disapproval of such religious instruction and of the use of public school buildings for religious instruction of any kind. It is remarkable that a Universalist Convention should depart so egregiously from a fairly universal Protestant point of view.

In New York, Supreme Court Justice Anthony Di Giovanna has upheld the constitutionality of the released time program in that State and the State's Citizens' Committee of One Hundred for Children and Youth has tentatively approved the continuation of the program.

In Fairfax County, Virginia, the County School Board voted to permit the Fairfax Council of Churches to park a mobile class room on school property for classes in religion to be conducted outside school hours. The holding of such classes during school time in a trailer moved from school to school was not permitted by the Elkhart, Indiana, School Board.

On the other hand, the Board of Education of Springfield, Ohio, has reinstated religious instruction in the public school buildings there after it had been suspended for a period of two years. For admission to the instruction classes the pupils are required to present the written permission of a parent or guardian.

* * * * *

RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR BIBLE TEACHERS

The Attorney General of North Carolina has handed down a ruling in virtue of which teachers of Bible courses in public schools may be employed in such a way as to receive the benefit of retirement privileges under the State law.

* * * * *

SABBATICAL LEAVE FOR RELIGIOUS RESEARCH

The Attorney General of Kentucky has issued a ruling under which school teachers cannot be given a leave of absence, such as is authorized by State law for educational or professional purposes, in order that they may devote themselves to research work in religious education under the direction of a church board. The reason advanced for this decision is the fact that religious teaching is not part of the common school curriculum.

* * * * *

TRANSPORTATION

The ninetieth General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States (Southern) has expressed itself as opposed to the diversion of public funds to parochial schools. Opposition to the diversion of federal funds to pay for the transportation of children attending parochial schools was voiced for the fourth time by the American Federation of Teachers by a vote of 526-244. A similar attitude was displayed by the one hundred and nineteenth Indiana Conference of the Methodist Church which insisted that no federal aid be given to parochial schools, and the General Council Meeting of the Congregational Christian Churches.

The Texas State Board of Education has banned the allocation of funds to defray the expenses of transporting children to parochial schools. This decision is especially oppressive in southern Texas where two-thirds of the State's million and a half Catholics reside. As a penalty, funds will be withheld from school districts affording transportation other than that required to transport children and personnel to public schools.

In New York, the Acting State Education Commissioner ruled that District 5, North Hempstead, Long Island, must defray the cost of transporting local children to three parochial schools in neighboring communities which they are obliged to attend because of the high enrollment in parochial schools closer at hand.

The Attorney General of Massachusetts has handed down a ruling which denies a place on the November election ballot to an initiative petition seeking the repeal of the Sears-Rugg law. The reason for the decision is the fact that the constitution provides that no law relating to religion, religious practices, or religious institutions shall be subject to a referendum.

* * * * *

OPPOSITION TO FEDERAL CHILD PROGRAM

The Religious Liberty Association, an adjunct of the Seventh Day Adventist Church, is fighting the expansion of the federal child program on the ground that it would use the facilities of voluntary agencies and that thus parochial schools would be admitted to share in the funds involved.

* * * * *

TABLE FOR MEDICAL COLLEGE AID BILL

Under the influence of pressure coming from such agencies as the Protestants and Other Americans United for the Separation of Church and State and the Baptist Joint Conference Committee on Public Affairs, the House Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce has tabled a bill which would have aided Catholic medical colleges, as well as other colleges of the same character.

* * * * *

HOSPITAL EXPANSION HAMPERED

Federal funds granted for any purpose, specifically for hospital expansion, were rejected by anticipatory refusal through the action of the one hundred and nineteenth Indiana Conference of the Methodist Church which extended its prohibitory effect to all the institutions of the church.

* * * * *

VATICAN ENVOY

The same Conference of the Methodist Church to which reference has just been made also went on record as opposed to the sending of a representative of the United States to the Vatican, an action also taken by the General Synod of the Evangelical and Reformed Church and by the American members of the Executive Committee of the International Council of Christian Churches.

* * * * *

DEFENDERS OF THE *NATION*

By a voice vote the American Federation of Teachers approved a resolution that the *Nation* be restored to the public school libraries of New York City.

* * * * *

LUTHERAN PROHIBITION OF LODGES

A resolution of the Lutheran Church's Missouri Synod has reaffirmed its position of 1926 opposing lodges or societies of an un-Christian or an anti-Christian character. The leaders of the Synod held that lodge ritual frequently teaches an emasculated, watered-down religion. The resolution was adopted after a report was made by a Synod Commission appointed to study the matter of youth organizations sponsored by freemasonry. The report insisted that vigilance must be employed in warning all pastors against permitting the lodge evil to exist in their churches.

* * * * *

METHODIST CONDEMNATION OF MERCY KILLING

The Newark Methodist Conference unanimously adopted a report condemning mercy killing.

* * * * *

RESTRICTION ON AMOUNT OF TRUST

The Corporation Court at Lynchburg, Virginia, has ruled that \$100,000 is the limit beyond which a church may not be permitted to accept a trust fund. Accordingly, it reduced to that amount a trust fund of \$375,000.

* * * * *

VANCOUVER SCHOOL TAX

The payment of a license fee of five dollars was refused by the authorities of the school of Our Lady of Perpetual Help, with the result that a fine of \$2.50 was imposed by a municipal court. Appeal has been taken to the Supreme Court of British Columbia.

* * * * *

SAN SALVADOR DISCRIMINATION AGAINST MINISTERS

The Constitutional Assembly sitting in San Salvador rejected a proposed constitutional amendment which would have removed a sixty-four year old clause in virtue of which ministers of any religious denomination are forbidden to vote, to belong to a political party, or to stand for election to any public office.

* * * * *

RELIGIOUS INSTRUCTION IN CHILE

By a vote of 71-49, the House of Representatives of Chile has passed a bill which makes mandatory the giving of religious instruction in public schools and colleges. A similar bill was enacted by the Senate two years ago. An effort will be made to have the bill vetoed.

* * * * *

RELIGIOUS SCHOOL EXTINCTION IN ENGLAND

In England one hundred and fifty-nine church-sponsored primary schools have been compelled to close their doors because of their inability to meet government regulations under the 1944 Education Act which requires denominations to pay fifty per cent of the cost of bringing schools up to the specified standards. One hundred and eighteen of the schools thus closed belonged to the Church of England. British Free Church leaders met with Catholics in June to draft a joint proposal for submission to the government with the purpose of relieving the pressure on many other schools.

* * * * *

INDEPENDENT SCHOOLS IN NORTH IRELAND

The General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church of Ireland has voted to send a deputation to the Minister of Education to discuss the position of the voluntary grammar schools under the Education Act of 1947. This action is a corollary of the order requiring independent grammar schools to decide before June, 1950, whether to accept State control or to continue to operate independently. State-controlled schools are entitled to one hundred per cent of the costs needed to be defrayed in meeting items of building and maintenance, whereas only sixty-five per cent of this amount can be obtained by schools continuing independent operation. All Catholic schools in Ulster have already agreed to operate independently and several Church of England (Anglican) schools have taken the same course.

* * * * *

AUSTRALIAN AID FOR CHURCH SCHOOLS

By a vote of 165-154, at its annual conference, the New South Wales branch of the Australian Labor Party endorsed State aid for denominational schools, the allotments to be granted on a per capita basis between government and non-government schools.

* * * * *

BERMUDA BAN ON PAROCHIAL SCHOOLS

By a vote of 16-9, the House of Assembly of Bermuda rejected a petition for the opening of a parochial school and banned the opening of new denominational schools. The only Catholic school existing there is Mt. St. Agnes Academy, opened prior to the adoption of the School Act Amendment of 1926, which made the permission of the Bermuda Board of Education a requisite to the opening of any new private school.

* * * * *

SCHOOL TAX IN THE PHILIPPINES

President Elpidio Quirino has denied the petition of the University of Santo Tomas for a suspension of the examination of the University's books by the Collector of Internal Revenue, pending the outcome of a suit which the University has filed with the Supreme Court of the Islands testing the validity of a law imposing income tax on educational institutions. Moreover, the Secretary of Finance has demanded the payment of \$358,000 in back taxes for which the government refuses to await the outcome of the suit.

* * * * *

AUSTRALIAN BLANSHARDISM

The High Court of Australia ordered stricken out as vexatious and an abuse of the process of the Court a petition challenging the right of a Catholic to be chosen as a member of the Australian Parliament on the ground that such an act was in contravention of Section 44 of the Australian Constitution which bars from that post any citizen or subject of a foreign power. The Court said that it could not be maintained that Catholics are subjects of a foreign power and that every person born in Australia, into whatever religion he may be born or whatever religion he may embrace, is, according to the law of Australia, a British subject. The Court noted that many thousands of Catholics had fought in the armed forces of Australia in recent wars. He said that the petitioner was trying to revive a view that was abandoned in England in 1829 when it was enacted that Catholics could be members of either House of Parliament.

* * * * *

MISCEGENATION FINE IN SOUTH AFRICA

The Supreme Court of South Africa unanimously set aside the conviction of Father Thomas L. Gill, of Capetown, who had appealed a decision fining him for witnessing a marriage ceremony between a white man and a colored woman in violation of a Mixed Marriages Act forbidding the marriage of Europeans with non-Europeans.

* * * * *

RELAXATION OF SWEDEN'S INTOLERANCE

Several measures have been endorsed in Sweden which would ameliorate in no small degree the conditions of Catholics in that country, lifting from them the oppressive and discriminatory legislation under which they have labored for three hundred years. Under the new measures, it would be possible to open Catholic schools operated by the Church under the supervision of State authorities and even to establish a limited number of religious houses for Catholic Swedes, to the exclusion of foreign members of the respective institutes; Catholic children in public schools would be exempted from attendance at Lutheran religious instruction but would be subject to examination by Protestant authorities on their knowledge of Catholic doctrine; Catholics would be eligible for public office except in the Ministries of Justice and Education; they would also be eligible for appointment to the teaching staffs of the universities, but not to the theological faculties. On the other hand, Catholics would still be obliged to notify the Protestant parsons of their forthcoming marriages.

* * * * *

NON-CATHOLIC PLAN FOR JERUSALEM

Two hundred and eighty-five non-Catholic religious and lay leaders presented to President Truman a plan for a curatorship of Jerusalem that is very similar to that advanced by the authorities of the Israeli government themselves. Among the signers were G. Bromley Oxnam, Bartley C. Crum, and Freda Kirchwey, editor of the *Nation*. Under the plan thus presented the curatorship would be exercised over all the Holy Places and it would operate as a Commission of the United Nations composed of representatives of the Catholic, Protestant, Jewish, Moslem, and Greek Orthodox

interests. The scope of its activity would be the preservation and the restoration of the Holy Places and the facilitating of access to them. The dissident Armenian Patriarchate of Jerusalem pointed to the failure of the plan to give it representation and criticized it before the Trusteeship Council of the United Nations for that reason as well as for the further one that the plan would not be conducive to the preservation of the unity of the City.

* * * * *

PROTESTANT PROSELYTISM IN ISRAELI

A scheduled Protestant broadcast which would have been delivered over Radio Israeli was canceled when the authorities suggested that a proposed sermon written in Hebrew be omitted. The broadcast was to have inaugurated a series of regular programs to be given by a group of Protestant organizations in Jerusalem. Jewish officials insisted that the broadcasting of the service in Hebrew imparted to it a proselytizing character.

* * * * *

RELIGIOUS FREEDOM IN PAKISTAN

The Premier of Pakistan has guaranteed full rights of religious practice to Hindus, Christians, and members of other religions.

* * * * *

EASTERN ZONE BISHOP AT FULDA

For the first time since the war, Most Rev. Peter Legge, of Bautzen, the only Ordinary in the Soviet Zone of Germany, has been able to attend a meeting of the German Hierarchy at Fulda. He reported on the loyalty of his flock to the faith.

* * * * *

PARENTS' RIGHTS IN RHINE-WESTPHALIA

The Constitution of Rhine-Westphalia, adopted by a vote of 3,200,000 to 2,000,000, reserves to parents the right to choose the school which their children will attend and provides for religious instruction in the public schools. In the election, the communists polled five per cent as against fourteen per cent three years previously. The socialists made slight gains.

* * * * *

PARENTS' RIGHTS IN BAVARIA

The Bavarian Diet adopted a school law making the denominational school the norm. An interdenominational school may be established where at least one per cent of the population belongs to the religious minority. Where interdenominational schools exist, parents may choose the school which they wish their children to attend. Where but one of the two types is represented in the community, parents may send their children to the school of their choice in a neighboring community.

* * * * *

TREATY VIOLATION OF SOVIET SATELLITES

The International Court of Justice has ruled that the Soviet satellites, Bulgaria, Hungary, and Romania, have violated their peace treaties by boycotting commissions appointed for the arbitration of charges of the violation of human rights brought to the attention of the Court by the United States and other allied nations.

* * * * *

IN LIEU OF THE STOCKHOLM APPEAL

Prominent clergymen have warned against the Stockholm Peace Appeal and have charted a program for the promotion of genuine peace of which the following four points are the constituent elements: 1) a renunciation of the use of war or threats of force as an instrument of national policy; 2) a loyal adherence to the solemn obligations of the Charter of the United Nations for the maintenance of international peace and security and the peaceful settlement of disputes; 3) a respect for and the observance of the human rights and the fundamental freedoms of all; and 4) the participation in positive programs of the United Nations for the common welfare and for the attainment of better standards of living.

* * * * *

TERMITES IN POLAND

The authorities in Poland are conducting sight-seeing tours of Poland's large churches, but only on Sundays and feast days, during the Masses at which the people attempt to fulfill their obligation of hearing Mass. Other devices adopted to wean the people away

from this Sunday observance are the organizing of political and athletic events at the time when Mass is offered and the establishment of work brigades of students and officials who are employed in construction and repair work.

A new marriage law becomes effective in Poland on October 1. It asserts the State's right to intervene in any family situation which it deems contrary to the interests of the State, interests which it also makes the criterion determining whether or not a divorce shall be granted. In the case of divorce, the law provides that the State shall take control of the children. The law also demands that a civil service of marriage must precede the ecclesiastical ceremonies. It further enacts that a wife must contribute equal support to the family.

* * * * *

ROMANIAN SPOILIATION

Through the Chief Inspector of the Romanian Ministry for Religious Affairs, the government has transferred all the property of the Latin Church to a small group of apostate priests known as the Latin-Rite Church of Transylvania, whose charter denies the jurisdictional authority of the Holy See.

* * * * *

HUNGARIAN SURGERY

Not one parochial school remains in Hungary. The Protestant churches have been able to retain a few of their high schools through appeasement agreements accepted by the government. Moreover, a campaign has been directed against those attending the religious instruction given in the State schools, and this has caused a considerable decrease in attendance.

All university faculties of theology, Catholic and Protestant, must close under a governmental order demanding it.

The government has managed to obtain the signatures of three hundred priests to a document demanding Church-State accord, professing loyalty to the State, supporting the Stockholm Peace Appeal, and opposing the use of the atom bomb.

The Church-State agreement which the government is apparently so anxious to obtain on its own terms is regarded as seriously impeded by Bishop Josef Peteri, of Vacz, who is considered the

stumbling block in the path of ultimate success in this matter. Consequently he has been denounced as a fascist and been threatened with disciplinary action by Cabinet Ministers and by the press. A synthetic campaign has been organized against him in the factories.

* * * * *

CZECHO-SLOVAK CONTROL OF THEOLOGY

Catholic and Protestant seminaries in Czecho-Slovakia have been abolished as independent institutions and have been replaced by State-controlled theological schools.

RELINQUISHMENT OF RIGHTS IN PALESTINE

It must be stressed . . . that the ancient right of protection by the Christian states, exercised in the Ottoman Empire and temporarily suspended under the mandate of 1922 [assigning Palestine to Great Britain as a Mandatory], was automatically revived on May 5, 1948, when this mandate ended. The Resolution of the General Assembly [of the United Nations] of November 29, 1947, indirectly confirmed this, since it invited the Powers concerned to renounce their privileges. . . .

A renunciation of these rights of protection by the Christian Powers, particularly by the Powers, Signatories to the Treaty of Berlin of 1878 [in which Turkey conceded to everyone within her territory freedom of religion and of religious organization, equal rights for traveling clergymen and pilgrims, and the right of diplomatic and consular protection regarding these persons and their establishments, especially at the Holy Places], would be equal to the abandonment of nearly the last remaining legal means of safeguarding the Sanctuaries of Christendom in an area which at present has not yet emerged from a state of war and which is filled with future dangerous tensions. At least the Catholics of those countries which enjoy such historic rights of protection should require their governments to maintain and to make the fullest possible use of their ancient rights, and should emphasize the fact that they consider a possible renunciation of the latter as allowable only for a time and under conditions in which reliable and unequivocal guarantees for the security of the Holy Places will be established.—In the third line from the end of this quotation, the word “allowable” is used as an accurate statement of the intention involved rather than the word “valid”, as it stood in the original article on “The Internationalization of Jerusalem” (THE JURIST, X [1950], 386).

Book Reviews

DE CERTITUDINE MORALI QUAE IN JUDICIS ANIMO AD SENTENTIAE PRONUNTIATIONEM REQUIRITUR. Eduardus A. McCarthy, J.C.D. Pontificium Institutum Utriusque Juris, Romae, 1948. Pp. 119.

This is a doctoral dissertation of considerable importance in Canon Law.

The seriousness of the obligation of a judge to determine the certainty required before pronouncing sentence cannot be overestimated. The practical point involved is how to arrive at such certainty. To this point Dr. McCarthy addresses himself with clarity and abundant argument.

The dissertation opens with a fairly long historical treatise which considers the notion of judicial certainty in Roman and German Law. To this is added the development of this notion in the time of Gratian and the Decretals. Investigation of this type is necessary to understand properly the historical role of the judge.

The canonical commentary of the dissertation is a well-developed series of observations on part of the Allocution of Pope Pius XII to the Auditors and Officials of the Roman Rota, October 1, 1942. In his Allocution, the Pope mentioned the kind of certainty which is required and which is sufficient in a judge. The task of Dr. McCarthy was to indicate the means which can be employed to arrive at such certainty.

The indication of these means, or methods, is naturally preceded by a discussion of the differences between philosophical, theological and judicial certainty. There are considerable differences here and the author clearly states them. Once these differences are understood, the way is opened for a detailed account of the items to be weighed in arriving at judicial certainty. These are principally (1) the nature of the thing to be proved and its capability of proof, (2) the gravity of the matter, (3) the preeminence of the public good in all cases. These items are adequately considered.

The judge himself must finally make up his own mind. Hence he must consult his conscience in everything where the law itself

has not set down sufficiency of proof. An obligation of this kind is obviously serious. The author recognizes this and suggests ways in which an honest and fair sentence can be pronounced. These suggestions are useful contributions.

Some points in this dissertation are matters of opinion. Hence everything will not be admitted by all canonists. There is the matter, for instance, of how many incontrovertible presumptions exist in *The Code of Canon Law*. The author maintains there is but one: others claim at least two. On one point, according to the reviewer, the author is in error. In canon 20 the doctrine of canonists does not interpret former legislation but instead offers a norm to be followed when no specific law is to be found (p. 109, ft. 89).

The bibliography is sufficient but there is no index. It should be said again that this dissertation is an important work. It should be studied by judges and by all students of law.

L'ÉGLISE CATHOLIQUE ET L'ORGANISATION DE LA SOCIÉTÉ INTERNATIONALE CONTEMPORAINE. Richard Arés, S.J. *Studia Collegii Maximi Immaculatae Conceptionis*, Montreal, Canada, 1949. Pp. 269.

This book makes a diligent study of the Church in relation to the community of nations. It is, of course, unnecessary to insist that such study must be made by jurists and diplomats if any real success can come from the undeniably difficult efforts of those who seek peace. A reading of the book under review will give an excellent foundation for discussion in this matter.

The author has organized his matter well. The three separate divisions consider first the fact that the Church has some relation with the community of nations, secondly the principles which underlie the relation between the Church and the international temporal order and, thirdly the constructive propositions of the Church.

The first part of this book is chronological. It considers the Church and the attempts at organization of the community of nations before the conferences at Dumbarton Oaks. These conferences are then considered. This first part closes with a discussion on the activities of the United Nations from 1945 to 1949.

Consideration of the principles upon which the international temporal order must rest and their relation to the Church is the subject

of the second part of this work. Most of this consideration is already well known and needs no comment here. But it is a pleasure to see these principles set down again for consideration.

In the third part of his work, the author expounds a well worthwhile program which is derived from the teaching of the Church. The author emphasizes the various fundamental tenets upon which society, international as well as national, must rest. These are the basic dignity of man, and the existence of God and natural law. The spirit of commonalty and solidarity, of justice and equity, of sincerity and loyalty, of benevolence and charity, are all clearly expounded and properly placed in the affairs of the community of nations.

The matter of this third part of the book is ably supported by abundant annotation from theoretical volumes and practical articles and addresses. Analyses of jurists are cited as well as statements and allocutions of Popes.

The bibliography must receive special words of praise. The list of authors is satisfactory but the selection of sources from 1939 to 1948 is particularly commendable. Here one can find important Papal statements and documents and apposite pastoral letters of the American, British and French hierarchy.

SISTEMAS DE DOTACIÓN DE LA IGLESIA CATÓLICA. Par Laureano Perez Mier. Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas, Instituto "San Raimundo de Penafort". Salamanca, 1949. Pp. xxxi-301.

The general law of the Church regarding the support of the Church and its ministers leaves the details to be determined by councils and synods. It is this determination which the author of this book surveys with considerable success.

The plan of this book is excellent. After a comparatively short introduction in which the basic systems of church support are stated and explained, the author devotes a chapter each to several nations and groups of nations. In every chapter, the general condition of the Church is examined. This is done in the light of constitutional civil law or the law of the Concordat. Representative legislation of councils and synods is presented to indicate how church support is obtained. This legislation is interesting as it shows the attitudes

of legislators toward such devices as pew-rent, tithes, subsidies, etc.

Of more than ordinary interest, is the chapter devoted to church support in the United States. In this chapter is a discussion on the various civil titles by which property is held. No real argument is developed to sustain one title rather than another, but the author, of course, sympathetically urges the recommendation of the Sacred Congregation of the Council regarding Parish Corporations.

Presentation of the particular law of the United States is an arrangement of apposite texts from our councils and synods. Conciliar law is taken from the latest Council of Portland in Oregon. Synodal law is taken from the latest synods of Harrisburg and Toledo. The author chose the latter synods as representative of synods in the United States.

The utility of this book is unquestionable. It is one of the best studies in particular law. It is not meant to be a dissertation on the comparative merits of such legislation but rather a study of comparisons. Few errors other than typographical ones are found. Some geographical misplacements exist but they are readily excusable.

The bibliography is detailed and divided properly into sources and reference works. Some criticism, however, may be made since articles and books are considered as one. The index is entirely satisfactory. An English translation of this work would be welcome.

HISTOIRE DES CONCILES. Tome XI, Conciles des Orientaux Catholiques par Charles de Clercq. Première Partie de 1575 à 1849. Paris, Libraire Letouzey et Ané, 1949. Pp. xii-492.

The years of reform following the Council of Trent can be traced well enough in the gradual acceptance of the Council's decrees in the West. In the East, however, more difficulty is experienced in discovering its discipline since the various Oriental Churches were comparatively independent. The same yardstick of reform is not always available here.

The tome under review greatly aids in attaining a clear-cut idea of the operations of the Oriental Churches from 1575 to 1849. Both provincial councils and diocesan synods are included. Roumanian, Armenian and Ruthenian bodies are examined. The order of treatment is chronological rather than topical. Sufficient detail of the

various councils and synods are offered. Whenever questions of reunion arise, these are pointed out with clarity. Whatever opposition was on hand is indicated together with the efforts of civil rulers to enforce, encourage or challenge reunion.

Of special interest is the complete text of the decrees of the Armenian synod of Lwow in 1689. This document furnishes an excellent study of the differences between the discipline of the East and the West. Also of considerable interest is the synthesis of the different conciliar and synodal legislation of the Oriental Churches. For a summary of such legislation, this chapter should be read first.

The bibliography is divided into works of Canon Law, the Christian Orient and History.

LA MATERIA E LA FORMA DEL BATTESIMO NELLA
CHIESA DI S. AMBROGIO. Sac. Dott. Benedetto Marchetta.
Pontificia Università Gregoriana, Roma, 1945. Pp. xiii-190.

As every one knows, patristic Theology has become a well-developed field. Books like the one under brief review will add impetus to this study.

The purpose of this book is to discover in the sacramental Theology of Saint Ambrose the matter and form of the Sacrament of Baptism. To arrive at his conclusions, the author has carefully read, studied and digested the works of Saint Ambrose. Indeed he has given evidence that he is thoroughly familiar with even the minor works of the saint.

The first conclusion of the author is that the interrogative form of Baptism was favored by Saint Ambrose. This form has largely disappeared in the various rites but was in use in other places besides Milan. Dogmatically, according to the author, the form is admissible.

The second conclusion of the author is that Saint Ambrose placed entirely too much emphasis on the consecration of the water for valid Baptism. It is clearly pointed out in this book that the distinction between valid and licit matter, and between ordinary and extraordinary administration were unknown to Saint Ambrose. Consequently he insisted on consecrated water for valid Baptism.

Various editions of the works of Saint Ambrose are indicated by

the author as sources for his own work. The author's bibliography is detailed. The index is satisfactory.

This work is a definite contribution to patristic Theology.

MIDNIGHT CALCULATOR by Rev. James O. Patterson and Rev. George E. O'Donnell. P.O. Box 9607, Philadelphia 31, Pa. Pp. 84. Price \$2.00.

It is always useful to have at hand a book like the one under brief review. There are many occasions within the different zones of time when one would wish to see which midnight he might select.

The introductory pages of this book are clear and concise. With considerable and commendable skill the authors have avoided much which would merely confuse rather than clarify the points at issue.

The best advantage of this book is the detailed listing of thousands of cities and towns not usually found in other books. The local mean time (midnight) is given after each name. With the use of another detailed chart, the reader can easily find the true time. Daylight saving time, if desired, is easily added.

The authors should be congratulated on a work which must have taken some years to compile.

IL MESSAGGIO CRISTIANO NELL' ORA PRESENTE. P. Emidio Da Ascoli, O.F.M.Cap. Rovigo, Istituto Padano di Arti Grafiche, 1950. Pp. 622. Prezzo L. 1000.

This book is a series of conferences which can be read with profit. Several of these conferences should be indicated as containing matter in reference to rights and obligations.

The author stresses the inherent dignity of the human person and carefully delineates the rights which must support this dignity. The whole question of liberty is nicely investigated. The limits of liberty are rigorously disclosed. Responsibility, obligation and duty are emphasized as correlatives to rights. These items are both personal and social. Much credit is due to the author for insisting upon the relation between rights and obligations. It is easy to be extreme here but the author had preserved an admirable balance.

The table of contents serves as an index.

EDWARD ROELKER

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

Chronicle

GENERAL

At a meeting of the International Commission of Catholic Publishers and the International Federation of Catholic Journalists, a permanent secretariat of the International Catholic Press Union was set up in Geneva to assure Catholic representation at the United Nations, Lake Success, and at the United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization, Paris. The secretariat will be located temporarily at Geneva, the headquarters of the International Catholic Organizations.

* * * * *

Most Rev. Karl J. Alter, D.D., Archbishop of Cincinnati, observed the fortieth anniversary of his ordination.

* * * * *

Most Rev. James J. Sweeney, D.D., Bishop of Honolulu, observed the silver jubilee of his ordination.

* * * * *

On September 3, His Eminence, Samuel Cardinal Stritch, Archbishop of Chicago, preached at the Pontifical Mass celebrated by Most Rev. Jose Garibi Rivera, Archbishop of Guadalajara, marking the centenary of the establishment of the Archdiocese of Santa Fe.

* * * * *

Most Rev. John G. Murray, D.D., Archbishop of St. Paul, observed the centenary of the establishment, July 19, 1850, of the Archdiocese of St. Paul.

* * * * *

The observance of the centenary of the establishment of the Diocese of Kansas City was combined with the ceremonies honoring the Feast of Corpus Christi.

* * * * *

September 1-5, the International Convention of Catholic Artists assembled in Rome.

* * * * *

September 4-8, the International Missionary Congress was held in Rome. The Pontifical Association of the Holy Childhood participated in its deliberations.

* * * * *

September 5-7, the third International Congress of the Missionary Union of the Clergy was held in Rome. It was addressed by Most Rev. Thomas J. O'Donnell, D.D., Auxiliary Bishop of New York, who also represented the United States at a meeting of the National Directors of the Pontifical Mission Aid Societies held in Rome September 3, 4.

* * * * *

September 5-9, the International Congress of Nurses and Catholic Medical Assistants was held in Rome.

* * * * *

September 7-11, the International Congress on the History of Scholastic Philosophy was held in Rome.

* * * * *

September 7-13, the Catholic International Union of Social Service held its silver jubilee conference in Rome.

* * * * *

September 8-12, the International Congress of Marian Congregations was held in Rome.

* * * * *

September 11-17, the third International Thomist Congress was held in Rome.

* * * * *

September 13-16, the International Institute of Catholic Charities was held in Rome.

* * * * *

Delegates from twenty countries represented their constituents at a meeting of the Society of St. Vincent de Paul in Paris.

* * * * *

August 15-17, the sixty-eighth annual meeting of the Supreme Council of the Knights of Columbus assembled in New York City. The opening Pontifical Mass was celebrated by Most Rev. Joseph F. Flannelly, D.D., Auxiliary of New York. His Eminence, Francis Cardinal Spellman, presided at the Mass. The sermon was preached by Most Rev. James E. Kearney, D.D., Bishop of Rochester.

* * * * *

July 10-14, the twenty-third biennial convention of the Catholic Daughters of America was held in Asheville, North Carolina.

* * * * *

The ninety-fifth annual convention of the Catholic Central Verein of America was held in Quincy, Illinois.

* * * * *

August 11-13, the seventy-eighth annual convention of the Catholic Total Abstinence Union was held in New York.

* * * * *

September 19-22, the fourteenth annual meeting of the Confraternity of Christian Doctrine was held in Cleveland.

* * * * *

August 22-24, the Catholic Biblical Association of America met at Kenrick Seminary, Webster Groves, Missouri.

* * * * *

August 24-27, the fourteenth national convention of the Catholic Students' Mission Crusade met at the University of Notre Dame.

* * * * *

August 16-18, delegates from two thousand educational institutions attended the annual Franciscan Educational Conference at Cardinal Stritch College, Milwaukee.

* * * * *

August 19, the twenty-first International Pax Romana Congress opened at Amsterdam.

* * * * *

The third International Congress on Hospital Administration was held in Rio de Janeiro under the sponsorship of the Pan-American Sanitary Bureau.

* * * * *

August 21-24, the 1950 Liturgical Week was held at Conception Abbey, Conception, Missouri.

* * * * *

The State Board of Regents has authorized the amendment of the Charter to give St. Bonaventure's College the status of a university.

* * * * *

August 2, His Eminence, Luigi Cardinal Lavitrano died. He was Prefect of the Sacred Congregation of Religious.

* * * * *

Most Rev. Joseph E. Ritter, D.D., Archbishop of St. Louis, celebrated the Pontifical Mass of Requiem in the Cathedral of St. Louis, St. Louis, for the funeral of the late Most Rev. Leo J. Steck, D.D., Auxiliary of Salt Lake, who died June 19. The sermon was preached by Most Rev. Duane G. Hunt, D.D., Bishop of Salt Lake.

* * * * *

On June 28, Most Rev. Leo Binz, D.D., Coadjutor of Dubuque, celebrated the Pontifical Mass of Requiem in St. Stanislaus' Church, Winona, for the funeral of Most Rev. Francis M. Kelly, D.D., former Bishop of Winona, who died June 24. The sermon was preached by Most Rev. Peter W. Bartholome, D.D., Coadjutor of St. Cloud.

* * * * *

DIGNITIES

The personal title of Archbishop has been conferred on the Most Rev. Gerald P. O'Hara, D.D., Bishop of Savannah-Atlanta, formerly Regent of the Papal Nunciature in Romania.

* * * * *

On September 26, Most Rev. Karl J. Alter, D.D., formerly Bishop of Toledo, was installed as Archbishop of Cincinnati.

* * * * *

On October 17, Most Rev. George J. Rehring, D.D., will be installed in the Cathedral of Our Lady, Queen of the Most Holy Rosary, Toledo.

* * * * *

On September 12, Most Rev. Francis P. Leipzig, D.D., Bishop of Baker City, was consecrated in the Cathedral of the Immaculate Conception, Portland, Oregon, by Most Rev. Edward D. Howard, D.D., Archbishop of Portland. The co-consecrators were Most Rev. Edwin V. O'Hara, D.D., Bishop of Kansas City, and Most Rev. Edward J. Kelly, D.D., Bishop of Boise. The sermon was preached by Most Rev. Duane G. Hunt, D.D., Bishop of Salt Lake.

* * * * *

On September 21, Most Rev. Patrick J. McCormick, D.D., Titular Bishop of Atenia and Auxiliary of Washington, was consecrated in the Shrine of the Immaculate Conception, Washington, D. C., by the Most Reverend Apostolic Delegate. Co-consecrators were Most Rev. Patrick A. O'Boyle, D.D., Archbishop of Washington, and Most Rev. Henry J. O'Brien, D.D., Bishop of Hartford. The sermon was preached by Most Rev. Matthew F. Brady, D.D., Bishop of Manchester.

* * * * *

On September 14, the Most Rev. Thomas F. Markham, D.D., Titular Bishop of Acalisso and Auxiliary of Boston, and Most Rev. Eric F. MacKenzie, D.D., Titular Bishop of Alba and also Auxiliary of Boston, were consecrated in the Cathedral of the Holy Cross, Boston, by Most Rev. Richard J. Cushing, D.D., Archbishop of Boston. The co-consecrators were Most Rev. Patrick A. O'Boyle, D.D., Archbishop of Washington, and Most Rev. Thomas K. Gorman, D.D., Bishop of Reno. The sermon was preached by the consecrating Archbishop.

* * * * *

On September 19, Most Rev. Leo A. Pursley, D.D., Titular Bishop of Hadrianopolis in Pisidia and Auxiliary of Fort Wayne, was consecrated by the Most Reverend Apostolic Delegate in the Cathedral at Fort Wayne. The co-consecrators were: Most Rev. John F. Noll, D.D., Bishop of Fort Wayne, and Most Rev. Joseph H. Marling, C.P.P.S., D.D., Auxiliary of Kansas City. The sermon was preached by Most Rev. John F. O'Hara, C.S.C., D.D., Bishop of Buffalo.

* * * * *

On September 21, Most Rev. Merlin J. Guilfoyle, D.D., was consecrated Titular Bishop of Bulle and Auxiliary of San Francisco by Most Rev. John J. Mitty, D.D., Archbishop of San Francisco. The co-consecrators were Most Rev. James J. Sweeney, D.D., Bishop of Honolulu, and Most Rev. Hugh A. Donohoe, D.D., Auxiliary of San Francisco. The sermon was preached by Most Rev. Apollinaris Baumgartner, O.F.M.Cap., D.D., Vicar Apostolic of Guam.

* * * * *

Most Rev. Robert E. Lucey, D.D., Archbishop of San Antonio, has been named by President Truman to a five-man commission to study migratory

labor, especially that of Mexicans, and the illegal entry of the latter into the United States.

* * * * *

Very Rev. Christopher J. O'Toole, C.S.C., has been elected Superior General of the Congregation of the Holy Cross.

* * * * *

Rt. Rev. Mark Braun, O.S.B., D.D., of St. Gregory's Abbey, Shawnee, Oklahoma, has been elected Abbot President of the American Cassinese Congregation for a second six year term.

* * * * *

Very Rev. A. William Crandell, S.J., has been named a Provincial of the Society of Jesus.

* * * * *

Very Rev. Joseph A. Dougherty, O.S.A., has been named Provincial of the Augustinian Fathers of the Eastern Province of St. Thomas.

* * * * *

Very Rev. Theodore J. Mehling, C.S.C., has been named Provincial of the Indiana Province of the Congregation of the Holy Cross.

* * * * *

Very Rev. Jerome Jacobs, S.D.S., has been re-elected head of the American Province of the Society of the Divine Saviour.

* * * * *

Rt. Rev. Damian Jentges, O.S.B., D.D., has been elected Abbot-Coadjutor of the Mt. Angel Abbey, Mt. Angel, Oregon.

* * * * *

Rt. Rev. John M. McCarthy, of Los Angeles, has been named a Protonotary Apostolic.

* * * * *

The following have been named Domestic Prelates: Rt. Rev. Msgrs. Charles A. Donahue, William E. Drapeau, James F. Fitzsimons, Irving L. Gifford, Robert H. Lord, Michael F. Madden, Denis F. Murphy, and Cornelius T. Sherlok, of the Archdiocese of Boston; Stephen J. Mauer, William H. Russell, Henry Scharaphoff, Bernard H. Skahill, Nicholas A. Steffen, and Luke B. Striegel, of the Archdiocese of Dubuque; Raymond B. Bernau, Romeo Blanchette, Matthias J. Butala, Gregory M. Cloos, Peter B. Default, Edwin V. Hoover, Eugene J. Lake, Edward P. McDonough, William J. Plunkett, and Edmund A. Sweeney, of the Diocese of Joliet; and John A. Cotter, and James R. McClure, of the Diocese of Ogdensburg.

* * * * *

The following have been named Papal Chamberlains: Very Rev. Msgrs. Michael A. Buckley, John M. Fleming, Vincent W. Jeffers, Joseph C. Krug, Gustav J. Schultheiss, and Charles M. Walsh, of the Archdiocese of New York; and Joseph A. Wagner, of the Diocese of Joliet.

* * * * *

The following have been named Knights Commander of the Order of St. Gregory the Great: Major General Thomas F. Hickey, Chief of Staff of the United States Forces in Austria, and John Henry Kultgen, of Waco, Texas.

* * * * *

The following have been named Knights of St. Gregory: Severiano F. Naranjo, of the Archdiocese of Santa Fe; Raphael Hume, of the Diocese of Hartford; and Norman L. Boudreaux, Adolph D. Dolson, Martin E. Walter, and John R. Young, of the Diocese of Galveston.

* * * * *

Dr. Melvin Thill, of the Diocese of Galveston, has been named a Papal Chamberlain.

* * * * *

The Papal Medal, *Pro Ecclesia et Pontifice*, has been conferred on Mrs. Mary C. Carroll and Mrs. Mary K. Gubbels, of the Diocese of Galveston.

* * * * *

The Papal Medal, *Benemerenti*, has been conferred on Maurice Lavanoux, Secretary of the Liturgical Arts Society.

* * * * *

Federal Judge John F. X. McGohey, received an honorary degree at the one hundred and fifth commencement held at Fordham University.

* * * * *

Louis St. Laurent, Prime Minister of Canada, received an honorary degree at the commencement held at St. Louis University on June 10.

ENCYCLICAL ON THE TEACHING OFFICE

On August 12 our Holy Father issued an Encyclical Letter, *Humani generis*, laying down anew the fundamental principles governing the teaching office of the Church and its duty to protect divine revelation against all inroads from falsehood and error. In it he warned teachers against the allurements of new philosophies, against an unwarranted zeal for the reconciliation of novel theories with the teaching of the Church, against evolution as the explanation of the origin of all things, against existentialism which ignores essences, and against historicism which rests its values on human events rather than on the foundations of truth and absolute law. The letter insisted that the content of encyclical letters demands assent because the latter speak with the ordinary teaching authority of the Pope; moreover, what is generally propounded in encyclical letters belongs to the body of Catholic doctrine. It deplored the fact that scholastic philosophy is scorned in some quarters in which it should be revered for its harmony with divine revelation and its effectiveness in promoting sound progress in learning.

CUMULATIVE INDEX OF CANONS

Volumes VI-X

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1	VI, 39-49	42	VII, 377
3	VII, 10-44, 242, 243	43	VII, 377
4	VI, 90	44	VII, 377; VIII, 213, 216, 463, 464; IX, 416, 417
5	VI, 90; VII, 197; VIII, 234-236; IX, 381, 390	48	VI, 269, 270
6	VI, 392, 396; VII, 282, 314; IX, 375, 385; X, 10, 290	50	X, 64
9	IX, 87-89, 109, 374	51	VII, 377; IX, 251
11	VI, 313; VIII, 213, 357; IX, 369, 371, 372, 391, 392; X, 22, 436	52	VII, 377
12	VII, 416, 417; IX, 374	61	VII, 95; VIII, 236-238; X, 72-74
14	IX, 385; X, 148	62	X, 143
15	VI, 313; VII, 72, 192, 306, 414; VIII, 213, 228; IX, 372, 374, 393; X, 12, 138, 295, 469, 470	64	VI, 269, 270
16	VI, 399; VII, 190, 424; VIII, 403; X, 287, 431	65	VI, 269
17	VI, 313; VII, 294-301, 414, 415; X, 10	66	IX, 165; X, 143, 429
18	VI, 239, 254, 313, 389; VII, 282; IX, 379, 393; X, 12, 32	67	VI, 258; VII, 355-377
19	VI, 197; IX, 374; X, 9-34, 73, 287	74	X, 286
20	VI, 241, 252-256, 313; VII, 148; VIII, 213, 214; X, 149, 168, 169, 271-303, 417-436	77	VII, 375
21	VIII, 70, 465; IX, 329, 374, 379	78	VII, 375, 376
22	IX, 374	79	VII, 376
23	IX, 374, 375; X, 9, 10	80	IX, 165, 381; X, 141, 143, 181
24	VI, 239, 240	81	VI, 302; VIII, 105, 223-225; IX, 56, 165, 380, 424; X, 138, 143, 181, 182
25	IX, 156; X, 65	82	VII, 314; IX, 380
27	IX, 155-163, 165, 166; X, 294, 433	83	IX, 380
28	IX, 162, 165, 166	84	VI, 274; VII, 80, 195, 291, 292; VIII, 76; IX, 163-167, 380; X, 138, 143
29	IX, 155; X, 65	85	VI, 303; X, 64, 143
32	IX, 88	86	X, 143
33	VIII, 105	87	VIII, 348, 349, 395; X, 17, 22
34	VII, 204; IX, 87-89, 109, 385	88	VII, 105, 403
35	X, 18	90	VIII, 79
36	X, 143	92	X, 472
39	VII, 229	93	VI, 208; VII, 90; VIII, 460-462; X, 28
		94	VIII, 460-462; X, 148
		98	VI, 275-304; VII, 407-409; VIII, 242, 243; IX, 17-20; X, 143
		100	VI, 107; VII, 337
		101	VIII, 337, 349-351; IX, 385; X, 30, 46, 286, 435

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
103	VIII, 467, 468; X, 430	165	VI, 108, 109
105	VIII, 356, 357; IX, 365-395	166	X, 15
106	VII, 429; IX, 385	167	VI, 109; X, 15, 16, 430
107	VII, 330	168	X, 30
108	VII, 330	169	VI, 109; X, 16, 30
111	VII, 331; VIII, 204	170	VI, 109
112	VII, 202, 203; VIII, 204	171	VI, 109; X, 30
113	VII, 202, 203; VIII, 204, 218, 352, 353, 359	172	VI, 109; X, 30
114	VIII, 352, 353, 359	175	VI, 109
115	VII, 331; VIII, 204	177	VI, 109; X, 31
116	VIII, 204	178	X, 15
117	VIII, 204	179	VI, 109; X, 30, 31, 138
119	X, 13	181	IX, 258, 259; X, 31, 138
120	IX, 381; X, 28	182	VI, 109; X, 31
122	IX, 381	183	X, 30, 31
124	X, 17	184	IX, 410; X, 31
125	X, 17	185	VII, 424
126	X, 17	186	VII, 424; IX, 408-412
127	X, 17	187	VIII, 218; IX, 408-412
128	IX, 292; X, 17	188	VII, 84, 414; IX, 385; X, 32, 52-55
130	VII, 314; VIII, 353, 354; X, 31	189	VIII, 216; IX, 408-412
131	VII, 87-89, 317, 318; IX, 79, 80, 83	190	IX, 408-412; X, 31
132	X, 143	191	IX, 408-412
134	IX, 381	192	VI, 241-249, 252, 259; VIII, 216; IX, 185; X, 31
136	VII, 314; IX, 381	193	VIII, 239, 240
137	VII, 326, 327; IX, 381; X, 31	194	VIII, 239, 240; X, 30
138	X, 17	196	VI, 198-208
139	VI, 308; VII, 417, 418; IX, 381, 406, 407; X, 29	197	VI, 306; IX, 90-94
140	VII, 318, 319	198	VII, 95
142	VI, 118; VII, 184, 185; VIII, 355, 356; X, 13, 393	199	VI, 208, 306, 307, 314; VII, 194, 206; IX, 90-94, 412-414; X, 31
144	VI, 241-249, 252, 259; X, 31	200	VII, 171-174; IX, 325-331; X, 429
145	VI, 306; VII, 94; IX, 90-94	201	VI, 4, 208; VII, 194; X, 30-32, 333
150	X, 434	202	X, 30, 333
152	VIII, 218	203	X, 31
153	X, 30	204	IX, 380; X, 31
154	VI, 108	205	X, 31
156	VII, 84; X, 32, 52-55	207	VII, 195, 196; VIII, 236-238; IX, 411; X, 72-74
159	VII, 82; VIII, 356, 357	209	VII, 185, 186, 196; IX, 337
161	VI, 108; X, 15, 30	210	IX, 93; X, 31
162	VI, 108; VIII, 349-351; X, 288, 434	215	VIII, 219
163	VI, 108; VIII, 337, 349-351	216	VII, 174-179, 227; X, 472, 473
164	X, 16		

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
217	VII, 76	355	IX, 90-94
219	VI, 318, 533; X, 430	356	VI, 269-271; VIII, 472-474; IX, 331
222	X, 430	357	VIII, 218; IX, 166, 168, 169
223	IX, 385; X, 29	358	IX, 80, 83, 338, 385
228	X, 430	360	IX, 338, 381
229	VI, 533	361	IX, 338
232	VIII, 219-222; X, 138	364	VIII, 356, 357
233	VI, 533	366	IX, 381
239	VI, 318, 533; VII, 226; VIII, 69, 71; X, 225	367	VII, 84
247	VI, 74; VII, 282; IX, 46, 47; X, 138, 143	368	VII, 192, 193; VIII, 213-219; X, 30, 32, 475
249	X, 146	369	VII, 192, 193; VIII, 213-219; X, 31, 32
251	VI, 259, 261, 262; X, 143	373	IX, 381
252	VII, 337	379	IX, 381
253	VI, 402; X, 144	385	VIII, 356, 357
257	VI, 73, 74; IX, 43-49	386	VIII, 357, 358
258	IX, 47; X, 143	388	VIII, 357, 358; IX, 377
267	IX, 385	391	IX, 386
274	VII, 373; X, 18	394	IX, 386; X, 31
276	VIII, 229, 230	396	IX, 161
277	VIII, 229, 230	403	IX, 161; X, 32
282	X, 29	409	IX, 161
289	X, 31	415	VI, 84-88; IX, 385; X, 52, 54
291	VII, 75, 89, 314; VIII, 353, 354; X, 138	418	IX, 161
294	X, 31, 225	419	IX, 163, 172
304	VI, 269, 270	420	VII, 375; IX, 385
308	X, 225	421	VII, 375; IX, 385
309	VI, 269, 270; VII, 95	426	VII, 82, 83
313	X, 20	428	IX, 377
315	X, 20, 225	432	VII, 83; X, 18
316	X, 20	433	VI, 308; IX, 161
320	VIII, 219-222	434	VI, 308
323	X, 225	435	VI, 308
331	VIII, 219-222	446	VII, 76
334	VII, 200, 201	447	VI, 527
337	VII, 373	448	VII, 87, 88
338	VI, 259, 262, 263	449	VII, 75, 76
339	VI, 269, 271, 274; VII, 64-66; VIII, 62-66	451	VI, 305-314; VII, 174-179
343	VIII, 218; IX, 161	452	VII, 227
346	VII, 358, 371; IX, 161	454	VI, 84-88, 260; VII, 227; IX, 79
349	VIII, 69, 71; IX, 385; X, 225	455	VII, 200, 201; VIII, 215, 218, 352, 353; IX, 161
350	IX, 90-94	456	IX, 79
351	IX, 90-94	459	VI, 86; VII, 314-317; IX, 79, 380, 385
353	IX, 90-94		

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
460	VI, 269-271; VII, 84; IX, 161	509	VI, 110
462	X, 22, 23, 58, 59	510	VI, 110, 120; IX, 111-114
463	IX, 76, 252-258, 301-305	511	VI, 110
464	VIII, 239, 240, 445; IX, 163	512	VI, 114, 115
465	VI, 310	513	VI, 108, 110
466	VI, 84-88, 269-271; VII, 64-66; VIII, 62-66; IX, 332, 333	514	VI, 108, 110, 112; VIII, 346, 445; X, 68, 388, 391, 468
467	VI, 520	516	VI, 110; X, 39, 47-51
468	VI, 520	518	VI, 110-112; X, 23
469	VI, 520	519	VI, 110
470	VII, 215, 225, 232; VIII, 457-459	520	VI, 110, 111; VII, 425, 426; X, 23, 29, 31
471	VI, 84-88; VII, 174-179, 214, 223, 227; IX, 79	521	VI, 110; VII, 425, 426
472	VII, 178, 200; VIII, 215; IX, 79, 82, 332, 333; X, 52-55	522	VI, 110; VII, 185, 186; X, 29
473	VI, 528; VII, 178, 200, 214, 223, 319, 320; VIII, 54; IX, 97, 98	523	VI, 110
474	VI, 310; VII, 178; VIII, 215; X, 20	524	VI, 111
475	VI, 305-314; VII, 176, 412, 413; VIII, 215; X, 20	526	VI, 111; X, 288
476	VI, 81-84; VII, 171-174, 178; VIII, 215; IX, 303, 304, 384, 387	527	VI, 111
477	VIII, 215, 218	528	VI, 110, 111
479	VI, 87	529	VI, 111; X, 468, 469
487	VI, 112; VII, 331, 335	530	VI, 112
488	VI, 108, 112; VII, 330, 331, 335, 336, 337, 414, 415; IX, 111; X, 13	531	VI, 116; X, 22, 39
492	VII, 206, 207, 337; VIII, 214	532	VI, 119; IX, 78, 81, 83; X, 39, 40
493	VI, 105, 106	533	VI, 115, 119, 120, 126; VII, 409, 410; IX, 65-86
494	VI, 106	534	VI, 121-123; VII, 409, 410; IX, 373; X, 32, 49
495	VII, 73, 74, 183, 184; X, 31	535	VI, 115, 120, 121, 126; IX, 65-86
496	VI, 107	536	VI, 120, 122, 123
497	VI, 107; VII, 360, 409, 410	537	VI, 120
498	VI, 106; VII, 184, 192, 193; VIII, 215, 218	538	X, 22, 23, 33
499	VI, 107; X, 31	539	VI, 124, 126; VII, 340
500	VI, 107; X, 32	540	VI, 125
501	VI, 108, 110; X, 35-51	541	VI, 125; X, 194
502	VI, 108; X, 36	542	VI, 124, 125, 129, 416-418; VII, 69, 74, 75, 210, 407-409; IX, 385; X, 23, 33, 474
504	VI, 108; VII, 409; VIII, 219	543	VI, 126; X, 49
505	VI, 108	544	VI, 125, 416-418; VII, 74, 75; X, 192, 193
506	VI, 109	545	VI, 125
507	VI, 109	547	VI, 126; VII, 73, 74; X, 471, 472
508	VI, 110	548	VI, 126
		549	VI, 119, 126; VII, 409, 410
		551	VI, 126, 127, 132, 133

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
552	VI, 126, 128
555	VI, 124, 126; X, 16
556	VI, 126; IX, 163, 373
558	VII, 210; X, 16, 474, 475
559	VI, 127
560	VI, 127
561	VI, 127
565	VI, 110
566	VI, 110, 111, 127
567	VII, 423
568	VI, 88-90, 127; X, 16, 23, 190, 471
569	VI, 88-90, 128, 131; X, 189-191, 473, 474
570	VI, 127, 128
571	VI, 128; X, 194
572	VI, 129; VIII, 74, 75, 233; IX, 373; X, 16, 49
573	VI, 124, 129; IX, 373
574	VI, 129, 131; X, 474, 475
575	X, 288
577	VI, 131
579	VI, 129
580	VI, 128, 129; VII, 324; X, 23, 189-191, 470-472
581	VI, 130, 131; X, 16
582	VII, 324; X, 470, 471
583	VI, 128, 129; X, 189-191, 288, 472
584	VI, 130; VII, 424, 425
585	VI, 130; VII, 331, 424, 425
586	VI, 130
591	VII, 317, 318
592	VI, 118; X, 13, 17
593	VI, 269, 270; X, 17
594	VI, 112; X, 17
595	VI, 112; X, 17
596	VI, 112
597	VI, 113, 129; X, 33
598	VI, 113; IX, 173; X, 33
599	VI, 259, 262, 264
600	VI, 113; IX, 173, 385; X, 33
603	VI, 114
604	VI, 114; IX, 172, 173
606	VI, 113, 114; VII, 81
608	VI, 116
609	VI, 116; X, 52, 54

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
610	VI, 114
611	VIII, 470
612	VI, 116
614	X, 13
615	VII, 369; VIII, 342, 343; X, 25, 28
616	VII, 372
618	VI, 115, 259, 261, 262; X, 18
619	VIII, 243, 244
621	VI, 113, 117; VII, 362; X, 429
622	VI, 113, 115, 117
623	VI, 113, 117; X, 30
624	VI, 113, 117
626	X, 146
627	IX, 173
630	IX, 65-86; X, 52, 54
631	IX, 65-86
632	VI, 130
633	VI, 131; X, 476
634	VI, 129, 131
635	VI, 131
636	VI, 132
637	VI, 130; VII, 325; IX, 173
638	VI, 132; VII, 85, 321
639	VI, 132
640	VI, 132; VII, 85, 321; X, 149, 150
641	VI, 133; X, 15
642	VI, 111; VII, 69, 70, 321; X, 15, 138
643	VI, 133, 241, 248, 249, 252, 259
644	VI, 133
645	VI, 133
646	VI, 133, 134
647	VI, 130, 134; VII, 423; X, 475
648	VI, 134; X, 475
649	VI, 134; X, 33
650	VI, 135; X, 288
651	VI, 134
652	VI, 135; X, 475
653	X, 33
656	VI, 134
657	VI, 134
658	VI, 134, 135
659	VI, 134
660	VI, 134
661	VI, 134

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
662	VI, 134	774	VII, 90; IX, 161
664	VI, 134	775	VII, 91; IX, 174
665	VI, 135	776	VII, 91; IX, 163, 174; X, 222
666	VI, 135; X, 33	777	VI, 78-80
667	X, 288	779	VIII, 457-460; IX, 251
668	X, 33	780	VII, 216
669	VI, 135	781	VII, 216
670	VI, 135	782	VII, 211-233; VIII, 366, 367; IX, 87-89, 109, 110, 261, 262; X, 31, 214
671	VI, 135	783	VII, 71, 72, 77; IX, 175
672	VI, 135	784	VII, 71, 72
673	VII, 335, 337	785	VII, 216, 231
675	IX, 111	786	VII, 215, 224; VIII, 426
682	VI, 520; VII, 77; X, 22	787	VII, 77, 211, 216, 221; VIII, 457
684	VII, 337	788	VII, 70, 71, 211, 212, 221
692	VII, 97; IX, 373	791	IX, 175
693	IX, 194	792	VII, 214, 224
708	IX, 373	793	VII, 216
711	VII, 319, 320	794	VII, 216
715	X, 288	795	VII, 217, 230; IX, 41
723	IX, 373, 385	796	VII, 217, 230; VIII, 245; IX, 169, 175
729	X, 14, 15	797	VII, 217
730	VIII, 83, 84	798	VII, 215, 224
731	IX, 41	800	VII, 217, 218; IX, 251
732	VII, 216, 230	802	X, 430
735	IX, 163, 180, 181	804	VIII, 452-454
736	IX, 301-305	806	VII, 410, 411; VIII, 367; IX, 262, 263; X, 31, 185
738	X, 19	809	X, 32
739	VII, 91	811	VIII, 84, 85
741	VI, 76-78; X, 19	813	X, 230-232
742	X, 235, 236	818	VIII, 449-452, 455-456; IX, 161
744	VII, 89-91	820	X, 32
745	VII, 90	821	VII, 196-198; VIII, 105; X, 236
747	VIII, 322	822	VII, 193, 194, 410, 411; VIII, 69-71; IX, 175-177, 325-331; X, 26, 27, 213, 214, 217-229, 240
750	IX, 107; X, 60	824	VII, 60-64
751	X, 60	825	IX, 385
752	VIII, 80, 426; IX, 373	828	VIII, 337, 338
754	X, 31	830	IX, 428-438
755	VII, 398-400; IX, 163, 173, 174	831	VI, 116; VIII, 235, 236, 243, 244; X, 70, 71
756	VII, 407-409; IX, 17-20		
759	VII, 400, 401		
762	X, 31		
765	VI, 78-80; VII, 230; IX, 41, 385		
766	VII, 217, 230; VIII, 245; IX, 169, 336, 385; X, 31		
768	VI, 78-80		
773	VII, 90		

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
832	VIII, 235-236, 243, 244
833	VIII, 454, 455
838	VII, 322, 323
840	VIII, 66-68, 454, 455
843	VI, 116; VII, 323
845	VIII, 230-231
846	VIII, 443, 444, 447, 448
847	VIII, 445, 446; IX, 176
848	VIII, 445, 446
849	VIII, 445, 446
853	X, 22
854	VII, 416, 417; VIII, 426
855	VIII, 437-442
858	VI, 151, 423, 538, 539; VII, 339, 340
859	VI, 69, 71, 73, 90; VII, 416, 417; IX, 163, 181, 182, 311, 312
863	VII, 320, 321
867	VII, 198; IX, 94, 95, 169, 170
868	VIII, 446, 447
869	VIII, 444; IX, 169, 170
871	X, 430
873	VI, 305, 307, 308, 310, 314
874	VI, 111, 306, 307; VIII, 342
875	VI, 111; X, 69
876	VI, 111; VII, 185
877	X, 388
878	VI, 307; IX, 170, 171
883	VIII, 71-73, 365, 366; IX, 330, 331
891	VI, 112, 127
892	IX, 259, 260
893	VIII, 218
897	X, 23
899	VI, 306, 307, 309, 310, 314
905	X, 29
906	VI, 69, 71, 73; VII, 416
908	X, 32, 95, 222
909	X, 32, 95, 222
910	X, 32, 95, 222
912	X, 138, 149-151
923	IX, 418-422
927	VIII, 342
929	VII, 97
930	X, 31
931	IX, 418-422

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
938	X, 19, 430
940	VII, 227; X, 29
942	IX, 309
947	IX, 166, 183
950	X, 30
953	X, 31
954	X, 138
955	X, 469, 470
956	VI, 100-105
958	VI, 100-105; VIII, 216, 218
964	VIII, 233
968	X, 15
970	X, 15
972	X, 138
973	VI, 76-78
974	VI, 96-100; IX, 385
978	IX, 161
979	VII, 424, 425; IX, 286-305
980	VII, 424, 425; IX, 286-305
981	VI, 242; VIII, 196-206; IX, 286-305
984	VII, 69, 182, 183, 306, 403-407; VIII, 227-229, 231, 232; IX, 385
985	VII, 403-407, 413, 414; VIII, 229, 231, 306-322; IX, 41, 385; X, 94
986	VII, 403-405; X, 31
987	VII, 325, 326, 406, 407; IX, 385
990	VII, 403-405; X, 138
991	X, 144
993	VI, 96-100; X, 76, 77, 192, 193
994	X, 192, 193
996	VI, 96-100
998	VI, 82
1001	X, 193, 194
1002	VIII, 362-365
1006	IX, 161
1012	VI, 189; IX, 193; X, 430
1013	VI, 189
1014	VI, 345, 346, 358, 496; VII, 285; VIII, 4, 5, 76, 106, 410, 418, 422; X, 62, 63
1015	VI, 286; IX, 322, 424
1016	VI, 191, 217, 218; VIII, 395; IX, 193
1017	VIII, 467, 468; IX, 317

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1018	VIII, 426	1056	IX, 161, 318; X, 144
1019	VI, 83, 346, 348; VIII, 397, 466, 467	1057	X, 144
1020	VI, 2, 379, 380, 386, 398, 399; VII, 171-174, 418; VIII, 397, 426-434; IX, 95-97, 324, 379; X, 144	1058	VII, 85
1021	VIII, 456, 457; X, 144	1059	IX, 324; X, 338
1022	VI, 81-84; IX, 379	1060	VII, 79; IX, 379
1023	VI, 81-84; VII, 187, 205; VIII, 459-466	1061	VI, 50-76, 536; VII, 79, 80, 201, 202; VIII, 347, 348; IX, 50-64, 324, 425, 438; X, 84, 138
1024	VI, 81-84; IX, 318	1063	VI, 536; X, 144
1025	VI, 81-84	1064	VI, 50-76; X, 344
1026	VI, 537; VIII, 465; X, 144	1065	IX, 425; X, 84
1027	VI, 83, 379	1066	VII, 418-420; IX, 95-97, 425; X, 84
1028	VIII, 462-467; IX, 324	1067	VI, 484, 485; VII, 204, 205; VIII, 75, 76
1030	IX, 163, 166, 183, 184	1068	VI, 485-487; X, 430
1031	VI, 3, 379; VII, 187, 188; IX, 385; X, 144	1069	VI, 1-38, 158-168, 212-238, 346, 347, 350, 354-362, 487, 500-502; VII, 283; VIII, 369-371; IX, 133, 187-204, 313, 314, 396-407
1033	VII, 418, 419; VIII 426; IX, 324	1070	VI, 352-354, 424; VIII, 76; IX, 34-43, 50-64, 319; X, 59-62
1034	VIII, 460-462	1071	VI, 50-76, 534-538; VII, 304, 305; IX, 50-64, 379, 438
1036	IX, 323, 324; X, 315	1072	IX, 318; X, 338
1037	VII, 205, 379, 384, 385; IX, 320, 321	1073	IX, 324
1038	VI, 44, 477-502; VIII, 86, 87; IX, 324	1075	VII, 305-307; X, 62, 95, 315
1039	VI, 44	1076	VI, 366, 370, 488; VII, 189-191, 307, 308; IX, 318; X, 95, 221, 339-341
1040	VI, 44; IX, 317	1077	VI, 488, 489; IX, 318; X, 221, 338
1041	VI, 44; IX, 161	1078	VII, 304, 305; X, 341-343
1042	VI, 525; IX, 324	1079	VI, 489, 490; VII, 217; IX, 317; X, 338
1043	VI, 302; VIII, 466, 467; IX, 56, 324, 380, 414-416; X, 33, 144	1080	IX, 324
1044	VI, 302, 306, 309, 314; VIII, 466, 467; IX, 56, 321, 380, 414-416; X, 33	1081	VI, 189, 490-492; VIII, 2, 3, 170-172, 417
1045	VI, 302, 306, 309, 314; IX, 56, 321, 380; X, 144, 338	1083	VI, 495; IX, 324
1046	IX, 414-416; X, 144	1084	VI, 495
1047	X, 144	1085	VI, 495
1048	IX, 380; X, 144	1086	VI, 367, 370; VIII, 1-17, 170-195
1049	VII, 189-191, 307	1087	VI, 496; VIII, 467; IX, 320
1050	X, 144	1088	VIII, 409; X, 83
1051	VI, 525; VIII, 222	1089	VII, 308, 312, 313; VIII, 470; IX, 411
1052	VII, 307; IX, 108; X, 144	1090	X, 338
1053	IX, 324; X, 144, 146		
1054	VI, 525; X, 144		
1055	X, 144		

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1091	IX, 323; X, 338	1127	VI, 345, 346, 351, 358, 359, 362-366, 368, 370-377, 496; VII, 281-293; X, 306
1092	VII, 303, 304; VIII, 1-17, 170-195; IX, 56, 57, 62-64, 319, 385; X, 62, 63	1128	VI, 187-212, 499, 500; IX, 194
1094	VI, 496-499; VIII, 393-425; IX, 21-34, 317, 322, 323; X, 19, 72-74, 338, 344-353, 465-468	1129	VI, 187-212, 499, 500; VII, 341; IX, 194, 196, 199, 396-407, 451-454
1095	VI, 306, 526; VIII, 236, 238, 245, 246; IX, 317; X, 72-74, 338	1130	VI, 187-212, 499, 500; VII, 341; IX, 194, 196, 396-407, 451-454
1096	VI, 306, 312, 313, 526; VIII, 236, 238, 245, 246; IX, 251, 321-323; X, 19, 72-74, 338	1131	VI, 187-212, 499, 500; VII, 341; IX, 194, 196, 396-407, 451-454
1097	VI, 294-296; VIII, 460-462; IX, 108, 317, 322, 323; X, 338, 349-353, 472, 473	1132	VI, 187-212, 499, 500; VII, 341; IX, 194
1098	VIII, 393-425; IX, 322; X, 338	1133	VIII, 18-40, 206-212, 410; IX, 373; X, 138
1099	VI, 296, 352-354, 497; VIII, 78, 79, 393-425; IX, 41, 107-108, 320, 323; X, 59-62, 144, 338	1134	VIII, 18-40, 206-212, 410
1101	IX, 319; X, 338	1135	VII, 205; VIII, 18-40, 206-212; IX, 373; X, 95
1102	VI, 537; IX, 425; X, 84, 338, 344-348	1136	VII, 303, 304; VIII, 410; IX, 373; X, 62, 63
1103	VIII, 407, 412; X, 338	1138	VI, 287; VII, 312, 313; VIII, 219-222, 456, 457; X, 144, 433
1104	VIII, 219; IX, 324; X, 32	1139	VI, 287; VII, 312, 313
1105	IX, 323; X, 32	1140	VI, 287
1106	IX, 324; X, 32	1141	VI, 287; IX, 380
1107	X, 32	1144	X, 144
1108	VII, 323, 324	1147	VII, 186
1109	VI, 537; VII, 302; VIII, 465; IX, 163, 177, 318, 425; X, 84, 222, 344-348	1151	VII, 93, 94
1111	VI, 260, 261	1153	VII, 94
1114	VII, 306, 307; IX, 319	1162	VII, 360; VIII, 213, 218; IX, 384
1115	VI, 524; VII, 72, 191, 192, 306, 307; IX, 323	1164	IX, 378, 384
1116	VI, 525; VII, 306, 307; IX, 415, 416	1169	VIII, 436, 437
1117	VII, 306, 307; VIII, 219-222	1176	X, 19
1118	VI, 189, 217; IX, 193; X, 430	1181	VII, 87; IX, 161
1119	VI, 367-370; IX, 189; X, 146	1182	VI, 84-88; VII, 78
1120	VI, 357-366; VII, 203, 204, 283; IX, 189; X, 215, 304-316	1184	IX, 385
1121	VIII, 77, 78; X, 309-314	1186	IX, 385; X, 58
1122	X, 309-314, 332	1187	VIII, 213-219
1123	X, 309-314, 332	1188	IX, 247-252; X, 217-224
1124	IX, 324	1191	VIII, 218; X, 221
1125	VII, 282; X, 316-333	1194	IX, 178; X, 217-224
		1195	IX, 178, 179, 325; X, 217-224
		1196	IX, 325-331; X, 217-224
		1204	IX, 315
		1205	VII, 207-210; X, 65-67

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1206	VII, 207-210	1311	X, 146
1208	VI, 115	1312	VI, 130
1216	VII, 98; X, 20	1313	VII, 85, 86; X, 146
1221	VI, 112, 115; VII, 362; X, 68	1314	X, 138
1222	X, 68	1315	VI, 130
1224	VI, 115	1319	VIII, 204; X, 146
1225	X, 20	1320	VIII, 204; X, 146
1226	VII, 98	1321	VIII, 203
1228	IX, 373	1322	VI, 520; IX, 187
1230	VI, 112, 115	1325	VII, 413, 414; VIII, 471; IX, 40, 41, 422-426; X, 201-213
1234	IX, 301, 378, 384, 386	1330	VIII, 426
1236	X, 20	1331	VIII, 449
1238	VI, 115	1332	VIII, 449
1239	X, 65-67	1338	VI, 111; X, 388-392
1240	VIII, 247, 248; IX, 41, 306-315; X, 67	1340	X, 388
1245	VI, 306, 309, 314; VIII, 145-169, 346, 347; IX, 263; X, 63, 64, 138	1341	VII, 179-182; X, 468, 469
1247	IX, 98, 99	1342	IX, 179
1249	VII, 410; IX, 325-331; X, 221, 227	1344	VIII, 450; X, 187, 188
1251	VI, 91; VIII, 145-169	1345	VI, 116; VIII, 450; X, 185-187
1252	VI, 92; VIII, 234, 235, 240, 241	1346	VIII, 83, 84
1254	VIII, 145-169, 346, 347	1347	VII, 373
1261	VI, 115	1350	VI, 521
1263	VII, 87; X, 31	1351	VI, 521
1264	X, 237, 393	1356	VII, 192, 193; IX, 161
1265	X, 232-235	1359	VI, 308
1268	VIII, 447-449; X, 468	1363	VI, 416
1269	VII, 198-200	1365	VI, 149, 150; X, 238, 239
1274	VIII, 230, 231; IX, 163	1366	X, 390
1277	VI, 402; VIII, 469	1367	X, 390
1279	VI, 527	1375	VII, 49-59, 99-103, 236, 238, 239, 259-280, 342, 343, 436, 437; IX, 114-122, 268, 269, 341, 342, 344-348, 438-443; X, 98-103, 241-245
1280	IX, 384	1379	X, 59
1281	VI, 122	1381	VI, 116; VII, 92, 93; X, 391
1283	VIII, 218	1382	VI, 116; VII, 92, 93
1285	VIII, 218	1384	VIII, 341; X, 152-183
1288	VIII, 52	1385	VI, 93, 115, 527; X, 152-183
1298	VIII, 53	1386	VI, 115; IX, 163, 181
1299	VI, 259, 261; VIII, 52, 53, 82; IX, 101-103	1390	VI, 94; VII, 233, 234
1300	VIII, 53, 82; IX, 101-103	1392	X, 166, 167
1301	VIII, 52, 53; IX, 99-106	1393	X, 152-183
1303	VIII, 218	1394	X, 177, 179, 181
1304	VII, 186	1396	IX, 379
1308	VII, 335; X, 144	1398	IX, 379
1309	VII, 86; X, 93, 94		

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1399	VI, 94, 95, 527; VIII, 341-346; IX, 423, 425 · X, 18, 69, 70, 161, 165, 194-200
1400	X, 143
1401	VIII, 339
1402	VI, 535; VIII, 246, 247, 340- 343; IX, 163, 179, 180; X, 143
1403	X, 143
1405	X, 143
1406	VII, 317; X, 75, 388, 389
1407	VII, 317
1408	IX, 161
1409	IX, 65-86
1410	VIII, 57, 323-332; IX, 75, 76, 303
1411	VIII, 58; IX, 65-86
1412	VII, 176
1414	VIII, 218
1415	VIII, 57
1416	IX, 384
1417	IX, 77
1419	VI, 269, 271; IX, 76, 79, 82
1420	VI, 269, 271, 272; IX, 76
1422	VI, 269, 271, 272
1423	VI, 84-88, 269-271; VII, 227; VIII, 218; IX, 65-86
1424	VI, 269-271
1425	VII, 227; IX, 65-86
1427	VI, 87; IX, 65-86, 163; X, 31
1428	IX, 65-86, 378, 384, 386
1429	VII, 413; IX, 298
1431	VII, 370
1432	VIII, 216, 218; IX, 80, 251
1433	X, 18
1435	VII, 370
1437	VI, 84-88, 266
1439	X, 52-55
1442	IX, 65-86
1443	VIII, 239, 240; IX, 79; X, 427
1444	VIII, 239, 240; IX, 79; X, 138
1445	IX, 79
1446	X, 433
1451	VI, 251
1453	VI, 251
1455	VI, 241, 249-252, 258, 259
1458	X, 18

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1459	X, 19
1466	VIII, 218
1470	IX, 373; X, 288
1473	VI, 258, 259; VIII, 56, 323-332
1476	X, 22, 23
1484	VII, 424
1487	VIII, 218
1490	IX, 77
1491	VI, 116
1492	VI, 116, 122; IX, 161
1495	VI, 116; VII, 10-44, 99-103, 236-246, 259-280, 342-344, 436- 438; VIII, 111-116, 254-271, 369-380, 475-487; IX, 73, 80, 81, 83, 124-133, 265-276, 340- 353, 438-450; X, 97-112, 241- 258, 395-402, 437-464, 479- 491
1497	VI, 117, 122
1499	VI, 116; X, 39
1500	VI, 259, 265, 266
1503	VII, 94, 95; VIII, 452-454
1505	VIII, 93, 94; IX, 297, 298
1506	IX, 297
1507	IX, 77, 252-258, 301-305
1508	X, 34, 433
1509	X, 34, 433
1510	X, 433
1511	VII, 367; X, 433
1512	X, 286, 433
1513	VIII, 223-225; IX, 236-246, 428-438; X, 22, 23
1514	VII, 396-398; VIII, 287-305; IX, 428-438
1515	VIII, 287-305; IX, 78
1516	VI, 86, 119, 120; VIII, 244, 245, 287-305; IX, 78
1517	VI, 269, 272-274; VII, 396-398; IX, 163; X, 84, 85
1518	VI, 119; X, 40, 138
1519	IX, 78; X, 23
1520	VIII, 92; IX, 378, 384
1521	IX, 384
1522	VIII, 53, 54; IX, 81, 83
1523	VII, 78; VIII, 87, 88; IX, 81, 83
1524	IX, 81, 83
1525	IX, 78, 81, 83, 161
1526	IX, 81, 83

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1527	VI, 120, 528; VII, 396; VIII, 90-93, 333-335, 355, 356; IX, 81, 83	1657	VII, 434
1528	IX, 81, 83	1669	X, 286
1529	VIII, 80, 81, 467; X, 288, 434	1676	IX, 163, 384
1530	VI, 122; VII, 421; VIII, 82, 94, 95, 238, 239, 333-336; X, 16, 434	1680	IX, 371, 372
1531	VI, 119, 122; VIII, 238, 239	1684	VIII, 467
1532	VI, 122; VII, 327, 340, 421-423; VIII, 82, 89, 90-95, 333-336, 338, 435, 436; IX, 335, 378; X, 184, 185	1685	VIII, 467
1533	VI, 121; VII, 327; X, 184, 185	1686	VIII, 467
1535	VI, 258, 259, 528	1687	VIII, 367; X, 22, 28
1536	VIII, 54, 82, 91; IX, 65-86	1688	VIII, 467
1537	X, 287	1689	VIII, 467
1538	VI, 121; VII, 327, 340, 422, 423; VIII, 89, 335, 336, 435, 436; IX, 384	1709	VI, 374
1539	VI, 269	1710	VI, 374
1541	VI, 121, 123	1719	VII, 421, 422
1542	VI, 239	1720	VI, 82, 83
1544	IX, 77, 334, 428-438	1727	IX, 373
1547	VI, 115, 119	1731	VI, 260-262
1550	VI, 119; IX, 65-86	1747	IX, 308
1551	X, 84, 85	1748	VIII, 419
1553	VI, 50, 269, 272, 510; IX, 385	1750	IX, 307
1554	IX, 205-235	1753	VIII, 424
1556	X, 430	1757	X, 16, 432
1557	VII, 433	1765	VI, 82
1568	VI, 269, 272	1773	VI, 207
1569	VII, 433	1791	VI, 399; VIII, 414
1573	VI, 206, 208	1792	IX, 251
1574	VIII, 357-359	1795	IX, 251
1576	VI, 134, 206; IX, 161	1805	VI, 259, 265, 267
1586	VI, 206; VII, 378-395	1812	VIII, 407
1587	VI, 207; VII, 390	1813	VII, 72; VIII, 407, 412, 413, 424
1594	VI, 207; VII, 433	1816	VII, 72; VIII, 424
1598	VIII, 471, 472	1817	VIII, 413
1599	VI, 207; VII, 433	1825	VIII, 416
1600	VII, 433	1826	VIII, 416
1601	VII, 433	1827	VIII, 416
1603	IX, 385	1828	X, 31
1613	VII, 432; X, 71	1833	VI, 241, 252, 259; IX, 251
1622	X, 75	1869	VIII, 423
1625	VI, 374; VII, 432	1871	VII, 436
1645	VII, 66-69	1877	VI, 71
		1879	X, 188, 189, 470
		1881	VI, 374
		1883	VI, 374
		1886	VI, 374
		1892	VII, 98, 389, 390; X, 16
		1893	VII, 434

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
1894	X, 16, 294
1902	VII, 436
1903	VI, 208; VII, 436; X, 31
1906	VII, 434
1909	IX, 252-258
1913	VI, 267
1923	VI, 311
1929	VI, 259, 265, 267
1935	VII, 66-69
1936	VII, 66-69
1937	VII, 66-69
1938	VII, 69
1939	VI, 135; VII, 66-69
1940	VII, 68
1941	VII, 68
1942	VII, 68
1943	VII, 68
1945	VII, 68
1946	VII, 68, 69
1951	VI, 259, 265, 268
1956	X, 15
1960	VI, 191, 209, 217, 218; VIII, 394
1961	VI, 191, 209, 218
1962	X, 146
1964	VI, 4, 6; VIII, 397, 398
1965	X, 138, 144
1966	X, 146
1967	VI, 206; X, 138
1968	IX, 385
1969	IX, 385
1970	VIII, 399, 404; X, 31
1971	VI, 369, 378-400; VII, 98; VIII, 11-14, 399, 401, 406
1972	X, 31
1973	X, 146
1985	X, 138, 146
1986	VI, 207; VII, 379, 380, 384, 386, 387, 389, 390; X, 188, 189
1987	VII, 436; VIII, 105
1989	VII, 436
1990	VI, 353, 374, 398; VIII, 396; X, 144
1991	X, 144
1999	VI, 401-415
2002	VIII, 218; X, 31
2006	IX, 385

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
2009	IX, 385
2019	X, 31
2031	IX, 385
2116	X, 144
2143	VII, 421, 422
2145	IX, 384; X, 30
2146	VIII, 215
2147	VI, 312; VII, 412, 413; IX, 385; X, 31
2148	VIII, 356, 357; IX, 374, 384
2152	VIII, 356, 357; IX, 373-377, 384
2153	IX, 373-377, 384
2154	VI, 248; VII, 412, 413; IX, 374, 384
2159	IX, 373-377, 384
2160	IX, 374
2162	VII, 316
2163	VII, 316; X, 31
2165	IX, 373-377, 384
2166	IX, 374, 381
2167	IX, 381
2171	IX, 374, 384
2172	IX, 374
2175	IX, 374
2178	IX, 374
2179	IX, 374
2183	IX, 384
2188	X, 31
2195	VII, 190; VIII, 439
2197	VII, 379; VIII, 247, 248; IX, 307, 310, 311, 336
2200	VI, 400; IX, 308
2201	VIII, 247, 248
2202	X, 430
2209	VII, 309
2212	VIII, 440
2218	VI, 259-261, 264; VIII, 438
2219	VI, 256; IX, 256; X, 14, 286
2220	VIII, 218, 437-439; X, 147, 430
2222	VIII, 226, 227; IX, 256, 311; X, 13, 14, 15
2223	X, 14
2224	VI, 259, 262, 264
2226	X, 14, 147
2228	VII, 190; VIII, 440; IX, 256
2229	VI, 400; VIII, 90, 403

<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>	<i>Canon</i>	<i>Volume and page</i>
2230	VII, 463	2303	VI, 243
2233	VI, 400; VII, 190	2309	VII, 82
2236	X, 55-57, 147-149	2312	X, 149
2237	IX, 335-337; X, 147, 148, 315	2314	VII, 82, 401-407; VIII, 218; IX, 41, 422-426
2238	X, 147	2318	VI, 94; VIII, 341-346; X, 69, 70, 161, 165, 194-200
2239	X, 147	2319	VI, 536, 538; VII, 81, 82, 202, 308-310
2240	X, 147	2339	X, 477
2248	X, 55-57	2341	VIII, 470
2251	X, 55-57	2342	VI, 113
2253	VIII, 218, IX, 385	2343	IX, 385; X, 13
2254	X, 55-57, 93, 94	2347	VIII, 90
2259	IX, 313	2350	VIII, 106-109, 306-322
2261	X, 15	2351	VIII, 106
2265	IX, 385; X, 15	2356	VII, 310-312; VIII, 226, 227; IX, 313, 314, 335-337; X, 315
2267	IX, 184, 185	2357	VII, 190; IX, 312
2268	X, 15, 149, 150	2360	VII, 376
2270	X, 15	2365	VII, 214, 224, 229
2271	X, 15	2372	X, 15, 138
2275	X, 15	2375	X, 144
2277	X, 15	2380	X, 13
2278	X, 15	2383	VI, 78-80
2279	X, 15	2385	VI, 133
2285	X, 15	2386	VI, 133
2286	X, 147	2387	VI, 400
2289	X, 138, 149	2388	X, 93
2290	X, 146, 149	2394	X, 477
2292	IX, 386	2400	X, 427
2294	X, 315	2404	VII, 376
2295	VII, 403-405; IX, 335-337; X, 149	2406	VI, 78-80
2298	IX, 385; X, 15	2408	IX, 252-258
2299	IX, 185	2412	IX, 385
2300	X, 15		
2302	X, 15		

CUMULATIVE INDEX

Volumes VI-X

- Abjuration,
by children, VII, 403; X, 466-468
witnesses of, VII, 401, 402
- Abortion, meaning of fetus in relation to, VIII, 306-322
- Absolution,
after lapse of faculties, VII, 185,
186, 195, 196
censure, of, X, 55-57
- Abstinence,
exemption of soldiers affecting their families, VIII, 240, 241
in former Spanish Domain, VIII, 234, 235
limitation of faculties affecting, IX, 263
"white collar" workers affected by workingman's indult, X, 63, 64
- Adults, baptism of, VII, 398-407
- Administration, extraordinary, parochial, VIII, 90-93, 333-335, 355, 356
- Administrative removal, consultation of synodal examiner in relation to, VIII, 356, 357
- Advice, canonically requisite, IX, 365-395
- Affinity, matrimonial impediment of, VI, 488, 489; X, 341-343
- Alienation,
annuity, of, VIII, 435, 436
Consultors, Diocesan, relation to, VIII, 338
estimate necessary for, VII, 421; VIII, 238-239
fund, earmarked, expenditure of, VIII, 91, 92
gift, of, VIII, 80-82, 90, 91, 94, 95, 335, 336
loan as, VII, 326, 327, 422, 423
payment received, of, VIII, 333, 334
permission for religious, VII, 340
sale, as, VIII, 94, 95, 238, 334, 335
votive offering, sale of, VIII, 94, 95
- Alimony based on invalid marriage, X, 71, 72
- Altar,
portable, privilege of, VII, 410, 411; IX, 325-331; X, 224-229
relation to distribution of Holy Communion, VIII, 446-449
- Alternates, election of, VIII, 337
- American College, Rome, appeal of Pope in behalf of, VIII, 360-362
- Amortization, reborrowing after, X, 184, 185
- Annuity, investment of capital of, VIII, 435, 436
- Anonymous denunciation, VII, 66-69
- Ante-nuptial promises, VI, 50-76; VII, 201, 202; IX, 50-64, 438
- Anthologies, forbidden, X, 69, 70
- Anticipation of faculties by bishop, VIII, 338-340
- Appeal,
advocate's brief, X, 470
court of, X, 188, 189
- Appointment,
of assistant by vicar general, VIII, 352, 353
of pastor, VII, 200, 201
- Appraisal in relation to alienation, VII, 421; VIII, 238, 239
- Arés, R., review of his work, *L'Église Catholique et L'Organisation de la Société Internationale*, X, 493, 494
- Arthritis as irregularity, VIII, 232
- Article, magazine, forbidden, VIII, 341, 342
- Assessment, secular,
for improvement, VIII, 89
reduction of, VIII, 92, 93
- Assistance at marriage,
by delegate, VIII, 236-238
by transferred pastor, VIII, 239, 240
- Assistants, parochial,
appointment by vicar general, VIII, 352, 353
prenuptial investigation by, VII, 171-174
pastor's counsel in appointment of, IX, 365-395
status following death of pastor, IX, 332, 333
- Associations, of laity, indulgences of, VII, 97
- Atheist child in Catholic school, VII, 92, 93
- Auxiliary bishop, status of, IX, 90-94

- Baltimore, Councils,
 concordance, VI, 147, 148
 newspaper comment on III Plenary,
 VIII, 95-104
 news story of VII Provincial, VI,
 418-422
- Banners, blessing of, forbidden, VII,
 340
- Banns,
 dispensation from proclamation of,
 VIII, 462-467
 pastor who proclaims, VIII, 460-462
- Baptism,
 Catholic, meaning of, X, 59, 60
 ceremonies for adults, VII, 398-401
 deacon as minister of solemn, VI,
 76-78
 of fetus, VIII, 108, 109
 instructing priest as minister, VII,
 89-91
 proof of, in relation to marriage,
 VIII, 457-460
 record regarding invalid sponsor-
 ship, VI, 78-80
 register proving legitimacy, VII, 72
 sponsor, legally infamous, IX, 335-
 337
 unconscious persons, of, VIII, 80
 validity of non-Catholic, VIII, 18-
 21; X, 235, 236
- Beard, wearing of by clerics, VII, 313,
 314
- Beatification decrees, VII, 234, 235;
 VIII, 252; IX, 427
- Bells, church,
 non-liturgical use, permission for,
 VIII, 436, 437
 tolling forbidden, VIII, 455, 456
- Bender, Ludovicus, review of his
 book, *Philosophia Iuris*, VIII,
 382, 383
- Benedict, St., fourteenth centenary of,
 VII, 340
- Benefices, in the United States, VIII,
 56-61, 323-332; IX, 286-305
- Bequest,
 charitable, American recognition of,
 VIII, 287-305; IX, 236-247, 428-
 438
 euthanasia affecting, X, 449, 450
 formalities of a, VIII, 223-225
 modifications of terms of a, VII,
 396-398
 priest's personal, VIII, 244, 245
 supernatural motive in making, IX,
 236-247
- Bernardini, Conradus, review of his
 book, *Leges Processuales Vigentes
 apud S. Rotae Tribunal*, VIII,
 381, 382
- Betrothal, constrained, VIII, 467, 468
- Bination,
 authorized by law, VII, 410, 411
 Passion Sunday, on, IX, 262
 weekday, in Cincinnati, IX, 262
 weekday, in France, VIII, 367
- Birth control,
 affecting marriage contract, VIII,
 1-17, 170-195
 Connecticut, in, IX, 271
 Japan, in, IX, 351
 Massachusetts, in, VIII, 263, 375;
 IX, 127
 St. Louis, clinic in, VIII, 480; IX,
 127
- Bishop,
 auxiliary, status, IX, 90-94
 fast, Lenten, competence in regard
 to, VIII, 160-169
 legitimacy affecting appointment,
 VIII, 219-222
- Blessed Virgin Mary, dedication of
 dioceses to her Immaculate
 Heart, VIII, 474
- Blessing of ciborium by religious, VII,
 186
- Bond of previous marriage, effect,
 when doubtful, VIII, 106, 422
- Books,
 censorship of, X, 152-183
 forbidden, VIII, 341-345; IX, 123;
 X, 69, 70, 161, 165, 194-200
 imprimatur affecting prohibition of,
 VI, 93-96
 permission to read forbidden, VIII,
 246, 247, 340-343
- Boston, seminary made Pontifical, IX,
 123
- Bouscaren, review of Supplement of
The Canon Law Digest, X, 114,
 115
- Bracton, influence of, VI, 464-471
- Browne, A., review of his work,
Handbook of Notes on Theology,
 X, 410
- Burial,
 Christian,
 certification of right to, X, 66, 67
 of human surgical specimens, X,
 65, 66
 public sinner, of, IX, 306-315
 suicide affecting, VIII, 247, 248
 in memorial cemetery, VII, 207-210

- Cabrini, St. Frances Xavier, Feast of, VIII, 469
- Canonization,
decrees of, VII, 235; X, 394
infallibility of Pope in decree of, VI, 401-415
- Capital, stable, alienation of, VIII, 91, 92, 333-336
- Capobianco, P., review of his work, *Privilegia et Facultates Ordinis Fratrum Minorum*, X, 259
- Cardinalate, legitimacy affecting appointment, VIII, 219-222
- Casselman, P. H., review of his *Labor Dictionary*, X, 410, 411
- Cathedral pastor, VI, 84-88
- Celebrant of Mass, permission required by, VIII, 452, 453
- Censorship of books, X, 152-183
- Censure, reserved, double absolution of, X, 55-57
- Chapels, surplus, VI, 433
- Chaplain, parochial function relating to, X, 468, 469
- Chaplains, military, rights of in religious chapter, VII, 81
- Charity, bequests to, VIII, 287-305; IX, 236-247, 428-438
- Christian burial, VII, 207-210; VIII, 247, 248; IX, 306-315; X, 65-67
- Christian Doctrine, knowledge of required for marriage, VIII, 426-434; IX, 95-97
- Christian thought, influence of Common law, VI, 457-476
- Christmas, midnight Masses, computation of time in relation to, VIII, 105
- Church, reduction to secular status by vicar general, VIII, 213-219
- Church,
subjection of non-member to, VIII, 348, 349
and State, interrelations, VI, 150-158, 319-323, 437-440, 451-523, 540-545; VII, 2-59, 99-103, 238-246, 259-280, 341-344, 436-438; VIII, 111-116, 136-144, 254-271, 369-380, 475-487; IX, 124-133, 265-276, 341-353, 438-444; X, 97-112, 241-258, 395-402, 437-464, 479-491
- Ciborium, blessing of by religious, VII, 186
- Civil record of marriage, VIII, 225, 226
- Clergy, junior, examination of, VIII, 353, 354
- Clerical privilege of the forum, penalties for violation of, VIII, 470
- Clerics,
beard, wearing of by, VII, 313, 314
posts involving financial responsibility, VII, 417, 418
will of, VIII, 41-61; IX, 99-106
- Collation, Lenten, VIII, 145-169
- Collection,
diocesan, pastor's obligation, VIII, 93, 94
permission for, VII, 94, 95; VIII, 452, 453
- Common law marriage, proof of, VIII, 393-425
- Common law, debt to Christian principles, VI, 457-476
- Communion, Holy,
distribution, norms for the, VIII, 437-448
during Mass, IX, 94, 95
general, VII, 320-321
hour for, VII, 196-198
hymns, vernacular, during, IX, 97, 98
obligation of annual, VII, 416, 417
right to carry to sick, VIII, 445, 446; IX, 176, 177
unworthy, of the, VIII, 437-442
- Communism, terms for peace with, X, 81, 82
- Communists,
admission to novitiate, VII, 74, 75
admission to tonsure, VII, 406, 407
condemnation of, IX, 423, 424, 426
condemnation of youth-led organizations of, X, 477
marriage with, IX, 425; X, 84
- Concordats, nature and status of, VII, 10-44
- Conditions affecting marriage consent, VIII, 1-17, 30-37, 170-195; X, 62, 63
- Conferences, inter-creed,
forbidden, VIII, 471
instruction affecting, X, 201-213
- Conferences, theological,
in deanery, VII, 87, 88
religious, attendance by, VII, 317, 318
solution of cases, written, VII, 89
- Confession,
aboard plane, VIII, 365, 366
aboard ship, VIII, 71-73
during Sunday Mass, IX, 259, 260
- Confessors, supplementary, VII, 425, 426

- Confirmation,
 age for, VII, 70, 71
 ceremonies of, VII, 71, 72, 218-220
 decree of Sacred Congregation of
 the Sacraments, VII, 211-220
 minister, extraordinary, VII, 71, 72,
 174-179, 211-233; VIII, 366, 367;
 IX, 87-89, 109, 110, 261, 262; X,
 214
 Orientals, of, by Latin priest, IX,
 87-89, 109, 110
 sanation of marriage, relation to,
 VIII, 456, 457
 subject, extradiocesan, VII, 76, 77
 Confraternity of Christian Doctrine,
 establishment by vicar economo,
 VII, 319, 320
 Congregational singing, X, 393
 Consanguinity, impediment of, VI,
 366, 488; VII, 189-191, 307, 308;
 IX, 318; X, 95, 339-341
 Conscientious objectors, VI, 433; VII,
 237; VIII, 258
 Consent, matrimonial, VI, 367; VII,
 303, 304; VIII, 1-40, 170-195, 206-
 212
 Consultation, requirement of for valid
 action, IX, 365-395
 Consultors, diocesan,
 alienation, relation to, VIII, 338
 re-appointment of, VII, 82, 83
 Synod, need of advice of relative
 to, IX, 337-339
 vicar general as, VII, 84, 85
 Consummation of marriage, VIII, 172-
 174
 Contraception,
 affecting marriage contract, VIII,
 1-17, 170-195
 Connecticut, in, IX, 271
 Japan, in, IX, 351
 Massachusetts, in, VIII, 263, 375;
 IX, 127
 St. Louis, Clinic in, VIII, 480; IX,
 127
 Convert,
 banns, dispensation from, VIII, 464-
 466
 baptism of,
 ceremonies of, VII, 398-407
 instructing priest as minister of,
 VII, 89-91
 by implication, X, 466-468
 Counsel, canonically requisite, IX,
 365-395
 Court of second instance, X, 188, 189
 Cremation, reading defense of, VIII,
 343-345
 Crime, impediment of, VII, 305-307;
 X, 62, 95
 Curates, pre-nuptial investigation by,
 VII, 171-174
 Curia, diocesan, appointment of ex-
 religious to, VII, 69, 70, 322
 Custody,
 of children of mixed marriage, VIII,
 263
 of court charges, VI, 436
 Custody of the Blessed Sacrament,
 VII, 198-200
 Custom, affecting Lenten fast, VIII,
 149-169
 Custom, when reasonable, IX, 155-162
 Czechoslovakia, condemnation of
 Catholic Action in, IX, 422, 423
 Da Ascoli, E., review of his work,
*Il Messaggio Cristiano nell' Ora
 Presente*, X, 497
 Damage to church property, pastor's
 obligation regarding, VIII, 87, 88
 Deacon as minister of solemn bap-
 tism, VI, 76-78
 Dead, absolution of, when permitted,
 IX, 98, 99
 Dean, rural, frequency of report, VII,
 75, 76
 Death,
 accelerated, VII, 100; X, 437-464
 banns, proclamation of in danger
 of, VIII, 466, 467
 proof of in regard to military per-
 sonnel, VI, 1-38, 158-163
 De Clercq, review of his *Histoire des
 Conciles*, Tome XI, X, 495, 496
 Defender of the bond, obligation in
 third instance, VIII, 105
 Delegate, sub-delegation by, IX, 412-
 414
 Delegates to chapter, election of,
 VIII, 349-351
 Delegation, for marriage, VIII, 236-
 238; X, 72-74
 Denunciation, anonymous, VII, 66-69
 Dilemma, in marriage cases, VI, 344-
 377
 Dismissal of religious in temporary
 vows, X, 475, 476
 Disparity of worship, impediment of,
 VI, 352-354, 424; VII, 304, 305;
 VIII, 22, 23; IX, 34-43, 50-64
 Dispensation,
 banns, from, in danger of death,
 VIII, 466, 467
 curial conflict in granting, IX, 416,
 417

- in case of Jew, VI, 424, 534, 535
 invalidity because of insincere promises, IX, 50-64
 multiple impediments, from, VII, 189, 191, 307, 308; IX, 108
 orders, holy, involving, IX, 424
 reason for, VI, 524, 525; VII, 79-81, 194, 195; VIII, 76, 347, 348; IX, 163-186
 service of the diocese, from oath of, VIII, 196-205
 unknown impediments, from, IX, 414-416
 urgent case when Apostolic Delegation can be reached, VIII, 105
 use of the term in the Code, X, 138-151
- Displaced persons, bill aiding, VIII, 373, 374; IX, 269
- Divorce, VI, 212-238, 436, 487, 500-502; VII, 310-312; VIII, 226, 227, 369-371; IX, 187-204, 350, 396-407
- Doctrine, Christian, knowledge of in relation to marriage, VIII, 426-434; IX, 95-97
- Donations of pastor, VI, 528, 529
- Doubt, affecting rite of one to be ordained, X, 469
- Dowry of religious, dispensation from, VII, 73, 74; X, 471, 472
- Draft bill, VIII, 372
- Drunkenness, affecting marriage, VI, 492
- Duel, penalty when honor court involved, VIII, 106
- Dying,
 baptism of, VIII, 80
 medical care of, VIII, 109
- Ecumenical Movement, instruction concerning, X, 201-213
- Education,
 bequests to, VIII, 287-305
 sexual, in New York, X, 103, 104, 240
- Educational films in New York, VIII, 262
- Eichman-Mörsdorf, review of their *Lehrbuch des Kirchenrechts*, X, 117, 118, 403
- Election,
 alternates of, VIII, 337
 constructive presence of absent religious, VIII, 349
 delegate's right to vote for fellow delegates, VIII, 349, 350
 delegates for combined houses, VIII, 350, 351
 summons to distant electors, VIII, 351
- Elections, papal, VI, 318, 531-534
- Equity, canonical, meaning of, VI, 239-274
- Error, affecting marriage, VI, 495
- Escheat of cleric's property, VIII, 45-47
- Espousal, constrained, VIII, 467, 468
- Estrada, A., review of his work, *The Philosophy of Law*, X, 408
- Eucharistic fast,
 dispensation in France, VIII, 251, 252
 of infirm, VI, 423
 of night workers, VI, 538, 539; VII, 339
 of religious, VII, 340
 of Rome workers, VI, 151
- Eucharist, Holy,
 custody of, VII, 198-200
 distribution of, norms for the, VIII, 437-448
 reservation in domestic oratory, X, 232-235
 reservation, multiple, VIII, 448, 449
 right to carry to sick, VIII, 445, 446
 unworthy, and the, VIII, 437-442
- Eugenic requirements affecting marriage, VI, 480-484, 492, 493
- Euthanasia proposed bill legalizing in New York, VII, 100; X, 437-464
- Examination,
 of junior clergy, VIII, 353, 354
 of pastors, VII, 314-317
- Examiner, pro-synodal, valid appointment of, VIII, 356, 357
- Excardination, VII, 202, 203; VIII, 352, 353
- Excommunication,
 for attempting marriage before minister, VII, 308-310
 for attempting marriage after divorce, VII, 310-312
- Exemption, tax, VI, 322, 323, 436, 437; VII, 102, 236, 237, 239, 343; VII, 262
- Exemption from law, reasons justifying, IX, 166-186
- Exorcism, permission for, VII, 93, 94
- Exposition, distribution of Holy Communion from altar of, VIII, 447-448
- Faculties,
 of bishop, anticipation of, VIII, 338-340

- quinquennial affecting forbidden books, VIII, 246, 247, 340, 341
 quinquennial affecting Orientals, VI, 73-76; IX, 43-49
 Family, statement of U. S. Hierarchy on, X, 79-81
 Fanfani, L. I.,
 review of his *De Iure Religiosum*, X, 114
 review of his *Manuale Theorico-Practicum Theologiae Moralis*, X, 409
 Fast, Eucharistic,
 dispensation in France, VIII, 251, 252
 of infirm, VI, 423
 of night workers, VI, 538, 539; VII, 339
 of religious, VII, 340
 of Rome workers, VI, 151
 Fast, Lenten,
 indults affecting, VI, 90-93
 limitation of faculties affecting, IX, 263
 of religious, VIII, 346, 347
 safeguarding, VIII, 145-169
 Fear, affecting betrothal, VIII, 467, 468
 Feenstra, R., review of his work, *Reclame en Revindicatie*, X, 260
 Fetus,
 baptism of, VIII, 108, 109, 322
 meaning of in regard to abortion, VIII, 306-322
 Forbes, Eugene A., review of his book, *The Canonical Separation of Consorts*, IX, 451-454
 Force, affecting betrothal, VIII, 467, 468
 Form of marriage, VI, 352-354, 496-499; VII, 308-312; VIII, 24-27, 78, 79, 207, 209; IX, 21-34, 107, 108; X, 59-62, 344-353
 Forty Hours, distribution of Holy Communion during, VIII, 447, 448
 Fund, building, capital of, VIII, 91, 92, 334, 335
 Funeral,
 absolution, final, IX, 98, 99
 choice of church of, VII, 98
 custom against on Feast of Circumcision, X, 65
 German hierarchy, pastoral of, VII, 99
 Germany, occupation of, VI, 542, 543
Ghisleriana Studia, review of, VIII, 383, 384
 Gift,
 modification of terms of, VII, 396-398
 mortgaged, acceptance of, VIII, 335, 336
 pastor's obligation regarding, VIII, 80-82, 90, 91, 94, 95
 Government, branches of, IX, 205-235
 Government payments to religious, VII, 324; X, 470, 471
 Gregorian chant in seminary curriculum, X, 238, 239
 Hall, J., review of his work, *Living Law of Democratic Society*, X, 406-408
 Hand deformity as irregularity, VIII, 227, 228
 Hearing, canonically requisite, IX, 365-395
 Heresy,
 as impediment to ordination, VII, 325, 326
 as irregularity, VII, 403-406, 413, 414
 books propounding, X, 196, 197
 suspicion of, VII, 81, 82
 Heretics, material, forbidden works of, X, 196
 Holy Communion,
 distribution, norms for the, VIII, 437-448
 during Mass, IX, 94, 95
 general, VII, 320, 321
 hour for, VII, 196-198
 hymns, vernacular, during, IX, 97, 98
 obligation of annual, VII, 416, 417
 right to carry to sick, VIII, 445, 446; IX, 176, 177
 unworthy, of the, VIII, 437-442
 Holy Eucharist,
 custody of, VII, 198-200
 distribution, norms for the, VIII, 437-448; IX, 94, 95
 reservation in domestic oratory, X, 232-235
 reservation, multiple, VIII, 448, 449
 right to carry to sick, VIII, 445, 446; IX, 176, 177
 unworthy, and the, VIII, 437-442
 Holy Land, international status in the, IX, 123, 264, 340; X, 250, 357-387
 Holy Name Society Communion Sunday, VII, 320, 321

- Holy Year, proclamation of, IX, 418-422, 426
- Homicide, as source of irregularity, VIII, 231, 232
- Honorarium, for conducting pilgrimage, VII, 184, 185
- Hospital ethics, code of, VIII, 106-109
- Hymns, vernacular, during Holy Communion, IX, 97, 98
- Ignorance of Christian Doctrine and marriage, VIII, 426-434; IX, 95-97
- Impediments, matrimonial, multiple, VII, 189, 191, 307, 308; IX, 108
- Impediment to ordination, in child of heretic, VII, 325, 326 in neophyte, VII, 406, 407
- Impotence, impediment of, VI, 485, 487; VIII, 172-174
- Imprimatur, affecting prohibition of books, VI, 93-96
- Improvement, pastor's obligation for undesired, VIII, 89
- Incardination, virtual, VIII, 352, 353, 359 by vicar general, VIII, 352, 353
- Incorporation, civil, of religious provincials, IX, 334, 335
- Index, meaning of comprehensive prohibition, X, 194, 195
- Indulgences, of associations of laity, VII, 97 Jubilee, available outside Rome, X, 89-92 sodality day, VIII, 367 suspension of during Holy Year, X, 86-88 of Way of the Cross in houses of religious, VII, 96, 97
- Indults, affecting Eucharistic fast, VI, 151, 423, 538, 539; VII, 339, 340; VIII, 251, 252 Lenten, VI, 90-93
- Infallibility of decree of canonization, VI, 401-415
- Infamy, legal, affecting sponsors, IX, 335-337
- Infirm, indult regarding Eucharistic fast of, VI, 423
- Insanity, affecting marriage, VI, 490-492 of religious in temporary vows, VII, 325
- Insemination, artificial, condemnation of, X, 82
- Inspection of letters of religious, VIII, 470
- Instruction, religious in public schools, VIII, 254-257, 260, 261, 380, 475-479; IX, 124-126, 270, 344, 345; X, 101-103, 243-245, 396, 397, 479-482
- Insurance, fire, claim, VII, 78, 79 old age, carried by non-profit agencies, VI, 321 religious, of, IX, 443
- Intention of recipient of baptism, VIII, 80
- Inter-creed conferences, forbidden, VIII, 471 instruction affecting, X, 201-213
- International Court of Justice, view of religious persecution, X, 97, 98
- Interpellations, of unbaptized party, X, 309-314
- Interpretation, authentic, VII, 414, 415 judicial, VII, 294-301 strict, X, 9-34
- Interruption of Mass, VIII, 449-452
- Invalidity resulting from lack of requisite counsel, IX, 365-395
- Investment of annuity capital, VIII, 435, 436
- Irregularity for ordination, from abortion, VIII, 306-322 from arthritis, VIII, 232 from boxing death, VIII, 231, 232 from hand deformity, VIII, 227, 228 from heresy, VII, 413, 414 from illegitimacy, VII, 72, 191, 192; VIII, 219-222 from loss of arm, VII, 182, 183 from re-baptism, VII, 406 from sect affiliation, VII, 405 from sectarian baptism, VII, 403 from stammering, VIII, 228, 229 from use of ostensorium, VIII, 230, 231
- Italian Republic, prayer for, VII, 234
- Italian-Vatican relations, VII, 242, 243, 344
- Jehovah's Witnesses, as conscientious objectors, VIII, 258 distribution of literature by, VI, 320, 503-523 loud speaker, use by, VIII, 371 trespass by, VIII, 375
- Jerusalem, international status, IX, 123, 264, 340; X, 250, 357-387

- Jews,
 Good Friday prayer for, IX, 110
 marriage dispensation in case of,
 VI, 424, 534, 535
- Jubilee faculties of pilgrim confessors,
 X, 93-97
- Jubilee indulgence available outside
 Rome, X, 89-92
- Jubilee Year, proclamation of, IX,
 418-422, 426
- Judge,
 informal discussion of case by, X,
 71
 pro-synodal, valid appointment of,
 VIII, 357, 358
 unjust laws enforced by, X, 82, 83
- Judiciary, relation to executive branch
 of government, IX, 205-235
- Jurisdiction, lapse of sacramental,
 VII, 185, 186, 195, 196
- Jurisprudence, affecting judicial inter-
 pretation, VII, 140-170; X, 151
- Knowledge of Christian Doctrine
 prerequisite for marriage, VIII,
 426-434; IX, 95-97
- Labor disputes, VI, 319, 320
- Law,
 child as subject of, VII, 416, 417
 criteria of civil, VII, 140-170
 development of in the United
 States, IX, 10-16
 interpretation of, strict, X, 9-34
 natural law, principles of, VII, 2-9,
 129-139
 non-member as subject of Church
 law, VIII, 348, 349
 reverence for, X, 131-136
 Roman, value of precedent in, VII,
 140-170
 Rules of, X, 271-303, 417-436
- Legacy, priest's personal, VIII, 244,
 245
- Legitimacy,
 absence of father affecting, VII,
 191, 192
 baptismal register as proof of, VII,
 72
 dignities, affecting appointment to,
 VIII, 219-222
 novitiate, affecting admission to,
 VII, 408, 409
 putative marriage affecting, VII,
 305-307
- Lenten fast,
 indults affecting, VI, 90-93
 of religious, VIII, 346, 347
 safeguarding, VIII, 145-169
- Lexicon of St. Thomas Aquinas, A*,
 review of, X, 113
- Liberty under law, X, 1-8, 131-136
- Library, affected by prohibition of
 books, X, 200
- License, marriage,
 in general, VI, 477-480
 for renewal of valid consent, VIII,
 225, 226
 validity of in California, VI, 155
- Licentiate, rights attached to, VIII,
 471, 472
- Life, right to, X, 437-466
- Ligamen*, impediment of, VI, 212-238,
 350, 354-362, 487, 500-502; VII,
 187-189, 310-312; VIII, 226, 227,
 369-371; IX, 187-204, 396-407
- Liturgical books, censure of, VII, 233,
 234
- Liturgy,
 encyclical *Mediator Dei* affecting,
 VIII, 249, 250
 French vernacular, use of, VIII, 251
 mourning forbidden by, VIII, 455,
 456
 psalter, new, use of, VIII, 249
- Louisiana, precedent in, VII, 165-170
- Loan,
 assessment, to pay, VIII, 89
 new, after amortization, X, 184, 185
 to parish, VII, 327
 to parishoner, VII, 326
 source of permission for, VII, 422,
 423; VIII, 435, 436
- Lost property, pastor's obligation re-
 garding, VIII, 80-82
- Lydon, P. J., review of his book,
Ready Answers in Canon Law,
 IX, 354-356
- Magazine, forbidden article in, VIII,
 341, 342
- McCarthy, E., review of his work,
*De Certitudine Morali Quae in
 Judicis Animo ad Sententiae Pro-
 nuntiationem Requiritur*, X, 492,
 493
- Mahoney, E. J., review of his *Mar-
 riage Preliminaries*, X, 115, 116
- Marchetta, B., review of his work, *La
 Materia e la Forma del Bat-
 tesimo nella Chiesa di S. Am-
 brogio*, X, 496
- Marriage,
 affinity, impediment of, VI, 488,
 489; X, 341-343

- ante-nuptial promises, VI, 50-67;
 VII, 201, 202; IX, 50-64, 438
 assistance at,
 by delegation, VIII, 236-238
 by transferred pastor, VIII, 239,
 240
 attack, right to, VI, 378-400; VII,
 98, 378-395; VIII, 11-14, 399-406
 banns,
 dispensation from, VIII, 462-467
 pastor who proclaims, VIII, 460-
 462
 baptism, proof of in relation to,
 VIII, 457, 458
 betrothal, constrained, VIII, 467,
 468
 bond, effect when doubtful, VIII,
 106, 422
 common law, proof of, VIII, 393-
 425
 communists, involving, IX, 425; X,
 84
 confirmation, in relation to, VIII,
 456, 457
 consanguinity, impediment of, VI,
 366, 488; VII, 189-191, 307, 308;
 IX, 318; X, 95, 339-341
 consent, VI, 367; VII, 303, 304;
 VIII, 1-40, 170-195, 206-212; X,
 62, 63
 consummation, VIII, 172-174
 convalidation, VII, 303, 304; VIII,
 18-40, 206-212
 crime, impediment of, VII, 305-307;
 X, 62, 95
 death,
 proclamation of banns in danger
 of, VIII, 466, 467
 proof of in regard to military
 personnel, VI, 1-38, 158-168
 defender of the bond, obligation of
 in third instance, VIII, 105
 delegation for, VIII, 236-238; X, 72-
 74
 disparity of worship, impediment
 of, VI, 352-354, 424, 534-538; VII,
 304, 305; VIII, 22, 23; IX, 34-43,
 50-64
 dispensation,
 banns, from, VIII, 462-467
 curial conflict in granting, IX,
 416, 417
 in case of Jews, VI, 424, 534, 535
 invalid because of insincere
 promises, IX, 50-64
 multiple impediment, from, VII,
 189-191, 307, 308; IX, 108
 orders, holy, involving, IX, 424
 reasons for, VI, 524, 525; VII, 79-
 81, 194, 195; VIII, 76, 347, 348;
 IX, 163-186
 unknown impediment, from, IX,
 414-416
 divorce, VI, 212-238, 350, 354-362,
 436, 487, 500-502; VII, 310-312;
 VIII, 226, 227, 369-371; IX, 187-
 204, 350, 396-407
 drunkenness affecting, VI, 492
 error affecting, VI, 495
 eugenic requirements affecting, VI,
 480-482, 492, 493
 form, VI, 352-354, 496-499; VII,
 308-312; VIII, 24-27, 78, 79; IX,
 21-34, 107, 108; X, 59-62, 344-353,
 465-468
 impediment, multiple, VII, 189-191,
 307, 308; IX, 108
 impediment, unknown, IX, 414-416
 impotence, impediment of, VI, 485-
 487; VIII, 172-174
 indissolubility, condition opposing,
 VIII, 30-37
 insanity affecting, VI, 490-492
 interpellations, X, 309-314
 license,
 in general, VI, 477-480
 for renewal of valid consent, VII,
 225, 226
 validity of in California, VI, 155
ligamen, impediment of, VI, 212-
 238, 350, 354-362, 487, 500-502;
 VII, 187-189, 310-312; VIII, 226,
 227, 369-371; IX, 187-204, 396-407
 miscegenation affecting, VI, 493-495
 nonage, impediment of, VII, 204,
 205; VIII, 75, 76
 Orientals,
 affinity, X, 341-343
 consanguinity, X, 339-341
 form of marriage, IX, 21-34; X,
 344-353, 465
 marriage code, comparative
 glance at, IX, 316-324; X, 334-
 356
 principles underlying validity of
 marriage of, VI, 39-49
 problems in the United States
 and Canada, IX, 17-49
 pact opposing substance of, VIII,
 14-17, 30-37
 pastor's right, X, 472, 473
 Pauline Privilege, VI, 357-366; VII,
 203, 204; VIII, 77, 78; X, 215,
 304-316
 place for, convent chapel, VII, 302

- prenuptial investigation,
 banns in relation to, VIII, 460-467
 by curate, VII, 171-174
 in case of immigrant, VII, 204, 205; VIII, 457-460
 presence of contracting parties, X, 83
 Privilege of the Faith, VII, 281-293; X, 316-333
 procedure in case of dilemma, VI, 344-377
 prosecute, right to, VI, 378-400; VII, 98, 378-395; VIII, 11-14
 proxy in relation to, VII, 308, 309, 312, 313; VIII, 470
 public decency, impediment of, VII, 304, 305
 putative, requisites for, IX, 424
 reason for dispensation, VI, 524, 525; VII, 79-81, 194, 195; VIII, 76, 347, 348
 record, civil, in relation to renewal of valid consent, VIII, 225, 226
 sanitation of, VII, 303, 304; VIII, 18-40, 206-212, 456, 457
 separation of spouses,
 act for in New York, VII, 341
 control of, IX, 195-204, 396-407
 procedure in, VI, 187-212, 499, 500; IX, 451-454
 sterility affecting, VIII, 172-174
 time for, VII, 323, 324
 transfer of rite in entering, VI, 275-304
 unworthy Catholics, VII, 418-420
 vow, private dispensation from, VII, 85, 86
 witness, priest as unofficial, VIII, 245, 246
- Mass,**
 bequest for, IX, 428-438
 Christmas, midnight, computation of time affecting, VIII, 105
 completion of, VIII, 451, 452
 distribution of Holy Communion in relation to, VIII, 443-448; IX, 94, 95
 false teeth at, VIII, 85
 hearing, obligation of, VII, 410, 411; IX, 325-331; X, 221, 227
 hour for, VII, 196-198
 interruption of, VIII, 449-452
 midnight, X, 236, 237
 novena of, VIII, 454, 455
 obligation,
 of deceased priest, VIII, 337, 338
 nature of diocesan, VII, 60-64
 reduction of, X, 84, 85
 on plane, VIII, 71
 on ship, VIII, 69-70; IX, 329, 330; X, 213, 214
 on train, VIII, 70, 71; X, 240
 permission to celebrate, VIII, 452-454
 place for, VII, 193, 194, 410, 411; IX, 175, 176, 325-331
pro populo,
 deferred application of, VIII, 62-66
 following death of pastor, IX, 332, 333
 obligation of substitute to offer, VII, 64-66
 server required, X, 230-232
 stipends,
 amount of quasi-manual, VIII, 66-68
 excessive, claim for, VIII, 235, 236
 excessive, penalty for, VIII, 243, 244
 offered with a stereotyped formula, X, 70, 71
 transfer of, VII, 322, 323
 toupee at, VIII, 84, 85
- Mary, Blessed Virgin, dedication of dioceses to the Immaculate Heart of, VIII, 474
 Michiels, G., review of his *Normae Generales*, X, 118-121
 Mier, L. R., review of his work, *Sistemas de Dotación de la Iglesia Católica*, X, 494, 495
 Military training, compulsory, VI, 152, 322
 Miscegenation affecting marriage, VI, 493-495; IX, 127
 Modernism, oath against, when taken by priests, X, 75
 Mortgage, gift burdened with, acceptance of, VIII, 335, 336
- Nasalli-Rocca de Corneliano, Marius, review of his book *Concordantiorum Pii XI P.M. Concordantiae*, VIII, 384, 385
 National parish, wife's adherence to husband's, VIII, 242, 243
 Natural law, general obligations of, VII, 2-9, 129-139
Negotiatio, VII, 184, 185; VIII, 355, 356; X, 393
 Nonage, impediment of, VI, 484, 485; VII, 204, 205; VIII, 75, 76

- Novena of Masses, stipends for, VIII, 454, 455
- Novices,
 emergency vows, VII, 423
 entering seminary, VI, 416-418; VII, 414, 415
 loss of parish, VII, 424, 425
 property of, VI, 88-90
- Novitiate, disqualifications for entrance, VII, 74, 75, 407-409
- Oath of office, in Maryland, IX, 444, 445
- Obsession, VII, 93, 94
- Office,
 oath of, in Maryland, IX, 444, 445
 resignation of, IX, 408-412
 term of, VI, 523, 524
- Oratory,
 domestic,
 indult for, X, 217-224
 reservation of Blessed Sacrament in, X, 232-235
 public, rights of the faithful in, IX, 247-252
- Orders, holy, impediments affecting, in child of heretic, VII, 325-326
 in neophyte, VII, 406, 407
- Orders, holy, irregularities affecting,
 from abortion, VIII, 306-322
 from arthritis, VIII, 232
 from boxing death, VIII, 231, 232
 from hand deformity, VIII, 227, 228
 from heresy, VII, 413, 414
 from illegitimacy, VII, 72, 191, 192; VIII, 219-222
 from loss of arm, VII, 182, 183
 from re-baptism, VII, 406
 from sect affiliation, VII, 405
 from sectarian baptism, VII, 403
 from stammering, VIII, 228, 229
 from use of ostensorium, VIII, 230, 231
- Orders, holy,
 oath in connection with title of service of diocese, VIII, 196-205
 perpetual profession as prerequisite to sacred orders, VIII, 233
 pallium affecting, VIII, 229, 230
 retreat required, X, 193, 194
 service of the diocese, oath of, VIII, 196-205
 testimonial letters affecting, X, 76, 78, 192, 193
- Orders, sacred, essential matter and form of, VIII, 362-365
- Organ, electric, permissibility of, X, 237, 238
- Oriental Rite,
 confirmation administered to members by Latin priest, IX, 87-89, 109, 110
 determination of, IX, 17-21
 disparity of worship in, IX, 34-43
 form of marriage in, IX, 21-34; X, 344-353, 465
 marriage law,
 affinity in, X, 341-343
 code, a comparative glance at, IX, 316-324; X, 334-356
 consanguinity in, X, 339-341
 principle underlying validity of marriages, VI, 39-49
 novitiate, Latin, in relation to, VII, 407-409
 quinquennial faculties of Latin Ordinaries affecting, VI, 73-76; IX, 43-49
 transfer of rite in entering marriage, VI, 275-304
- O'Sullivan, R., review of his work, *Under God and Law*, X, 405, 406
- Palestine, statement of U. S. Hierarchy concerning, X, 81
- Pallium, affecting ordination, VIII, 229, 230
- Palm Sunday, liturgical mourning on, VIII, 455, 456
- Parish, national, affiliation with, VIII, 242, 243; X, 472, 473
- Parish, religious, status of, IX, 65-86
- Parishioner, transfer of, X, 58, 59
- Parochial vicars as extraordinary ministers of confirmation, VII, 174-179
- Pastor,
 adjutants of, VI, 305-314; VII, 64-66, 412, 413
 appointment of, VII, 200, 201
 banns, proclamation by, VIII, 460-462
 cathedral, VI, 84-88
 counsel of in appointment of assistant, IX, 365-395
 donations by, VI, 528, 529
 examination of, VII, 314-317
 functions of, X, 58, 59, 468, 472
 gifts to parish, responsibility for, VIII, 80-82, 90, 94, 95
 loans by, VII, 326, 327
 Mass *pro populo*, deferred application of, VIII, 62, 66
 preach, obligation to, X, 185-188
 removal, counsel in, IX, 373-376

- responsibility for,
 diocesan collection, VIII, 93, 94
 property damage, VIII, 87, 88
 property improvement, payment
 for, VIII, 89
 reduction of property assessment,
 VIII, 92, 93
 service of invitation to resign, VII,
 421, 422
 support of, VII, 412, 413; IX, 286-
 305
Patrimony, cleric's, VIII, 55, 56, 323-
332
Patron of church, selection of, VIII,
79
Patterson, J. O., review of his *Mid-
night Calculator*, X, 497
Pauline Privilege, VI, 357-366; VII,
203, 204; VIII, 77, 78; X, 215,
304-316
Peace,
 American Hierarchy's statement
 on, VI, 150
 promotion of by jurists, IX, 1-9
Permission for the reading of for-
bidden books, VIII, 246, 247
Pews, reserved, VII, 87
Picnic, permission for, VII, 318, 319
Pictures, bizarre, VI, 527
Pietrzyk, S., review of his *Practical
Formulary*, X, 116, 117
Pilgrimage, honorarium for conduct-
ing, VII, 184, 185
Pope, election of, VI, 318, 531-534
Popes, revised list of, VII, 235
Postulancy, faculties of Apostolic
 Delegate in regard to, VII, 240
Postulation, government of religious
 institute pending admission of,
 IX, 258, 259
Preaching,
 by extradiocesan priests, VII, 179-
 182; X, 390-392, 468, 469
 pastor's obligation, X, 185-188
 profession of faith affecting, X, 388,
 389
 simony affecting, VIII, 83, 84
 visiting priest, by, X, 185-187, 388-
 392
Precedent, judicial value of, VII, 140-
170
Prefect Apostolic, indulgence for kiss-
ing ring of, VII, 96
Presumptive death affecting marriage
 in regard to military personnel,
 VI, 1-38, 158-168
Price control, VI, 321
Priest,
 legacy, personal, VIII, 244, 246
 record of, face sheet, VI, 100-105
 witness, unofficial, at marriage,
 VIII, 245, 246
Privilege, principles of in Decretals,
 VII, 355-377
Privilege of the Faith, VII, 281-293;
 X, 316-333
Privilege of the forum, penalty for
 violation, VIII, 470
Procedure,
 in dilemma cases involving mar-
 riage, VI, 344-377
 in separation cases, VI, 187-212,
 499, 500
Profession of faith affecting preach-
ing, X, 388, 389
Profession, perpetual religious as pre-
 requisite to sacred orders, VIII,
 233
Promoter of the Faith, right of to
 impugn validity of marriage, VII,
 378-395
Proof of common law marriage, VIII,
 393-425
Property,
 of cleric, VIII, 41-61, 323-332
 of novice, VI, 88-90
 pastor's responsibility regarding
 parish, VIII, 80-82, 87-90, 92-95
 of religious in simple vows, X, 189-
 191
Proxy, in relation to marriage, VII,
 308, 309, 312, 313; VIII, 470
Psalter, new, use of in liturgy, VIII,
 249
Pueblo, edict convoking first synod,
 VIII, 472-474
Public decency, impediment of, VII,
 304, 305
Purpose of religious institute, change
 of, VII, 183, 184
Putative marriage, requisites for, IX,
 424
Ramstein, Matthew, review of his
 book, *A Manual of Canon Law*,
 VIII, 272, 273
Reason for matrimonial dispensation,
 VI, 524, 525; VII, 79-81, 194, 195;
 VIII, 76, 347, 348
Reasonable, the meaning of the term,
 IX, 154-186
Record,
 civil marriage in relation to renewal
 of valid consent, VIII, 225, 226

- priest's, face sheet of, VI, 100-105
- seminarian's, face sheet of, VI, 96, 100, 530
- Refugees, immigration of, VI, 320; VIII, 373, 374
- Register, baptismal,
 - as proof of freedom to marry, VIII, 457, 458
 - as proof of legitimacy, VII, 72
- Released time for religious instruction, VI, 155, 435, 436; VII, 101, 102, 238, 343, 437; VIII, 254-257, 260, 261, 475-479; IX, 124-126, 270, 344, 345, 442, 443; X, 98-100, 243-245
- Relics, care of dubiously genuine, VIII, 352
- Religion, American rule regarding bequests to, VIII, 287-305
- Religious,
 - alienation, faculties of Apostolic Delegate in regard to, VII, 340
 - annuity, investment of capital of, VIII, 435, 436
 - authority, levels of, X, 35, 39
 - blessing of ciborium by, VII, 186
 - books, permission for forbidden in case of exempt, VIII, 342, 343
 - building, new, VII, 409, 410
 - canons affecting, VI, 105-136
 - chapter, competence of, X, 46, 47
 - chapter rights of military chaplain, VII, 31
 - confessor, supplementary, VII, 425, 426
 - contract of, with diocese, IX, 65-86
 - council, competence of, X, 46, 47
 - diocesan offices forbidden ex-religious, VII, 69, 70, 322
 - dismissal of religious in temporary vows, X, 475, 476
 - dowry, dispensation from, VII, 73; X, 471
 - fast, Eucharistic, observance of, VII, 340
 - fast, Lenten, observance of, VIII, 346, 347
 - foundation of diocesan institute, VII, 206, 207
 - government payments to, VII, 324; X, 470, 471
 - Holy Communion, distribution of in house of, VIII, 445-447
 - houses of, rights of, X, 39-45
 - income of religious in simple vows, X, 473, 474
 - indulgence of Way of Cross in houses of, VII, 96, 97
 - insanity of, when in temporary vows, VII, 325
 - insurance, of deceased, IX, 443
 - letters of, inspection by superiors, VIII, 470
 - novices,
 - emergency vows of, VII, 423
 - entering seminary, VI, 416-418; VII, 414, 415
 - loss of parish, VII, 424, 425
 - property of, VI, 88-90
 - novitiate, disqualifications for entering, VII, 74, 75, 407-409
 - orders, sacred, perpetual profession as prerequisite, VIII, 233
 - parishes of, IX, 65-86
 - patrimony of, X, 189-191
 - postulancy, faculties of the Apostolic Delegate affecting, VII, 340
 - postulation affecting, IX, 258, 259
 - property of, administration of, X, 39-45
 - provincials, civil incorporation of, IX, 334, 335
 - purpose of the institute, change of, VII, 183, 184
 - quinquennial report, IX, 111-114
 - retreat before tonsure, X, 193, 194
 - secularization, indult, acceptance of, VII, 321
 - seminary of, rights of rector of, X, 68
 - seminary tax obligatory on houses of, VII, 192, 193
 - stipend, Mass, penalty for demanding excessive, VIII, 243, 244
 - superior competent to receive vows, VIII, 74, 75
 - teachers in public school, VI, 155; VIII, 380, 475; IX, 265, 266, 345, 346, 441, 442
 - testimonial letters for ordination of, X, 192, 193
 - theological conference, attendance at, VII, 317, 318
 - transfer from one class to another, VII, 210; X, 474, 475
 - vicar, relation to local superior, X, 52-55

Religious education in public schools, VIII, 254-257, 260, 261, 380, 475-479; IX, 124-126, 265, 266, 270, 345, 346; X, 101-103, 243-245, 396, 397, 479-482

Religious education, subsidy to, VI, 154, 321, 322, 425-433, 542; VII, 45-59, 99-101, 236, 239, 259-280, 341-343, 436, 437; VIII, 254-258,

- 372-375, 479, 481; IX, 128, 268, 269, 341, 342, 347, 348, 438-441; X, 98-100, 241-243, 395, 397, 482
- Religious freedom, VIII, 258, 371, 375
- Removal, administrative, consultation of synodal examiner for, VIII, 356, 357
- Requiem Mass, distribution of Holy Communion in relation to, VIII, 443, 444
- Reservation of the Blessed Sacrament, multiple, VIII, 448, 449
- Resignation, withdrawal of, IX, 408-412
- Rights, UN Declaration on, VIII, 376, 377; IX, 129
- Riley, Lawrence Joseph, review of his book, *The History, Nature and Use of Epikieia in Moral Theology*, IX, 134, 135
- Rite, doubtful, of one to be ordained, X, 469, 470
- Roman law,
influence on common law, VI, 457-476
precedent in, VII, 140-170
- Romita, Fiorenzo, review of his book, *Le Chiese Ricettizie nel Diritto Canonico e Civile dalle Origini ai Giorni Nostri*, VIII, 273-275
- Rota, Sacred Roman,
decisions regarding condition affecting consent, VIII, 1-17, 170-195
rules for its studium, VI, 315-318
- Rota, Spanish, establishment of, VII, 427-436
- Rules of Law, analysis and application of, X, 271-303, 417-436
- Sacraments, Sacred Congregation of,
decree regarding extraordinary minister of confirmation, VII, 211-220
- Sale,
of ecclesiastical property to another ecclesiastical body, VIII, 238, 239
of immovable property received in lieu of money, VIII, 333-336
of votive offering, VIII, 94, 95
- Sales commission given parish, VIII, 355, 356
- Sanation of marriage, VII, 303, 304; VIII, 18-40, 206-212, 456, 457
- Schäfer, Timothy, review of his *De Religiosis ad Normam Codicis Iuris Canonici*, VIII, 117-119
- School, Catholic, atheist child in, VII, 92, 93
- Secular institutes, decree approving, VII, 328-339
- Secularism,
sermon on, VIII, 136-144
U. S. Hierarchy's statement concerning, VIII, 40; IX, 115-122
wills, of, IX, 236-247
- Secularization, indult of, acceptance of, VII, 321
- Search and seizure, U. S. Supreme Court ruling, VIII, 371, 372
- Seidl, E., review of his work, *Römische Rechtsgeschichte und Römisches Zivilprozessrecht*, X, 404, 405
- Seminarian,
entering religious community, VI, 416-418; VII, 414, 415
record of, face sheet, VI, 96-100, 530
- Seminary,
pedagogy in curriculum, VI, 149, 150
Gregorian chant in curriculum, X, 238, 239
- Seminary of religious, rights of rector of, X, 68
- Seminary tax obligatory on houses of religious, VII, 192, 193
- Separation of spouses,
act in New York, VII, 341
control of, IX, 195-204, 396-407
procedure in, VI, 187-212, 499, 500; IX, 451-454
- Sermons, simony affecting, VIII, 83, 84
- Server, required at Mass, X, 230-232
- Service of the diocese, oath of, effect, VIII, 196-205
- Sick, right to carry Holy Communion to, VIII, 445, 446
- Simony, affecting sermons, VIII, 83, 84
- Singing, congregational, X, 393
- Sodality day indulgence, VIII, 367
- Sodality of the Blessed Virgin, Papal constitution affecting, IX, 122
- Spanish Rota, establishment of, VII, 427-436
- Spolii, ius*, VIII, 45-47
- Sponsors, baptismal,
invalid record of, VI, 78-80
legally infamous, IX, 335-337
- Stammering as irregularity, VIII, 228, 229
- State and Church, interrelations, VI, 150-158, 319-323, 437-440, 451-523, 540-545; VII, 45-59, 99-101, 238-346, 259-280, 341-344, 436-438; VIII, 111-116, 136-144, 254-271,

- 369-380, 475-487; IX, 124-133,
265-276, 341-353, 438-444; X, 97-
112, 241-258, 395-402, 437-464,
479-491
- Sterilization, VIII, 107; IX, 271, 444
- Sterility, affecting marriage, VIII,
172-174
- Stipends, Mass,
amount of quasi-manual, VIII, 66-
68
excessive, claim for, VIII, 235, 236
excessive, penalty for, VIII, 243,
244
deceased priest's obligation, VIII,
337, 338
for novena of Masses, VIII, 454,
455
offered with a stereotyped formula,
X, 70, 71
transfer of, VII, 322, 323
- Stole fees,
penalty affecting, IX, 252-258
right to, IX, 301-305
- Subdelegation, authorization for, IX,
412, 414
- Subject of law, VII, 416, 417; VIII,
348, 349
- Subsidy to schools, VI, 154, 321, 322,
425-433, 542; VII, 45-59, 99-101,
236, 239, 259-280, 341-343, 436,
437; VIII, 254-258, 372-375, 479,
481; IX, 128, 268, 269, 341, 342,
347, 348, 438-441; X, 98-100, 241-
243, 395, 397
- Suicide, euthanasia, in relation to, X,
456, 457, 460-462
- Suit against cleric, penalty for, VIII,
470
- Superior, religious,
competence for reception of vows,
VIII, 74, 75
inspection of letters of subjects,
VIII, 470
local, relation to parish of religious,
X, 52-55
relation of one to another, X, 35-39
- Support of pastor, VII, 412, 413; IX,
286-305
- Synod, advice of diocesan consultors
relating to, IX, 337-339
- Syrian, form of marriage binding, X,
465, 466
- Tabernacle, custody of, VII, 198-200
- Tax exemption, VI, 322, 323, 436, 437;
VII, 102, 236, 237, 239, 343; VIII,
262
- Teeth, false, at Mass, VIII, 85
- Testimonial letters affecting ordina-
tion, X, 76, 78, 192, 193
- Textbooks, free,
Louisiana, VI, 430, 431
Mississippi, VI, 430, 431
- Theological conferences,
in deanery, VII, 87, 88
religious, attendance of, VII, 317,
318
solutions of cases, written, VII, 89
- Time, use of diverse methods of com-
putation, VIII, 105
- Title of ordination,
claim for support based on, VII,
412, 413
oath of service of diocese, VIII,
196-205
- Titular patron of church, selection of,
VIII, 79
- Toupee at Mass, VIII, 84, 85
- Transfer from one class of religious
to another, VII, 210; X, 474, 475
- Transfer of parishioners, X, 58, 59
- Transportation, free,
California, VI, 431
Delaware, VI, 428, 431
Indiana, IX, 270
Iowa, VI, 432, 542; IX, 270
Kentucky, VI, 426, 427; IX, 127
Louisiana, VII, 101
Maine, VII, 342
Maryland, VI, 428; VIII, 112; IX,
127
Massachusetts, IX, 443, 444; X, 100,
242, 243, 397, 482
Michigan, IX, 347; X, 242
Minnesota, VIII, 113; IX, 347
Missouri, VI, 432; IX, 347
Montana, IX, 270
New Jersey, VI, 154, 425-427; VII,
99, 342; VIII, 112
New York, VI, 429-431; VIII, 112,
479; X, 242, 482
Oklahoma, VI, 429; VIII, 262
Pennsylvania, VII, 342
South Dakota, X, 100, 101
Texas, VII, 239, 437; X, 482
Washington, VI, 429, 430; VIII,
113, 262, 479; IX, 347
Wisconsin, VI, 428, 429, 431; VII,
99, 100; X, 243
- Trent, Council of, critical edition of
manuscript of first seven sessions,
VI, 169-172
- Trusts, charitable, American rule re-
garding, VIII, 287-305; IX, 236-
247, 428-438

- Tuberculosis as matrimonial impediment of secular law, VIII, 86, 87
- United Nations,
 Declaration of Human Rights, VIII, 376, 377; IX, 129
 Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, VI, 434, 435
 Jerusalem, action affecting, IX, 123, 264, 340; X, 250, 357-387
- Universities, Catholic, International Federation of, IX, 123
- Unworthy Catholics,
 Holy Communion, distribution of to, VIII, 437-442
 marriages of, VII, 418-420
- Validity, dependent on hearing, IX, 365-395
- Vicars, parochial, VI, 305-314; VII, 174-179, 319, 320, 412, 413; VIII, 352, 353
- Vicar general,
 in relation to alienation, VII, 422, 423
 in relation to appointment of assistants, VIII, 352, 353
 in relation to dismissal of religious, X, 475, 476
 in relation to excommunication, VII, 202, 203; VIII, 352, 353
 in relation to reduction of church to secular status, VIII, 213-219
- Votive offering, sale of, VIII, 94, 95
- Vow,
 dispensation from private, VII, 85, 86
 emergency, by novice, VII, 423
- Way of the Cross, indulgence in houses of religious, VII, 96, 97
- Wenner, J., review of his work, *Kirchliche Eheprozessordnung*, X, 403, 404
- Will,
 charitable bequests in, VIII, 287-305; IX, 236-247
 cleric's last, VIII, 41-61, 323-332; IX, 99-106
 excluding Catholic beneficiary, VI, 437
 euthanasia affecting, X, 449, 450
 formalities of, VIII, 223-225
 modification of terms of, VII, 396-398
 secularism of, IX, 236-247
- Wojnar, M. M., review of his work, *De Regimine Basilianorum Ruthenorum*, X, 259, 260
- Workingman's indult, effect on "white collar" workers, X, 63, 64
- World peace, statement of American Hierarchy on, VI, 150
- Zerba, Caesar, review of his *Commentarius in Decretum "Spiritus Sancti Munera"*, VIII, 119